

INFORMS

Innovative Forms of Employment in Sport



EUROPEAN RESEARCH REPORT ON EMPLOYMENT CHALLENGES AND INNOVATIVE FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT IN SPORT

EUROPEAN RESEARCH REPORT ON EMPLOYMENT CHALLENGES AND INNOVATIVE FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT IN SPORT

IN-FORMS – Improving employability in sport through investigating, promoting and supporting innovative forms of employment in Europe

Project number: 101134383 (ERASMUS-SPORT-2023-SCP)
www.informs-sport.eu

Published 2025
By European Observatoire of Sport and Employment (EOSE)
82A, rue d'Ypres – 69004 Lyon – France
www.eose.org
eosesec@eose.org

ISBN: 978-2-490441-08-2 (online PDF)

Graphic design:
Salomé Milet – www.studio-helioscope.com

Copyright © IN-FORMS, Lyon 2025



The reuse of this document is authorised under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY 4.0) license.
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

»	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	8
»	2 - INTRODUCTION TO IN-FORMS	21
	2.1 The drive for a professional sport workforce	22
	2.2 Building on the success of the recent FORMS project	22
	2.3 Objectives and scope of the research	23
»	3 - THE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
	3.1 Statistics gathered from Eurostat	25
	3.2 Desk research	25
	3.3 Survey of European sport organisations	26
	3.3.1 Country representation	27
	3.3.2 Organisational types and sizes	28
	3.3.3 Sports represented	29
	3.4 Employer and employee interviews	30
	3.4.1 Interview process	30
	3.4.2 Interview sample	31
»	4 - STATISTICAL OVERVIEW OF EMPLOYMENT IN THE SPORT SECTOR	32
	4.1 Total sport employment 2011-2023	33
	4.2 Gender	34
	4.3 Age	35
	4.4 Education	35
	4.5 Types of employment contracts	35
	4.6 The role of volunteers	36

TABLE OF CONTENTS

»	5 - EMPLOYMENT REALITIES AND CHALLENGES IN THE SPORT SECTOR	37
	5.1 Unusual features of the sport labour market	38
	5.2 General recruitment and retention challenges	39
	5.2.1 Recruitment	40
	5.2.2 Retention	42
	5.3 Gender imbalance	45
	5.4 Age imbalance	47
	5.5 Part-time and temporary employment	51
	5.6 Undeclared work	55
	5.7 Skills gaps and mismatches	56
	5.8 The impact of COVID	58
	5.9 Incomes and benefits	60
	5.10 The impact of emerging technologies on sport	63
	5.11 Short-term outlook for sport employment	66
»	6 - EXISTING INITIATIVES TO SOLVE THE EMPLOYMENT CHALLENGES	67
	6.1 European Union	68
	6.2 National Governments	68
	6.3 Sport employers	69
»	7 - NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT AS IDENTIFIED BY EUROFOUND	70
	7.1 The Eurofound research	71
	7.2 Eurofound's categorisation of new forms of employment	72
	7.3 Some key points regarding new forms of employment	73
»	8 - RELEVANT POLICY, LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS	74
	8.1 The European Union	75
	8.2 The Partner Countries	75
	8.3 The impact of legal frameworks on sport employers	76

TABLE OF CONTENTS

»	9 - THE POTENTIAL OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR SPORT	77
9.1	Employee sharing	80
9.2	Platform work	81
9.3	Job sharing	82
9.4	Casual work	83
9.5	ICT-based mobile work (Remote working)	84
9.6	Portfolio work	86
9.7	Collaborative employment	87
9.8	Voucher-based work	88
9.9	Interim management	89
»	10 - NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT REAL EXPERIENCES IN SPORT	90
10.1	Employee sharing	92
10.2	Platform work	98
10.3	Job sharing	103
10.4	Casual work	108
10.5	Intermittent permanent employment	113
10.6	ICT-based mobile work (Remote working)	118
10.7	Self-employed portfolio work	123
10.8	Voucher-based work	129
10.9	Summary of sport employers' responses to new forms of employment	134
10.10	Alignment of new forms of employment with sport employers' priorities	136
10.11	Perceived obstacles to the use of new forms of employment	138
»	11 - CONCLUSIONS	139
»	12 - INFORMING PHASE 2 AND 3 - POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	144

TABLE OF CONTENTS

»	13 - ANNEX 1 - THE EU EMPLOYMENT LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	146
	13.1 The EU Employment Strategy	147
	13.2 The EU Employment Package	147
	13.3 EU Rights at Work	148
	13.4 EU Legal and Regulatory Provisions Specific to New Forms of Employment	150
	13.5 Undeclared Work	151
»	14 - ANNEX 2 - LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS IN THE PARTNER COUNTRIES	152
	14.1 Albania	153
	14.2 Belgium (Flanders)	154
	14.3 France	155
	14.4 Greece	155
	14.5 Luxembourg	156
	14.6 Poland	156
	14.7 Portugal	157
	14.8 Netherlands	157
	14.9 Romania	158
»	15 - BIBLIOGRAPHY	159
	15.1 Portugal	160
	15.2 Greece	161
	15.3 Romania	162
	15.4 France	162
	15.5 Luxembourg	162
	15.6 The Netherlands	162
	15.7 Poland	163
	15.8 Belgium	163
	15.9 Albania	163
»	16 - LIST OF FIGURES	164
»	17 - LIST OF TABLES	170
»	18 - CASE STUDIES OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT IN SPORT (FORMS PROJECT)	172

IN-FORMS PROJECT PARTNERS



European Observatoire of Sport
& Employment (EOSE)
France, Europe - Coordinator



Fédération Nationale Profession
Sport et Loisirs (FNPSL)
France



Sportwerk Vlaanderen
Belgium



LUNEX
Luxembourg



Werkgevers in de Sport
Netherlands



Santarém Polytechnic University
/ Sport Sciences School of Rio
Maior (ESDRM)
Portugal



HELLENIC REPUBLIC
Ministry of Education,
Religious Affairs and Sports
General Secretariat of Sports

General Secretariat of Sports,
Ministry of Education, Religious
Affairs and Sports
Greece



Arbetsgivaralliansen
Sweden



Institute of Sport- National
Research Institute
Poland



Portuguese Swimming Federation
Portugal



Romanian Football Federation
Romania



Sports University of Tirana
Albania



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This executive summary brings together all of the main findings from the research. The reader will find more detailed data and analysis with tables and graphs in later sections.



BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY

Previous research suggests that European sport needs to improve the recruitment and retention of skilled workers in order to continue the professionalisation of its workforce, but traditional employment practices are holding this back. There is the possibility that some of the new forms of employment identified by the EU's European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions (Eurofound) and other more flexible strategies may help sport to boost access to skilled labour and make working in sport a more attractive option for stable employment and lasting careers.

The new forms of employment explored by IN-FORMS are:

- > Employee sharing
- > Intermittent permanent employment (not identified by Eurofound)
- > Platform work
- > ICT-based mobile work (remote working)
- > Job sharing
- > Self-employed portfolio work
- > Casual work
- > Voucher-based work

The IN-FORMS project aims to explore these possibilities, promote innovation and help the sector use non-traditional approaches to improve employability and expand the supply of skilled labour.

In its first phase of work, IN-FORMS carried out research into the current European sport workforce, employer attitudes to sport employment, the realities of paid staff recruitment and retention and the potential of new forms of employment to address recruitment and retention issues. The research took place in 2024 - 2025 and consisted of four strands:

- > Statistical analysis of the European sport labour force using data provided by Eurostat, the EU's statistical agency
- > Desk research covering sport employment issues and new forms of employment in the 10 partner countries and relevant policy and legal frameworks
- > A first-ever multilingual survey of sport organisations across Europe on the topic of new forms of employment which gathered 2 264 valid responses
- > Interviews with 60 sport employers and employees with experience of new forms of employment.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



MAIN FINDINGS

Atypical characteristics of the sport workforce

In the period 2011 – 2023, the paid sport workforce grew by 32.3% which is almost four times the employment growth in all EU sectors. Findings from the survey suggest sport employment will continue to grow.

However, the sport labour force has some characteristics which make it unusual when compared with other EU employment sectors, in particular:

- > A very high proportion of part-time contracts,
- > A low and declining representation of women,
- > A much higher representation of young workers, many of whom fail to be retained by the sector in their later years,
- > A higher proportion of self-employed workers,
- > A very large engagement of unpaid volunteers.

The desk research and survey also suggest that the sport sector, at least in some countries, may have significant levels of undeclared work (workers being paid but not declaring their earnings to the authorities). Undeclared work isolates workers from social protections, is a highly precarious form of employment and tends to increase labour market segmentation.

Atypical characteristics of the sport labour market

The unusual characteristics of the sport labour force may reflect specific features of the European sport labour market:

- > The sport sector has a high number of small, often under-resourced 'micro-business' organisations who struggle with the complexities of paid employment and lack financial stability to confidently offer full-time, permanent positions.
- > Demand for employment in sport tends to fluctuate seasonally and in response to rising and falling financial resources and specific projects, initiatives and events.
- > Work assignments are often offered in small packages, sometimes only a few hours per week.
- > There is a high demand for weekend and evening work, especially in regard to workers with a sport and fitness occupation, such as coaches, activity leaders. This may deter workers with significant family responsibilities which may particularly apply to women.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Problems of recruitment and retention

There is evidence from the desk research and the survey that the recruitment of skilled staff is a challenge for sport organisations:

- > 62.6% of survey respondents who had tried to recruit in the previous 12 months reported recruitment difficulties
- > The top 5 respondent reasons for these difficulties were:
 - Lack of applicants with the right skills or qualifications
 - Unattractive salaries or benefits
 - Low number of applicants generally
 - Working hours do not fit applicants' personal or family responsibilities
 - Lack of applicants with the right work experience

Retention of paid staff is also a challenge:

- > 39% of survey respondents reported difficulties in retaining the staff they wish to keep
- > The top five reasons given were:
 - Higher salaries offered by other employers
 - Better career progression opportunities elsewhere
 - Working hours no longer fit lifestyle
 - Better employment offers, e.g., permanent contracts
 - Changes in staff members' personal or family responsibilities

From the survey we can see that the retention of younger staff is indeed a challenge.

- > Over 72% of survey respondents who had retention problems agreed that retaining staff under the age of 30 is a difficulty. The top five reasons offered were:
 - Salaries and benefits are not enough as they grow older
 - More attractive opportunities in other sectors
 - Lack of long-term career opportunities
 - Unstable work
 - Working hours no longer fit lifestyle

In terms of both recruitment and retention, the top 5 jobs with the most difficulties were:

- > Sports coaches (over 60%)
- > Middle managers (over 20%)
- > Clerical and office staff/receptionists (over 15%)
- > Operational staff (over 15%)
- > Outdoor activity leaders and animators (over 10%)

The precarity of sport employment seems to be clearly understood by employers:

- > 82.1% of survey respondents recognised the precarity of sport employment and felt it needed to be addressed.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Uncompetitive salaries and benefits

From all areas of research, it is clear that one of the most significant problems affecting recruitment and retention is salaries and benefits.

- > 91.9% of survey respondents agreed that offering competitive salaries is important to recruit and retain skilled staff
- > 57% identified unattractive salaries and benefits as the second most significant recruitment barrier
- > 60% identified it as their number one retention difficulty
- > 68.4% saw it as their main challenge in retaining younger staff.

All strands of research suggest that sport organisations lack the financial stability and headroom to improve salaries for staff employed on traditional contracts and that some new forms of employment may help to maximise incomes and benefits for some segments of the workforce.

New forms of employment in sport

All of the new forms of employment included in the research are present in the European sport sector.

Those with the 5 highest levels of implementation were:

- > Remote working (46%)
- > Intermittent permanent employment (23%)¹
- > Self-employed portfolio work (30%)
- > Casual work (21%)
- > Job sharing (24%)

The new forms of employment that appeared most attractive to employers who had not tried them were:

- > Platform work (29%)
- > All the others had a score of less than 10%.
- > Employee sharing (23%)

Sport employers' experience of new forms of employment

The top five new forms of employment which were most recommended by those who had used them were:

- > Intermittent permanent employment (79%)
- > Platform work (65%)
- > Remote working (76%)
- > Job sharing (73%)
- > Employee sharing (66%)

1 - The high implementation level for intermittent permanent employment is driven predominantly by the large number of responses from France where this practice is more common (40.1%) than in all other countries (18.2%).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The involvement of females and youth

The new forms of employment which showed the highest levels of female engagement were:

- > Job sharing
- > Remote working
- > Self-employed portfolio work

This suggests that these new forms of employment may assist the recruitment and retention of women in the sport workforce.

With the exception of casual work, all new forms of employment showed a low level of youth engagement, suggesting that they are more attractive to the older age groups and therefore may help to retain younger workers as they grow older.

Table 1 on next page summarises the main findings from the survey regarding the new forms of employment.



Table 1: Overview of new forms of employment

Form	Implementa- tion level	Awareness level	Attracti- veness to nonusers	Recom- mended by users	Female en- gagement	Youth enga- gement	Top 5 relevant jobs	Top 5 advantages	Top 5 disadvantages
Employee sharing	Very Low	Low Medium	Low	High	Low Medium	Low	• Coaches • Outdoor leaders • Clerical/ Admin • Fitness instructors • Middle management	• Access to workers with guaranteed skills • Another organisation takes care of admin • No need for training • Worker has broader experience • Responsive to fluctuating demand	• Difficulties of remote management • Potential conflict of interest • Worker does things differently • Lack of team integration • Complex admin
Platform work	Very Low	Low Medium	Low	High	Low Medium	Very Low	• Coaches • Fitness instructors • Outdoor activity leaders • Professional athletes • Middle management • Operational staff	• Easily identify skilled workers needed • Only use the worker when needed • Worker appreciated flexibility • Low administration burden • Tax and social security taken care of	• Potential conflict of interest because worker may also work for competitor • Additional cost of platform fee • Lower worker motivation • Less management control • Lack of team integration
Job sharing	Low	High	Very Low	High	Medium	Very Low	• Clerical and admin • Coaches • Operational staff • Middle management • Fitness instructors	• Someone available to take full responsibility when other absent • Skill sharing • Recruitment attraction to fit with worker's personal circumstances • Retain skilled staff when personal circumstances change • Increased staff loyalty	• Potential conflict between job sharers • More complex to administer • Communication and handover between skill sharers • Difficulty managing two people in same job • Competition between job sharers
Casual work	Low	Medium	Very Low	Medium	Low Medium	Low Medium	• Coaches • Operational staff • Clerical/ Admin • Outdoor leaders • Officials	• Flexibility to fluctuating demand • Better value • Easily identify casual workers for more stable employment • Workers take care of tax and social security • High levels of worker motivation	• Casual workers less reliable • Casual workers less loyal • Need for additional worker training • Casual workers less motivated than other workers • Legal requirement to transition casual staff to more stable employment after a period
Intermittent permanent employment	Low	Medium	Very Low	Very High	Low Medium	Low	• Coaches • Clerical/ Admin • Middle management • Operational staff • Outdoor activity leaders	• Retain good and reliable staff • Stable workforce • Easy administration • Increased reliability through worker having more stable lifestyle • Good team integration	• Still had to pay staff when demand and workload low • Complex salary administration • Unattractive to some staff who prefer more flexibility • Difficult contract negotiations

Form	Implement- ation level	Awareness level	Attracti- veness to nonusers	Recom- mended by users	Female en- gagement	Youth enga- gement	Top 5 relevant jobs	Top 5 advantages	Top 5 disadvantages
Remote working	Medium	Very High	Very Negative	Very High	Medium	Very Low	• Middle management • Clerical/ Admin • Senior management • Coaches • Operational staff	• Organisation more attractive to potential recruits • Increased worker loyalty and motivation • More flexibility in deploying workers to different locations • Recruit staff from outside immediate geographical area • Ability to measure worker performance on outcomes, not hours worked	• Difficulty in remotely managing workers • Team communication and collaboration more difficult • Workers not feeling involved in organisation and culture • Workers take longer to learn the job • Remote workers less productive
Selfem- ployed portfolio work	Low Medium	High	Very Low	High	Low	Very Low	• Coaches • Fitness instructors • Outdoor activity leaders • Operational staff • Middle management	• Easy access to type of workers needed • Workers take care of tax and social security • Organisation has no long-term commitment to worker • Worker can improve organisation because more broadly experienced • Worker more motivated because they enjoy independence	• Worker less loyal to organisation • Potential conflicts of interest • Lack of team integration • Less motivated than employed staff • Greater job dissatisfaction
Voucher- based work	Very Low	Low Medium	Negative	Medium	Low Medium	Low	• Coaches • Clerical/ Admin • Operational staff • Fitness instructors • Middle managers	• Employment fully compliant with laws and regulations • Employment provides worker social protection • Employer receives a benefit for using this form of employment • Reduced administrative burden • Automatic calculation of salary, tax and social security	• Process to register with voucher system • Workers less committed/ motivated • Lack of continuity between different workers • Poor team integration • Difficulty in finding recruits for voucher-based work

In the case of six of the eight new forms of employment included in the survey respondents put sport coaches at the top of the list which suggests they are using new forms of employment to address their number one recruitment and retention need.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Alignment of new forms of employment with employers' priorities

As part of the survey, respondents were asked to select their main priorities in regard to employment. These were then compared with the advantages which employers using the new forms of employment had identified. Table 2 summarises this analysis.

Table 2: Alignment of new forms of employment with employer priorities

Employer's priority and % who selected it	Relevant new form of employment	Reasons
Flexibility in staffing based on organisational needs (51.2%)	Employee sharing	The employer can draw on a shared employee according to demand but has no ongoing commitment.
	Platform work	The employer can access a platform worker according to demand but has no ongoing commitment.
	Casual work	The employer can access a casual worker according to demand but has no ongoing commitment.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The employer can access a self-employed worker according to demand but has no ongoing commitment.
	Voucher-based work	The employer can find an appropriate voucher-based worker when needed but has no ongoing commitment.
Ability to retain skilled staff despite changing circumstances (47.9%)	Employee sharing	The shared employee is permanently employed, and their skills are retained.
	Platform work	A platform worker who has proved their expertise can be rehired when needed.
	Intermittent permanent employment	Seasonal workers with the right skills and attitudes can be retained on a year-by-year basis.
	Casual work	A casual worker who has proved their expertise can be rehired when needed.
	Self-employed portfolio work	A self-employed worker who has proved valuable in the past can be contracted again.
Easy access to skilled staff despite changing circumstances (44.1%)	Employee sharing	The employers' alliance or sport federation provides the worker and ensures their qualifications.
	Platform work	Skilled workers can be hired from platform which guarantees their qualifications and skills.
	Intermittent permanent employment	Seasonal workers with the right skills and attitudes can be retained on a year-by-year basis.
	Self-employed portfolio work	Self-employed workers can be hired relatively quickly and should have the necessary skills. Depending on their availability they can be brought back into the organisation whenever appropriate.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Improving work/ life balance and job satisfaction for staff (41.7%)	Platform work	The platform worker can choose assignments which fit their own circumstances.
	Job sharing	A worker can negotiate working hours which fit their personal circumstances.
	Intermittent permanent employment	The seasonal worker is permanently employed but they also have the option of finding additional employment in the periods when they are not needed on-season.
	Casual work	The casual worker can choose assignments which fit their own circumstances.
	Remote working	The remote worker can work from home and combine/balance work/ life responsibilities.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The self-employed worker may have more freedom to choose assignments which fit their own circumstances.
Reducing the employer's administrative burden (payroll, HR etc.) (37.8%)	Employee sharing	The employers' alliance or sport federation takes care of the HR function.
	Platform work	The platform or the platform worker is responsible for tax and social security issues.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The self-employed worker is responsible for tax and social security payments. The employer simply manages a service contract.
	Voucher-based work	The voucher agency takes care of tax and social security issues.
Cost effectiveness (35.5%)	Employee sharing	The employers' alliance or sport federation employs the shared employee and pays their full-time salary. The employer only pays for the hours they work.
	Platform work	The employer only pays for the hours the platform worker works. However, the platform will charge an additional service fee.
	Job sharing	The employer gets the combined skills of two workers but only pays one salary.
	Casual work	The employer only has to pay the casual worker for the hours they work. However, in most countries casual workers must be offered permanent employment after a certain period of employment (e.g., 12 months).
	Remote working	The employer may reduce their office space and other associated overheads such as heating and lighting. However, they may need to pay the worker an allowance for home working.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The employer only pays for the hours the self-employed worker works. However, the employer cannot be the self-employed worker's only contractor.
Easy access to staff with wider experience (27.8%)	Employee sharing	The shared employee works across a range of different organisations and gains wider experience.
	Casual work	The casual worker may have experience of other organisational processes.
	Platform work	The platform worker will work for a range of other organisations.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The self-employed worker will work for a range of other organisations.
Access to specialised expertise for specific projects or problems (27.1%)	Employee sharing	With a large enough pool of employees, the employers' alliance or sport federation should be able to provide specialists.
	Casual work	An employer may be able to find a casual worker with specialised skills.
	Platform work	Platforms may include specialist workers.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Obstacles to the use of new forms of employment in sport

Almost one half (47.8%) identified lack of knowledge about appropriate employment options. Almost as many identified legal restrictions of the survey respondents (46.6%). 36.3% selected the need for more guidance and 33.8% felt new forms of employment were too complicated to implement or manage. All of these findings suggest:

- > The sport sector needs more tailored information about the new forms of employment
- > Employers (and probably employees) require more expert guidance on how to use them in line with legal requirements.

Work-life balance

Having working hours which are more compatible with family responsibilities and preferred lifestyle also emerged as an important factor influencing recruitment and retention. In terms of the wider benefits of the new forms of employment, some of them may have the potential to offer the worker more flexibility in this regard. However, there are also disadvantages which may include greater need to travel to work destinations, increased pressure, working across a variety of different organisations and intrusions into personal life.

Meeting new skills demands

The desk research identified that new technologies like artificial intelligence are finding their way into the sport sector and that this has training implications. Employers also see a mismatch between the skills which staff currently have and the changing demands of working in the sector. 84.1% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'The rapid development of the sport sector means staff need new skills.'

It is also clear that some of the new forms of employment raise training issues. Platform workers and remote workers, for example, need ICT skills. The managers of employee sharing schemes and remote workers need skills in how to manage staff performance remotely. Self-employed portfolio workers need entrepreneurial skills.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the main findings from all strands of research, the IN-FORMS partners have summarised the following conclusions.

- > Recruitment and retention of paid staff is and will continue to be a problem, and the sport sector needs to find methods of addressing these.
- > The precarious nature of European sport employment has a negative impact on the workforce and the sector needs to find ways of making sport employment more stable.
- > Sport employers are very willing to address recruitment and retention challenges and the unusual characteristics of the workforce (in terms of part-time work, gender and age) but also want to maintain flexibility in employment.
- > New forms of employment are already showing some limited take-up in the sport sector and are proving their value, but sport employers and employees need more information, especially on how they work and the potential advantages and disadvantages of each.
- > In addition to information on new forms of employment, employers and employees need clear and objective guidance on how to select appropriate new forms of employment as appropriate to their needs, be aware of potential risks posed by the disadvantages and how to minimise these risks and ensure that their employment contracts meet legal requirements.
- > Only job sharing and remote working seem particularly relevant to the recruitment and retention of female workers. However, all of them appear to engage older workers rather than youth and therefore may help to retain younger sport workers into their later years.
- > Some of the new forms of employment offer the potential to increase incomes for some sport workers and this is an advantage which could be further explored and promoted.
- > Some of the new forms of employment offer the potential to allow workers to create a more acceptable work-life balance and this is an advantage which could be promoted.
- > The emergence of new technologies in the sport sector and the specific demands of some new forms of employment trigger new skills requirements. Both employees and employers need to be aware of these additional skill needs and be ready to address them.
- > It is also clear that some of the new forms of employment raise training issues. Platform workers and remote workers, for example, need ICT skills. The managers of employee sharing schemes and remote workers need skills in how to manage staff performance remotely. Self-employed portfolio workers need entrepreneurial skills.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

RECOMMENDATIONS

- > The sport sector must continue to professionalise through growing its paid workforce in order to meet the social and economic expectations of the EU and national governments.
- > The sport sector must find ways of solving the recruitment and retention problems it faces cost-effectively, legally/ethically and in ways that balance the employers' need for flexibility with the needs of the workforce, in particular for stable employment, decent wages, career development and lifestyle expectations.
- > Solutions to the recruitment and retention of paid staff should pay special attention to the recruitment and progression of female workers, the career development and retention of younger staff and transitioning volunteers, former athletes and undeclared workers into formal employment.
- > Some of the strategies currently being used by employers are proving to be valuable and should be encouraged; these include:
 - > Providing continuing education and training opportunities
 - > Improving working conditions
 - > Implementing work-life balance initiatives
 - > Increasing financial incentives
- > Sport employers' experience of new forms of employment suggests that all of them potentially have a role to play in addressing recruitment and retention problems, but awareness in the sector is low and there needs to be more active dissemination and promotion of these new forms.
- > Employers must receive very careful guidance on each new form of employment because each one can address different types of recruitment and retention problems, and each one brings disadvantages and risks for employers and workers and potential legal and regulatory complications.
- > The education and training of the sport workforce must be improved so that employers and workers can engage effectively with new forms of employment and the more general technological developments impacting on the sport sector.



2 INTRODUCTION TO IN-FORMS

> 2.1 THE DRIVE FOR A PROFESSIONAL SPORT WORKFORCE

| The IN-FORMS project embraces the Council of Europe definition which identifies sport as:

“All forms of physical activity which, through casual or organised participation, aim at expressing or improving physical fitness and mental wellbeing, forming social relationships or obtaining results in competition at all levels”².

The European Union has increasing expectations for sport to deliver on a broad socio-economic agenda. There is a growing recognition of the potential of sport as a social and economic driver and, as a result, there is an increasing level of expectation from national governments for the sector to become a powerful tool linked to mainstream policy in education, health and the economy and for it to be used to promote social cohesion. At the same time the sector is evolving away from a predominantly amateur/voluntary-led model towards one which is based on professional service delivery.

These developments demand a workforce which is more highly skilled and broadly competent, yet sport organisations struggle to recruit and retain people with the right skills, especially in the wake of COVID and the subsequent recovery period. These recruitment and retention problems are holding back the professionalisation of the workforce.

There is evidence from earlier research that existing employment practices in the sector are a significant contributing factor. The traditional one-to-one employer/employee relationship is not always compatible with many of the specific features of the sport sector and the expectations from potential staff members. More creative, flexible and innovative approaches are needed which address these specific features, promote greater inclusion in the sport labour force and develop professionalisation further.

> 2.2 BUILDING ON THE SUCCESS OF THE RECENT FORMS PROJECT

IN-FORMS builds on earlier research. FORMS – the predecessor project – was a small-scale partnership (2021-2022), funded under Erasmus+ sport with five partners based in Belgium, France, Luxembourg, Netherlands and Portugal.

Coordinated by EOSE, the main objective of FORMS³ was to focus for the first time on whether this need for innovation in sport employment could be at least partially addressed by some of the new forms of employment identified and tracked by the EU’s agency *The European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions* (Eurofound) over the period 2000 - 2020⁴. Eurofound had carried out research in a diverse range of other employment sectors such as retail, hospitality and agriculture, but provided no analysis which was directly relevant to the sport sector.

FORMS carried out preliminary and limited desk research and bottom-up consultation activities with a sample of sport employers and other key stakeholders. These revealed a keen interest in new forms of employment and an appetite to explore and develop them further in sport. They suggested and encouraged the implementation of a deeper analysis and the development of some practical tools to raise the level of awareness and understanding of these emerging forms of employment, and to propose some concrete and interactive solutions to encourage and support the use of appropriate forms in the national contexts.

2 - Revised European Sports Charter, Council of Europe, 2021.

3 - www.forms-sport.eu

4 - A full explanation of Eurofound’s new forms of employment is given in Section 7 of this report. www.eurofound.europa.eu/en/topic/new-forms-employment

IN-FORMS was designed as logical follow-up to keep up the momentum and as a direct response to the sector's needs and expectations to broaden and deepen the research carried out by the FORMS project, to pursue the consultation with sport stakeholders (bottom-up approach) and to propose a series of innovative developments towards the modernisation of the sport labour market through the use of innovative forms of employment.

IN-FORMS has the following objectives:

- > Carry out qualitative and quantitative research into employment challenges in the sport sector and evaluate the potential of Eurofound's new forms of employment to address these challenges.
- > Inform and support policy and decision makers on the potential for new forms of employment in sport.
- > Build the capacity of sport organisations, employees and the self-employed to adopt more innovative approaches to employment.
- > Raise awareness of the potential of innovation to address employment challenges in the EU sport sector.

This report is the first output from the project and summarises the findings from the research phase.

> 2.3 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH

The research phase was considered vital by the IN-FORMS partners because it was hoped that it would create a robust evidence base for the other three phases of work. **The research phase had the following objectives:**

- > Identify and assess the current employment challenges facing European sport employers, particularly in regard to the recruitment and retention of paid staff.
- > Identify and explore the European sport sector's current responses to employment challenges.
- > Identify and evaluate the existence of new forms of employment in European sport with particular attention given to the experiences of employers, employees and the self-employed.
- > Identify and assess the actual and perceived barriers to the adoption of new forms of employment in the sport sector and the types of support which employers may need to put them into practice.

The qualitative research covered the partners' home countries: Albania, Belgium, France, Greece, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania and Sweden. It also included statistical analysis for the EU-27 countries as a whole and an analysis of EU policy and legal frameworks relevant to employment.

The quantitative research was aimed at the whole of the EU-27 nations but also included some inputs from European countries outside the EU.

3 THE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology consisted of four strands:

- > Statistics on sport employment gathered from Eurostat and compiled and analysed by EOSE
- > Desk research carried out by the partners
- > A major survey of sport organisations across Europe
- > Interviews with sport employers and sport employees

> 3.1 STATISTICS GATHERED FROM EUROSTAT

Since 2018, EOSE has maintained dialogue with senior researchers from Eurostat to compile and analyse all available statistics which exist for the sport sector at the European level. For countries with fewer statistics, EOSE has worked in parallel to supplement this data with additional statistics obtained through direct contacts with some National Statistics Offices (NSO). This work covers the period 2011-2023. Because the study began before Brexit and because of the UK's relatively large sport labour force (around one fifth of the former EU28), the UK continues to be included. Statistics from Eurostat and from NSOs are gathered through quarterly labour force surveys of the working population.

The scope of the analysis follows the Council of Europe's definition of sport, '*All forms of physical activity which, through casual or organised participation, aim at expressing or improving physical fitness and mental well-being, forming social relationships or obtaining results in competition at all levels*'. Therefore, it only includes all types of workers in a sport organisation (defined by Eurostat as NACE 93.1) and all those with a sport and fitness occupation working in other types of organisations (defined by Eurostat as ISCO 342).

It does not, therefore, include workers employed in related sectors, for example, sport retail, sports goods manufacture or facility construction.

Explanatory notes on the statistical methodology can be found in EOSE's *2023 Sport Employment Statistics in Europe: Research Report*⁵.

> 3.2 PARTNER DESK RESEARCH

Each national partner was asked to complete a common desk research template, the overall aim of which was to collate as much information as possible to identify realities and challenges of sport employment in their countries, discover more about the penetration of new and emerging forms of employment and analyse them in the context of the sport sector (*e.g., potential use, working conditions, impact on labour market, strength and weaknesses*). The intention was to identify some good practice examples and draft conclusions on the emerging forms of employment in the sport sector to inform later deliverables. The partners' desk research would also inform the survey design and interview guides.

5 - www.eose.org/labour-market-statistics/

The template was to be filled in based on the partners' knowledge and understanding of sport employment and new forms of employment in their country and by actively looking for and referencing existing sources (*for example, research studies, papers, government policies, legislation etc.*) where these were available. They were also encouraged to contact people in their networks for possible sources, but they were not asked to do any original research (such as surveys, interviews) or data collection in this phase which focused on what sources already exist and can be found. However, if they could not find relevant sources, they were asked to offer their own impressions and opinions.

The desk research template consisted of the following sections:

- > Sport employment in your country
- > New forms of employment in your country in sectors other than sport
- > New forms of employment in your country in the sport sector
- > Legal, regulatory and policy context for new form of employment

The research was carried out in the summer and autumn of 2024. All national partners completed the template.

EOSE, as a European network organisation, did not complete a national research template. Instead, its researchers focused on EU employment statistics and policy frameworks/legislation with special attention to new forms of employment. EOSE also compiled relevant data from its statistical analysis of sport employment for 2023 and presented the trends since 2011 (Section 4 below).

> 3.3 SURVEY OF EUROPEAN SPORT ORGANISATIONS

Once the statistical and desk research phases were complete, the partners used this knowledge to design an online survey using the Survey Monkey platform aimed at sport organisations in Europe. The purpose of the survey was to gather more quantitative data on the recruitment and retention of paid sport employees (directly employed and self-employed), the issues which affect these and knowledge and use of new forms of employment in sport. The draft survey questionnaire was tested in English, using the partners and sport stakeholders who were not members of the consortium, and adjusted in the light of feedback.

Following the testing phase, the survey was then translated into 18 European languages with the support of the partners and EOSE members and launched at the end of November 2024. All partners and EOSE members actively promoted the survey to organisations in their networks, and it remained open until the end of February 2025. All aspects of the survey were covered by the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and respondents were only required to identify themselves if they wished to. They were also asked if they would be willing to take part in an interview conducted by the appropriate national partner.

In order to facilitate respondents' engagement with the survey and our analysis of results, most of the questions were closed, i.e., only requiring a yes/no answer, choice from a list of options or to show levels of agreement on a Likert scale.

In order to maximise participation and provide a broad European perspective, the survey was open to sport organisations outside the EU. However, the majority of respondents were based in the EU27.


When the survey was closed and the data cleaned, there were 2 264 valid responses. The original target was 400.

3.3.1 - Country representation

The countries represented in the survey and their percentage representation were as follows:

Figure 1: Which country is your organisation registered in? If your country is not listed - choose 'Other' at the bottom of the list and insert the name of the country in English.

■ n=2264




The comparatively high number of responses from Portugal, France, Sweden and Greece (all partner countries in the project) was probably due to the fact that these partners were either government entities (Portugal and Greece) or organisations with very close links to employers (France and Sweden).

3.3.2 - Organisational types and sizes

The majority of respondents represented sport clubs, followed by sport federations and municipalities.

Figure 2: Choose an option that best describes your organisation.


■ n=2264



Over two thirds of respondent organisations were not for profit, voluntary or charitable organisations.

Figure 3: Which of these categories best describes your organisation?


■ n=2264



Reflecting the high number of sport clubs in the survey, the largest group of respondents represented organisations which were microbusinesses, employing fewer than 10 employees (45.7%).

Figure 4: Approximately how many paid staff work in your organisation in a typical year? Please, include all full-time and part-time staff, self-employed, as well as temporary/seasonal staff and paid apprentices/interns; for this question, do not include volunteers

■ n=2264




3.3.3 - Sports represented

Overall, 61 different sports were represented. The top 12 sports represented were:

Figure 5: Top 12 sports represented by survey respondents

■ n=1535



> 3.4 EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE INTERVIEWS

Following the completion of the survey and based on its initial findings, partners set out to complete 50 interviews with employers and employees (5 interviews in each partner country) in January-April 2025, focusing on organisations and individuals with reported experience of the new forms of employment. The objectives of the interviews were to:

- > Explore how sports organisations are experiencing the adoption of new forms of employment to address skills shortages, staff retention, and career progression.
- > Investigate the challenges, opportunities, and structural changes that have emerged from these new employment models in the sports sector.
- > Explore employees' personal experiences with new employment forms (e.g., voucher-based work, job sharing) in sports organizations.
- > Understand the impact of these employment models on job satisfaction, career progression, and work-life balance.
- > Identify challenges and opportunities that employees face in these employment arrangements.

Survey respondents with experience of the different new forms of employment were targeted for the interviews, representing the employer themselves, or being asked to connect the national researcher with an employee with relevant experience.

An initial list of potential interviewees was created and analysed in order to ensure a diverse and representative sample of interviewees (wide selection of new forms used, different types of organisations, gender balance, employer/employee balance). Following the initial shortlist partners approached the potential interviewees from survey respondents and from their networks.

3.4.1 - Interview process

One of the partners, LUNEX, led on the development of the semi-structured interview scripts. A draft script was created and amended following discussions with all partners during partner meetings. Each partner received the final scripts, instructions and interview report template to complete for each of the interviews conducted.

The employer interview script contained the following sections:

- > Section 1: General Role in the Organization (Icebreaker)
- > Section 2: Recruitment and Retention Challenges
- > Section 3: Implementing New Forms of Employment
- > Section 4: Awareness and Perception of New Employment Forms
- > Section 5: Barriers and Support
- > Section 6: Building a Skilled and Inclusive Workforce
- > Section 7: Technology and Digital Transformation
- > Section 8: Conclusion

The employee interview script contained the following sections:

- > Section 1: Current Role and Employment Experience (Icebreaker)
- > Section 2: Recruitment and Retention Experiences
- > Section 3: Experience of New Employment Forms
- > Section 4: Skills, Training, and Career Development
- > Section 5: Employer Practices and Support
- > Section 6: Future Outlook
- > Section 7: Conclusion

Partners were free to conduct the interviews in their language. If respondents filled out the survey, partners received their full set of responses to inform the interviews. Each interviewee signed a consent form before being interviewed. Partners recorded and transcribed each interview and summarised the key findings in English using the interview report template provided to them.

The collated findings were then analysed and anonymised by LUNEX and EOSE and integrated in the research report.

3.4.2 - Interview sample

Partners completed 60 interviews in total, i.e., 10 more than the original target:

Country	Employee	Employer	Total
Albania	4	4	8
Belgium	5	0	5
France	3	4	7
Greece	3	2	5
Luxembourg	0	5	5
Netherlands	5	0	5
Poland	5	0	5
Portugal	4	6	10
Romania	3	2	5
Sweden	5	0	5
total	37	23	60

4

STATISTICAL OVERVIEW OF EMPLOYMENT IN THE SPORT SECTOR

4

STATISTICAL OVERVIEW OF EMPLOYMENT IN THE SPORT SECTOR


In this section we summarise the key available findings from the statistical analysis.

> 4.1 TOTAL SPORT EMPLOYMENT 2011-2023

In 2023, 1 958 467 workers were employed in sport in the EU and UK. It grew by 32.3% from 2011 to 2023 according to the definitions provided in Section 3.1. This compares with EU employment growth in the same period of 8.7%⁶.

This represented 0.84% of the total employment (all economic sectors). As a percentage share of total employment in all economic sectors, there was a growth of about 0.14 percentage points over 12 years, suggesting that sport employment had become increasingly significant in the overall economy during this period.

Figure 6: Total sport employment 2011-2023 for EU+UK




6 - Total number of employees in the European Union to 2023, Statista, 2025.



4

STATISTICAL OVERVIEW OF EMPLOYMENT IN THE SPORT SECTOR

Figure 7: Total sport employment as a percentage of total employment (all economic sectors) for the EU+UK



The largest component of the total sport workforce comprises those with a sport and fitness occupation: athletes and players, sports coaches, instructors and officials, fitness and recreation instructors and programme leaders. They occupied 1 110 201 posts which represented 56.7% of total sport employment. Since 2011, the number of workers with a sport and fitness occupation grew by 39% which is 6.8 percentage points greater than the growth of total sport employment.

> 4.2 GENDER

In 2023, sport workforce was predominantly male. There was a tendency for the female share of the workforce to decline over the period of study (47.9% of female workers in 2011, and 45% in 2023). Compared to the EU average (all economic sectors), the representation of females employed in the sport sector was lower by 1.7 percentage points (46.7% in all sectors versus 45% in sport employment).

Gender imbalance is even more evident among workers with a sport and fitness occupation: 56.6% men versus 43.4% women. This is 3.3 percentage points lower than the EU average for all sectors.

Female representation is not only lower than the EU average, but it has also declined over a 12-year period.

4 STATISTICAL OVERVIEW OF EMPLOYMENT IN THE SPORT SECTOR

> 4.3 AGE

In 2023, there was a notably higher percentage of youth employed in sport than the EU average for all sectors (25.9% in sport versus 8.7% in all sectors). This share rose from 22.9% in 2011. 49.9% were aged 25-49 (compared to 58.5% in all sectors) and 24.2% were aged 50+ (compared to 32.8% in all sectors).

As in the case of gender, this tendency was more pronounced among workers with a sport and fitness occupation. Here we find that 29.1% were in the 15-24 age bracket, i.e. 20.4 percentage points higher than the EU average for all types of employment.

In contrast to female employment, young workers are significantly overrepresented, and this tendency has increased, particularly in the recovery period from COVID.

> 4.4 EDUCATION

From the statistics we can see that people employed in sport had become better qualified over the period 2011-2023, with the proportion of workers with high level qualifications growing from 28% in 2011 to 39% in 2023. Despite this, in 2023, sport had a slightly lower percentage of workers with higher education qualifications than the EU average (39.8% in all sectors).

However, sport and fitness workers on the whole are better qualified with 42.6% holding high level qualifications.

> 4.5 TYPES OF EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

From the 2023 statistics, we can see that more people employed in sport were on full-time contracts (56.8%) compared to part-time contracts (43.2%). This is a significantly higher percentage of part-timers compared to the EU average for all sectors (18.9%). For female workers, the share is higher – 50% of females had a part-time contract.

There was an even higher proportion of workers with a sport and fitness occupation on part-time contracts (almost one half) compared to total sport employment (47.4% vs 43.2%).

The proportion of self-employed people in total sport employment was much higher than the EU average for all sectors (22.2% in sport versus 13.1% in all sectors). It has risen by 4.3 percentage points since 2011. Male workers were slightly more likely to be self-employed compared to females. Slightly more than half of all self-employed workers in sport were over the age of 40 years.


In the case of sport and fitness workers, this tendency towards self-employment is more pronounced. Almost one third (31%) of workers with a sport and fitness occupation were self-employed, significantly higher than all those in total sport employment (22.2%).

4 STATISTICAL OVERVIEW OF EMPLOYMENT IN THE SPORT SECTOR

The importance of self-employment in the sport sector is reflected in the survey when respondents were asked whether their organisation engaged self-employed people with almost one half saying that they did:

Figure 8: Does your organisation engage any self-employed people (e.g., contractors, freelancers)?

■ n=1526



> 4.6 THE ROLE OF VOLUNTEERS


Volunteers play a role in other employment sectors, for example, social care and the performing arts, but sport has by far the largest voluntary workforce in Europe.

Eurostat does not collect statistics on volunteering. However, some general data is available. According to an earlier Erasmus+ sport project, V4V (using statistics derived from Eurobarometer)⁷, around 6% of the EU population aged 15 and above volunteer in sport which equates to around 12m citizens. This probably contributes around 97m hours of work per month which would be equivalent to over 608 000 full-time paid posts in sport organisations. In the survey conducted by V4V (2 723 respondents), 92.2% of respondents agreed that sport organisations could not provide the services they do without volunteers.

This was reflected in the IN-FORMS survey where 75.8% reported that they engage volunteers. Respondents also were asked approximately how many volunteers they engage on average each year:

Figure 9: Please indicate approximately how many volunteers your organisation engages on average each year? (e.g. coaches, board members, office and other helpers etc.)

■ n=1142



Whereas the high proportion of volunteers in sport may be seen as positive for both organisations and volunteers, it may have an impact on certain aspects of paid employment, for example, salary levels and undeclared work (workers 'informally' being paid for their services without making tax or social security contributions).

7 - Sport Volunteering in Europe: Realities, opportunities and challenges, V4V Project, 2023.



5

EMPLOYMENT REALITIES AND CHALLENGES IN THE SPORT SECTOR

> 5.1 UNUSUAL FEATURES OF THE SPORT LABOUR MARKET

Research undertaken by an earlier FORMS project and reinforced by the partners' research suggested a number of features of the sport labour market which may contribute to the atypical characteristics of its labour force as highlighted in Section 4. These features include:

- > Many small organisations - There is a high number of small organisations (evidenced by the survey findings where 45.7% of respondents employed less than 10 staff), especially sport clubs. These have historically relied on volunteer staffing which is not governed by employment law and tends to be more flexible. For these organisations, transitioning from flexible volunteer arrangements to less flexible paid employment, governed by tighter regulation, and financial constraints is a challenge. Indeed, the ready supply of volunteers may possibly make transitioning to undeclared, rather than fully declared, employment more likely.
- > These organisations are predominantly volunteer-run and often lack the administrative capacity to efficiently administer payroll and other human resource functions (e.g., recruitment, interviews, reviews etc.) needed to manage paid workers. This may constrain full-time or permanent employment and encourage a tendency towards self-employment and/or undeclared work.
- > Financial instability - Some sport organisations are too financially unstable to feel confident about entering into formal employment contracts with staff on a long-term basis. Without additional financial support (for example, from public authorities or private sponsors) finding the money for permanent full-time employment contracts requires raising membership fees which might in turn reduce participation in sport, especially for economically disadvantaged participants which sport organisations may feel reluctant to do.
- > Intermittent nature of work - Work in sport organisations is often intermittent due to school holidays, seasonal sports and financial fluctuations which contribute further to precarious employment.
- > Small packages of work - Work assignments are frequently offered in small packages, especially for the sport and fitness workers such as sport coaches which make it difficult to create a full-time career.
- > The intermittent and fluctuating nature of sport employment make it more difficult for workers, especially those in the younger age groups, to foresee, plan and implement career progression in sport or to access training opportunities to support career progression.
- > Unsocial hours - There is a high prevalence of 'unsocial hours' working with many assignments needed in the evenings and weekends which may act as a deterrent to workers with family responsibilities, more likely females.
- > Many specialist staff such as coaches and trainers already have full-time 'day jobs' in other sectors which often makes additional employment complicated and unattractive.

It seems an enormous challenge to modify any of these demand side factors since they are very much a feature of the market for sport and physical activity services. Therefore, alternative approaches need to be explored, but these must conform to EU and national employment laws and regulations.

> 5.2 GENERAL RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION CHALLENGES

Through their desk research all partners mentioned recruitment and retention as significant issue in their countries.

Reasons offered by the partners were:

- > Low salaries
- > High unemployment rates in some countries which deter people from seeking long-term careers in sport
- > Precarious work
- > Seasonal work
- > Lack of work experience
- > Lack of required qualifications and skills
- > Unattractive conditions of employment
- > Lack of career development opportunities
- > Lack of professional development opportunities
- > Lack of motivation and personal qualities
- > Geographical factors in rural areas
- > Few working hours spread over a broader time frame
- > Irregular and unsocial hours
- > Multiple jobs leading to administrative burdens
- > New skill requirements
- > Organisational financial instability/budgets
- > Competition from other sectors
- > Strenuous and intensive work
- > Mismatch between what employees expect and what employers offer
- > Lack of opportunities for remote work
- > Impact of Covid

Belgium highlighted various regional difficulties in employment regulations including restrictions on part-time work, association work, flexible work and the constantly changing regulatory framework.

Some countries felt that recruitment and retention was less of a problem but also mentioned:

- > Disparities in salaries with the private sector, especially in niche areas such as law, finance and communication
- > Difficulties in hiring coaches for smaller sports

The Albanian partner highlighted the issue of emigration from the country as leading to skills shortages in most sectors.




5.2.1 - Recruitment

The survey findings tended to confirm that recruitment of paid staff was a significant challenge for sport organisations.

Of those respondents who had attempted to recruit paid staff in the previous 12 months, nearly two thirds (62.6%) said they had encountered difficulties.

Figure 10: When you were trying to recruit paid staff, did you experience any difficulties?


■ n=1281



However, as Figure 11 shows, there are differences between some of the countries surveyed. Using some examples, we can see that recruitment would appear to be significantly more difficult in Albania and Portugal than in Sweden and Luxembourg.

Figure 11: When you were trying to recruit paid staff, did you experience any difficulties? - Comparison between Albania (n=52) and Portugal (n=480) and Sweden (n=384) and Luxembourg (n=40)


■ n=1281



Of those who had experienced recruitment difficulties, by far the largest proportion of these applied to sport coaches.

Figure 12: Which of the following types of paid staff has it been difficult to recruit for?

■ n=770




The predominance of coaches was the main recruitment difficulty in sport clubs. In other types of organisations, middle management roles were more significant.

The reasons for recruitment problems which the respondents selected were:

Figure 13: What, in your opinion, were the reasons for your recruitment difficulties? Choose up to 5 most important reasons.

■ n=781



5

EMPLOYMENT REALITIES AND CHALLENGES IN THE SPORT SECTOR

The highest percentages of the respondents (above one half) selected 'Lack of applicants with the right skills and qualifications' (57.5%) and 'Unattractive salary or benefits' (57%). Other issues such as 'Working hours do not fit applicants' personal or family responsibilities' and 'Unattractive number of working hours' were selected by fewer respondents, yet these remain significant. However, there were some variations by job role. For example, 71.8% of those trying to recruit middle managers identified salaries and benefits as the main impediment.

Interestingly, the 'Lack of career progression opportunities' was selected by only 17.3%, suggesting that this is less of an issue at the point of recruitment than the others. The challenge of recruiting workers with the right skills and qualifications was a strong theme which ran across almost of the interviews.



As an operations manager from a major Swedish sport body explained,

"Finding competent staff is by far our biggest problem."



Sometimes, this is a particular issue for more specialised roles within sport, as the sports director from a Polish sailing club reported,

"Our main challenge is finding skilled staff, especially for working with children."



Competition with other sectors was also highlighted:


"Our Unit has four psychologists, three full-time. There was a need to recruit one more and we had a lot of difficulty. The financial conditions are not attractive, they are not fantastic, and there are few sports psychologists available." (Sport psychologist at a major Portuguese sport club).

5.2.2 - Retention

From the survey results we can see that retention of paid staff is considered to be an area of concern for sport organisations. From the survey, we can see that 85.7% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed with that retaining skilled staff is a significant challenge for sport organisations.

Figure 14: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements / Retaining skilled staff is a significant challenge for sport organisations.

■ n=2088




5

EMPLOYMENT REALITIES AND CHALLENGES IN THE SPORT SECTOR

Indeed, when they were asked about their own experiences, it is significant that 39% reported they had difficulties in retaining paid staff that they wished to keep in their organisation.

Figure 15: Do you have difficulties in retaining paid staff that you wish to keep in your organisation?


■ n=1929



In terms of the occupations in which it was most difficult to retain staff, the findings are very similar to recruitment shown above.

Figure 16: Which of the following types of paid staff do you experience difficulties retaining?

■ n=737




However, there were variations according to organisational type. For example, 85.7% of sport clubs identified coaches as the main job role with retention difficulties, 50% of sport federations selected middle managers and 90.9% of fitness clubs chose fitness instructors/personal trainers.

Those respondents also offered the following possible reasons for retention difficulties.

Figure 17: What, in your opinion, are the reasons for your difficulties in retaining paid staff? Choose up to 5 most important reasons

■ n=743



When compared to the reasons for recruitment difficulties, we can see that the issue of uncompetitive salaries still tops the list with around the same level of support (60%). However, the issue of 'Better career opportunities elsewhere' now becomes much more significant (39.3% for retention and only 17.3% for recruitment). Other issues relating to working hours and fit with personal and family responsibilities seem equally important in both recruitment and retention.



Some of the interviewees were aware that increasing salaries is not the only or the most feasible solution. As the technical adviser to a French table tennis league noted

“Financial incentives can help retain staff, but the organization has limited capacity in this regard. The main issue is the lack of career progression opportunities, along with the fact that professionals’ availability often depends on their personal situations (relocation, family obligations affecting their schedules).”



The difficulties of meeting staff expectations for work-life balance also emerged from the interviews. A manager from a national sport federation in Netherlands mentioned that

“We have experienced a high staff turnover. Four out of five of our new hires left within a year due to mismatched expectations or difficulty balancing work and private life.”

> 5.3 GENDER IMBALANCE

The relatively low representation of females presented in Section 4, especially in the ‘public facing’ sport and fitness roles such as coaches, personal trainers etc., is a matter of concern not only from the perspective of gender equity and making the best use of potential skills but also because the lack of obvious female role models may make it more difficult to attract girls and women into sport and physical activity.

The desk research revealed that the issue of gender imbalance is significant in almost all partner countries (with the exception of Sweden) and many possible reasons were offered. These included:

- > Culture and gender stereotypes
- > Lower salaries for women
- > Less female participation in sport
- > Less female participation in some perceived ‘masculine’ sports
- > Lack of female role models in executive jobs
- > Lack of career advancement opportunities
- > Unsocial hours
- > Inflexible employment conflicting with family responsibilities
- > Employers’ concerns about pregnancy/maternity
- > Difficulties in returning to fitness following childbirth
- > Lack of mentoring and support programmes for women




5

EMPLOYMENT REALITIES AND CHALLENGES IN THE SPORT SECTOR

Respondents to the survey also appeared sensitive to the issue of gender equity. When asked to show their level of agreement with the statement 'The sport workforce must become more inclusive in terms of gender and disability to make full use of available talent', 62.5% either agreed or strongly agreed and only 7.7% disagreed or strongly disagreed.

Figure 18: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: The sport workforce must become more inclusive in terms of gender and disability to make full use of available talent.


■ n=2014



There was also a majority for those who agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'The sport sector would benefit from having more women in executive and management positions' (56.1%) versus only 6.7% who disagreed or strongly disagreed. This statement was more highly supported by female respondents (67.8%), but over one half (50.3%) of men also agreed.

Figure 19: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: The sport sector would benefit from having more women in executive and management positions.

■ n=2014




It seems important, therefore, to explore and address reasons for lower levels of women in the sport workforce and employment strategies which could increase female representation, including at the higher levels.

Despite the importance of gender equity in the sport sector and the relatively low representation of females, especially among those with a sport and fitness occupation, respondents to the survey who had experienced recruitment problems did not emphasise female recruitment as a very significant issue. Over one quarter (27.3%) said the recruiting women was particularly difficult.

Figure 20: Have you had difficulties recruiting enough female staff members in particular?


■ n=781



However, of those who did, the reasons they gave are worth noting:

Figure 21: What reasons would you give for difficulties recruiting female staff members in particular? Choose up to 5 most important reasons.

■ n=211



The fact that not enough women apply and that not enough women have the right skills, qualifications or experience may suggest that the pipeline of females attracted to work in sport and then go through the appropriate education and ongoing professional development is relatively narrow. Given that 46% felt that working hours do not accommodate family responsibilities and that 29.9% felt the work environment is perceived as being predominantly male may help to explain this.

> 5.4 AGE IMBALANCE

The statistics on the age profile of the sport workforce presented in Section 4 (high and growing numbers of young workers compared with low and reducing numbers of middle-aged and older workers) suggest that, compared to other sectors, a significant number of employees tend to leave sport employment after the age of 25. This is concerning because the sector is clearly losing talent relatively early in the workers' careers and that talent is being lost to other sectors.

The desk research found that the retention of young workers was a significant issue in the partner countries. A very large number of possible reasons were offered:

- > Low wages compared to other sectors
- > Unfavourable working conditions and poor work/life balance
- > Mismatch between young people's expectations and the reality of employment
- > Unstable employment
- > Lack of ongoing training opportunities
- > Lack of career progression opportunities and information
- > Lack of financial stability in the employing organisation
- > Changes in family circumstances (e.g., children)
- > Young people are now more volatile in their employment
- > Parental disapproval of working in sport
- > Difficult relationships with volunteer governance bodies
- > High intensity leading to burnout
- > Lack of mentoring programmes for young people


5

EMPLOYMENT REALITIES AND CHALLENGES IN THE SPORT SECTOR

The relative immaturity of the sport workforce presents some concerns, particularly in terms of employers having staff with skills gained from many years of experience and continuing professional development. This is reflected in the survey findings where 43.5% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'Sport organisations would benefit from having older and more experienced staff' with only 16% disagreeing or strongly disagreeing.

Figure 22: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Sport organisations would benefit from having older and more experienced staff

■ n=2014




This suggests that almost one half of sport employers see the potential benefits of a more mature and experienced workforce.

The survey findings tended to support the desk research suggestion that retention of younger staff is indeed a challenge. Of those who reported general retention problems, nearly three quarters (72.8%) said that retaining workers under the age of 30 was a particular problem.

Figure 23: Do you have any difficulties in retaining young staff members, under the age of 30, in particular?


■ n=743



The reasons given were:

Figure 24: What, in your opinion, are the main reasons for difficulties in retaining young staff members, under the age of 30, in particular? Choose up to 5 most important reasons

■ n=534




Over one half of these respondents highlighted the issues of salaries and benefits (68.4%) and reinforced the desk research finding that the sport sector tends to be uncompetitive because there are 'More attractive opportunities in other sectors' (66.3%). When compared with the reasons for general retention issues (Figure 17), we can see that career opportunities are much more significant when it comes to the retention of younger staff (39.3% for general retention compared to 59.4% for young people).

When addressing the specific issue of career development opportunities in sport, 71.1% either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'Lack of career progression opportunities makes it difficult for sport organisations to retain younger staff' with only 9.6% disagreeing or strongly disagreeing.

Figure 25: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Lack of career progression opportunities makes it difficult for sport organisations to retain younger staff.

■ n=2014



Some of the problems in retaining young workers were discussed during the interviews.



"We hire a significant number of young people, particularly through apprenticeships. However, at the end of the apprenticeship period, we often cannot offer them a permanent position due to a lack of available working hours and financial resources. For me, this represents a barrier to inclusivity, as the club cannot always provide long-term opportunities for young professionals despite their training and integration into the organization." (President of a French multi-sport club).



The head of HR at a European sport federation in Luxembourg commented:

"A lot of young employees change organisations often after 2 or 3 years. We are definitely losing important skills due to retention issues."



Attitudinal changes across the generations were also mentioned. A senior coach from a Greek martial arts club reflected

"I am unsure whether today's youth will have the endurance to remain in this field. Responsibilities, taxes, housing, family life with children — all of these things weigh heavily. I am concerned that the individuals from the older generations are perhaps a bit more idealistic and sensitive when it comes to remaining loyal to this field, even if they are not fairly compensated for what we truly deserve."



Some of the interviews also brought out some of the advantages of employing older and more experienced workers. For example, the president of a French multisport club reported

"I have been hesitant to hire a young person due to concerns about their long-term commitment and experience. I generally prefer to recruit individuals with more professional experience and exposure to diverse audiences, as they tend to adapt more easily."



But some interviewees also acknowledged the impact of uncompetitive salaries on youth retention:

“Retaining employees is a major challenge. Long-term employees with 20 years of experience are becoming increasingly rare. For example, a communications officer requested a salary increase after four years, but we were unable to grant it, leading the employee to leave for a more attractive opportunity. As a result, the association had to recruit a new candidate. Younger employees often seek higher salaries quickly, which poses a challenge for the organization.” (Manager from a French regional Olympic Committee association).

> 5.5 PART-TIME AND TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT

From the desk research, the partners identified the following common types of employment in sport in their countries:

- > Permanent full-time employment
- > Permanent part-time employment
- > Temporary full-time employment
- > Temporary part-time employment
- > Self-employment
- > Seasonal employment

Some additions were noted in some countries:


- > Permanent intermittent contracts
- > Apprenticeship contracts

The desk research and statistics shown in Section 4 indicate that the sport sector, when compared to other sectors, has a very high proportion of part-time contracts. This is particularly evident for those who have a sport and fitness occupation.

This was strongly reflected in the survey where respondents were asked about the balance of full-time and part-time staff in their organisations.

Figure 26: What is the balance of full and part-time paid staff in your organisation?

■ n=1461




Therefore, almost half of the organisations surveyed (41.7%) indicated that they have either a majority of part-time staff or only part-time staff, with 11.6% indicating about an equal proportion.

Unfortunately, Eurostat only collects data on part-time, full-time and self-employed contracts. Therefore, official data on other types of contracts (for example, temporary and seasonal work and apprenticeships) is not available.

Although Eurostat does not provide statistics on temporary employment in the sport sector, the survey provided some data. When asked about the balance of permanent and temporary staff in their organisations, the findings were:

Figure 27: What is the balance of permanent and temporary paid staff in your organisation?

■ n=1469




27.3% indicated that they either had a majority of temporary staff or only temporary staff with 13.1% showing an equal balance of the two.

Sport organisations clearly feel this has an impact on sport employment. Respondents were asked to show their level of agreement with the statement, 'Part-time and temporary jobs make it difficult for sport organisations to retain skilled staff.'

Figure 28: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Part-time and temporary jobs make it difficult for sport organisations to retain skilled staff

■ n=2088



5


EMPLOYMENT REALITIES AND CHALLENGES IN THE SPORT SECTOR

Therefore, 69.4% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that part-time and temporary work has a negative impact on retention.

They were also asked to show their level of agreement with the statement, 'A lot of work in the sport sector is precarious and we need to find ways to make sport employment more stable.'

Figure 29: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: A lot of work in the sport sector is precarious and we need to find ways to make sport employment more stable.

■ n=2088




82.1% either agreed or strongly agreed.

However, the respondents could also see some advantages to sport organisations from this type of employment. 65.3% either agreed or strongly agreed that 'Part-time and temporary positions give sport organisations the flexibility they need for some roles in the sector.'

Figure 30: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Part-time and temporary positions gives sport organisations the flexibility they need for some roles in the sector.

■ n=2014



5

EMPLOYMENT REALITIES AND CHALLENGES IN THE SPORT SECTOR

The flexibility of short-term contracts in responding to rising and falling demand was emphasised in many of the interview findings.



For example, a manager in a Polish sport club reported

“We use short-term contracts tied to participant numbers. This helps to adjust staffing costs based on real need.”



This was also clearly linked with unstable funding in the minds of many of the interviewees:

“I get to know about the budget once a year which makes it difficult to plan. New money can come all of a sudden and then people have to be hired quickly, which is difficult. We can only offer fixed-term employment and this minimizes the number of applicants.” (Operations Manager in a Swedish sport body).



The impact of budgetary constraints on employment contracts was echoed by the sporting director of a Luxembourg football club:

“Many people just work part-time for the club and are with the club for a long period. We don’t have enough budget to hire them full-time, most of them work for the club because of their “love” for the club.”



The advantages of working with self-employed workers were also acknowledged:

“Some professionals prefer to work only as self-employed contractors, which has become the most common employment form today. For me, this arrangement is simpler, especially since the demand comes from the self-employed professionals themselves.” (President of a French multi-sport club).

5.6 UNDECLARED WORK

Undeclared work (sometimes referred to as ‘informal employment’ or ‘the grey economy’) is defined by the European Commission as *“any paid activities that are lawful as regards their nature, but not declared to public authorities, taking into account differences in the regulatory systems of the Member States.”*⁸

Undeclared work may come in different forms:

- > The most common type is work carried out in a formal undertaking, **partially or fully undeclared**. Partially undeclared work is sometimes also called **“under-declared work”, “envelope wages” or “cash-in-hand”**
- > Another type is **undeclared “own account” or self-employed work**, where self-employed persons provide services either to a formal enterprise or to other clients, such as households.

Undeclared work contributes to the precarious nature of sport employment and creates disadvantages for undeclared workers because they have no access to job protection, unemployment/social security benefits, pensions, holiday pay, professional and career development and all the other advantages of a formal employment contract, thus reinforcing labour market segmentation.

Whereas Eurobarometer has carried out some research into undeclared work in the EU in general and some other sectors, there are no official data for sport. However, from their desk research the partners were concerned that this is a significant feature of sport employment in at least: Albania, Belgium, Greece and Portugal.

Sweden acknowledged that it had been a problem in the past with coaches and athletes but felt that this was now being addressed through collective agreements and lower tax rates for people with a limited number of hours and low salaries.


The desk research suggested reasons for undeclared work include:

- > Limited organisational budgets
- > Seasonal and temporary employment
- > Complex employment regulations which deter employers from formal employment contracts
- > Difficulty in finding a regular job
- > Low salaries which leave little finance for tax and social security
- > High levels of tax and social security contributions
- > Low social welfare benefits
- > High levels of unemployment

Findings from the survey suggest that undeclared work in sport is probably significant at least in some countries. When asked about the statement, ‘Many workers in the sport sector do not declare their earnings to the authorities’, 29.6% of all respondents either agreed or strongly agreed.

Figure 31: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Many workers in the sport sector do not declare their earnings to the authorities.


■ n=2014



8 - https://employment-social-affairs.ec.europa.eu/policies-and-activities/eu-employment-policies/undeclared-work_en

Of the 15 countries for which there were statistically significant samples, we can see that variations to this question by country were quite significant.

Figure 32: Agreement percentage to statement “Many workers in the sport sector do not declare their earnings to the authorities” by country



It should be noted that neither of these graphs shows the extent of undeclared work in these countries, only a level of awareness of the issue.

Possibly one of the strongest factors influencing undeclared work in the sport sector is the very high number of volunteers and the sector's historic dependence on voluntary work, and there may be a grey area between volunteering and paid employment. Whereas reimbursing a volunteer's legitimate expenses is entirely legal, in most countries paying them for their 'voluntary' labour is not, yet it is possible to see how small employers with few robust HR and finance systems could easily give volunteers financial rewards on an informal basis.

Because of the negative consequences of undeclared work, it seems important to find ways of addressing the issue through employment strategies which may include new forms of employment.


> 5.7 SKILLS GAPS AND MISMATCHES

It is important to note that the relatively high education levels reflected in the statistics in Section 4 mostly cover qualifications gained through initial education and training (through universities and vocational providers). They do not necessarily reflect the relevance of that initial education and training to ongoing and changing skills demands in the sector.

From the survey, for example, we can see that 84.1% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'The rapid development of the sport sector means staff need new skills.'

Figure 33: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: The rapid development of the sport sector means staff need new skills.

■ n=2014




As we see in Section 5.1.1 above, the most significant recruitment challenge facing sport employers is finding applicants with the right skills and qualifications.

Indeed, the majority of respondents felt better skills development opportunities for staff throughout their working lives would have a beneficial impact on recruitment and retention. 81.7% either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'The sport sector could recruit and retain more staff if there were more opportunities for them to develop skills throughout their careers.'

Figure 34: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: The sport sector could recruit and retain more staff if there were more opportunities for them to develop skills throughout their careers.

■ n=2014



Mismatches between the skills needed by employers and the skills provided by educational institutions was mentioned during the interviews.



For example, the manager of a private fitness club in Lisbon noted

"Because there is a shortage of skills and at the level of the university institutions that should be providing training, there is a lack of soft skills, talking to parents, working in groups, empathy, knowing how to deal with conflicts, problems, being interested in learning more."



Other interviewees emphasised the value of experience as well as initial education and training:

"Gaining professional experience is very important. If during graduation students do internships and participate in professional experiences, this is very important. This allows you to gain experience in the job market and provide organizations with a guarantee of what you can do." (Senior sport psychologist, Portuguese sport club).

> 5.8 THE IMPACT OF COVID

Although the highpoint of the pandemic lockdowns was four years in the past when the research took place, the partners were also concerned that the impact of COVID on sport employment may have continued.

From the statistics, key points for the period 2019-2020 (high point of the pandemic) include:

- > A reduction of 3.7% in the total sport employment in which was more severe than the 1.4% decrease in total EU employment (all economic sectors). This was the first reduction of the total number of people employed in sport since the start point of the analysis in 2011. Workers with a sport and fitness occupation were more impacted with a reduction of -4.1%.
- > There was a disproportionate impact on certain groups. Females, youth (15-24 age group), workers with high level qualifications, those with low level qualifications and those on part-time contracts were by far the most impacted by the pandemic.
- > There was a rise in the percentage of self-employed workers in sport during that period.


The following the pandemic high point, 2020 to the end of 2023, showed significant signs of recovery. Key points include:

- > A growth of 231 676 (+13.4%) persons employed in sport which is greater than the recovery in total EU employment (all economic sectors) which was 3.3%. This rise is most evident for those workers with a sport and fitness occupation (+17.5%). Whereas sport may have been more severely affected by the pandemic than other economic sectors, it is showing a strong 'bounce-back'.
- > However, recovery was not uniform across all the countries. By 2023, 18 of the 28 nations analysed had total sport employment which exceeded 2019 levels, but 10 of the nations had still not returned to, or exceeded, 2019 levels. These include Germany and the UK which have some of the largest sport labour forces.
- > The representation of females in the workforce returned to pre-2019 levels.
- > Young workers (15-24) gained 136 977 positions (+36.9%). This was the highest number of young workers joining the sport sector in the whole period 2011-2023. Once again, this gain was most evident in those having a sport and fitness occupation (+45.2%).
- > There was an increase of 121 021 part-time contracts (+16.7%) compared to 132 795 full-time contracts (+13.6%) which suggests the balance of part-time to full-time positions in sport employment may have been returning to the pre-2019 situation.
- > After the gain in the percentage of those with self-employed status in sport in the period 2019-2020 (+2.1 percentage points), by 2023 this percentage fell back to 2019 levels.

Respondents to the survey were also asked to show their level of agreement with the statement 'It is more difficult for sport organisations to recruit and retain skilled staff following the Covid pandemic.'

Figure 35: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: It is more difficult for sport organisations to recruit and retain skilled staff following the Covid pandemic.


■ n=2088



The results were fairly evenly balanced. 28.9% either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement whereas 30.4% either disagreed or strongly disagreed, with the largest group (40.8%) neither agreeing nor disagreeing. These findings probably reflect the uneven nature of the recovery across Europe.

Further analysis of the 15 countries for which sample sizes were statistically significant show the extent of variations.

Figure 36: Agreement percentage for statement “It is more difficult for sport organisations to recruit and retain skilled staff following the Covid pandemic.” by country



There was some evidence from the interviews that experience of Covid and the period of post-pandemic recovery had made recruitment and retention worse.



The chair of a sport club in the Netherlands highlighted its impact on salary expectations:

“Covid 19 has had a huge impact on the wages of portfolio workers. They are now asking for more wages, because they have noticed that they have hardly any rights when their job ends.”



Additionally, several interviews mentioned its impact on the more rapid adoption of digital solutions:

“During COVID, some activities were conducted remotely using digital tools. This helped people break their isolation and provided opportunities for social interaction, which was beneficial. Even some people over 60 started using digital tools to participate in remote sessions.” (President of a French multi-sport club).


> 5.9 INCOMES AND BENEFITS

It is notable that the desk research identified low salaries offered by sport employers as being an issue affecting both recruitment and retention in the sector. This is also reflected by the survey respondents who identified unattractive salary or benefits as the second greatest recruitment barrier (57%) and higher salary offers from other employers as being their number one retention problem (60%). The survey also identified that salaries and benefits not being enough as staff grow older as being their main challenge to retaining younger staff (68.4%).

Survey respondents were also asked to show their level of agreement with the following statement, 'Offering competitive salaries is important to recruit and retain skilled staff.'

Figure 37: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Offering competitive salaries is important to recruit and retain skilled staff.

■ n=2088



In this case, 91.9% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed.

The partners' desk research also suggested that low salaries also contributed to undeclared work since little money was left to make income tax and social security payments whilst maintaining a reasonable income.

However, the partners' desk research suggests that increasing salaries may be a particular challenge. It was noted that in almost all countries, sport organisations faced a certain level of financial instability and lack of finance which often make it more difficult to compete with other employers, especially those in the private sector. Reasons given included:

- > Inadequate government planning and support
- > Lack of access to government funding
- > Inflation, especially in energy costs
- > Limited budgets which restrict investment for the future
- > Difficulties in obtaining sponsorship
- > Reduced or consistently low sport participation levels leading to reduced revenue


Without a change in these circumstances, it seems important to find ways to optimise incomes for sport workers without imposing additional financial burdens on their employers. Some of the new forms of employment may offer at least partial solutions.

The comparatively high proportion of self-employed workers in sport which was noted in Section 4 may suggest some possible approaches. The reasons for this high level need to be explored further, but it is arguable that this may be linked to the low salaries and benefits associated with traditional forms of employment in many sport occupations.

For example, nearly one half of survey respondents (48.8%) agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'Many workers in the sport sector become self-employed because it improves their income potential.' Only 15.7% disagreed or strongly disagreed.

Figure 38: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Many workers in the sport sector become self-employed because it improves their income potential.

■ n=2014



However, there were variations by country. For example, 75.4% of Polish respondents supported this statement and 67.7% of Greek respondents compared to only 26% in Sweden and 22.9% in Luxembourg.

This may suggest that self-employment, at least in some countries, becomes one method which some sport workers use to address the comparatively low salaries associated with sport employment. Self-employment may represent one way of transforming the traditional small packages of directly employed work into a portfolio of service contracts which, when combined, provide an acceptable income and offer additional benefits such as better work-life balance, pensions and social protections. By implication, this advantage may apply to some of the other new forms of employment explored in Sections 7, 9 and 10 below.

The issue of comparatively low salaries in the sport sector came up in almost all of the interviews.



For example, an operations manager in a Swedish sport body reported that

“There is strong competition for labour. It can be difficult to attract people in terms of salary. Sport does not keep up with wage developments which also makes it difficult to retain staff.”



This was reflected again in the interview with an HR manager at a Romanian sport federation:

“One of the main challenges related to employment is aligning salary expectations with financial constraints. Many young professionals entering the workforce expect salaries of €1,000 to €2,000 per month, but in reality, remuneration is determined based on a strict salary grid set by the Ministry. New graduates, especially those without prior experience, often start at minimum wage, which can impact recruitment and retention.”



A coordinator from a Luxembourg sport federation commented

“For me, it is important that employees have some passion for their job in sport because salaries are often worse than in other sectors.”



The potential effects of rising salaries were emphasised by a technical coordinator in a Belgian sport federation.

“The federation is working on salary optimization to keep staff satisfied and ensure sustainability. We want to add small benefits such as meal vouchers to keep staff satisfied without making salaries too high. However, there is a real fear that salaries will become too high in the future due to indexing and seniority, which could lead to staff layoffs.”



The impact which restricted salaries can have on employees was summarised by a coach from a martial arts club in Greece:

“Financial compensation is not high, life has turned into a struggle for survival (e.g., low wages and inadequate social security).”



The attraction of self-employment in maximising incomes along with other benefits was acknowledged by the technical director at a youth association in Portugal:

“Personal trainer work is in great demand and workers leave because they see the advantages. They don’t get receipts, they get paid cleanly, they don’t have any hassles, they make their own timetable, they even teach via WhatsApp, they feel they get the most back, they don’t have to worry so much, they have more freedom, and they end up getting paid more.”

> 5.10 THE IMPACT OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES ON SPORT

During their desk research, the partners were asked to identify any research concerning the introduction of new technologies (for example, artificial intelligence) in the sport sector and its potential effect on employment (e.g. staff replacement), recruitment and training (e.g. new skills requirements triggered by new technologies).

This was possibly the most difficult question for partners to answer due to limited research findings. The following were mentioned often in relation to artificial intelligence (AI):

- > Use by coaches and trainers in performance analysis, team management/preparation and talent scouting
- > Promotion of new/less popular sports
- > Social media to promote athlete branding
- > Use by athletes, coaches and recreational participants to improve training plans
- > Automation of administrative tasks
- > E-sports
- > Simulators
- > Sales of tickets, membership and merchandise
- > Remote working
- > Machine translation

Netherlands mentioned in particular initiatives in education, training and continuing professional development which incorporate the use of new technologies.

Several partners identified the risks concerning job adaptation and job replacement but also highlighted the potential benefits of new technologies for the sport sector.

The survey did not collect data on this issue. However, some very useful information on the topic emerged from the employer and employee interviews.

The overall importance of digital technologies was noted by many of the interviewees.



The secretary general of an Albanian sport federation explained:

“Technology and digital transformation are reshaping our industry. Digital skills are crucial to stay competitive, with organizations investing in training. E-commerce and online platforms create new models and job opportunities offering growth potential but require workforce adaptation.”



The deputy director of an NGO based in Greece summarised how she felt about the impact of new technologies:

“Digital transformation in the sports sector has led to changes in both the way we operate and the skills we look for in our staff. 1) The use of new technologies and online tools, 2) Increase in part-time and remote employment, 3) The introduction of online sports programs, 4) Coaches and expert advisors can provide guidance via online platforms, 5) Remote working has been adopted for program management and communication positions, 6) Trainers are now being trained in the use of virtual coaching tools, 7) We use digital employee evaluation platforms to better monitor employee performance.”

There was a great deal of evidence that digital transformation was already having a noticeable impact on sport administration in particular.



For example, the HR manager for a Romanian sport federation explained

“The digital transformation in the sports sector has already had a noticeable impact on our federation. They are actively involved in adopting digital tools to streamline operations, including online game sheets for 3 v 3 competitions, which are no longer physically printed but managed through a platform. This transition represents just one example of how the organization is embracing digitalization to improve efficiency and enhance data management. The digitalization of player IDs is another important development, with plans to implement electronic player IDs that include QR codes.”



And there was some evidence as to how improving administrative efficiency could lead to job replacement. A manager in a Swedish sport club noted

“At first, we had difficulties with the implementation of a new IT system, but once it worked, it facilitates and streamlines our operations enormously. We ended up not needing two posts.”



The interviews also revealed some evidence of the use of digital technologies in a coaching context:

“Additionally, our club has incorporated virtual reality (VR) goggles into their training programs, offering athletes the opportunity to refine their sailing skills in a simulated environment. These technological advancements demonstrate the club’s commitment to staying at the forefront of sailing development.”
(Chairperson of a Polish sport club).



The sporting director of a Luxembourg football club indicated that the take-up of new technologies is being led in other departments and is only slowly being adopted in coaching:

“New digital possibilities have brought about a transformation in our marketing and communications. Although new digital systems are being used on the sport side, data-supported decision-making is not yet as advanced as I would like.”



However, some interviewees felt the potential impact of technology on sport occupations where there is an emphasis on person-to-person interactions may be limited:

“In my view, face-to-face human interaction remains essential. I don’t believe that AI will be able to replace human coaches.” (Technical adviser, French table tennis league association).



In terms of upskilling, some interviewees explained that organisations should not simply assume that young people have the necessary digital skills.

“Every employee is different! It is not a generational issue. It is not a given that a 25-year-old knows all the new technology.” (Manager, Swedish sport body).



Additionally, several interviewees noted how digital transformation can enable new forms of employment.

“The digital transformation in the sports sector has had a positive impact on our staffing approach. It has allowed us to implement more flexible employment models, including remote and part-time jobs, which align well with our needs and contribute to overall efficiency.” (General secretary, Albanian sport federation).

> 5.11 SHORT-TERM OUTLOOK FOR SPORT EMPLOYMENT


There are clearly a number of challenges facing paid employment in sport. Nevertheless, there is clear evidence from the employment statistics outlined in Section 4 that sport employment continues to grow. Even following the severe impact of COVID on sport organisations during lockdown, the statistics show an impressive 'bounce back' (Section 5.8 above).

The survey respondents were asked two questions which are relevant.

Firstly, they were asked if, compared to 12 months ago, the number of people in their organisation had increased, remained the same or decreased:

Figure 39: Compared to 12 months ago, the number of people employed in your organisation has...

■ n=2252




Whereas just over one half (58.5%) indicated that the number of employees had remained the same, 25.4% said that the number had increased compared to only 12.9% who indicated a decrease. This would tend to confirm the statistical findings which show the sector's employment growth.

Then the respondents were asked about how they think the number of people employed might change over the following two years.

Figure 40: How do you think the number of people employed in your organisation will change over the next 2 years?

■ n=2253



This shows some level of optimism on the part of sport organisations with 44.2% predicting that it would remain the same, with 31.2% forecasting an increase and only 11.9% predicting decrease.

6

EXISTING INITIATIVES TO SOLVE THE EMPLOYMENT CHALLENGES

6

EXISTING INITIATIVES TO SOLVE THE EMPLOYMENT CHALLENGES

> 6.1 EUROPEAN UNION

The EU, national governments and employers themselves have already begun to address some of the employment challenges highlighted above.

From EOSE's desk research, we identified that the EU's Employment Package (Section 5.2) contains the following measures:

- > promoting and supporting self-employment, social enterprises and business start-ups
- > transforming informal or undeclared work into regular employment
- > encouraging decent and sustainable wages
- > reducing labour market segmentation between those in precarious employment and those on stable employment
- > anticipating economic restructuring
- > developing lifelong learning
- > reinforcing social dialogue

> 6.2 NATIONAL GOVERNMENTS

From the partners' desk research, we can also see a number of efforts by national governments and sport stakeholders/policy makers. These include:

- > National employment and skills strategies
- > Internships and scholarships
- > Funding streams/initiatives for the continuing professional development of sport workers
- > Increasing staff salaries in line with inflation
- > Employment programmes targeting young people and the unemployed
- > Programmes aimed at the 'feminisation' of the sport workforce
- > Sport for All and Events programmes which specifically target unemployed sport graduates
- > Dual career programmes for athletes
- > Employment programmes specifically targeting disabled sport workers to achieve agreed quotas
- > Promotion of some new forms of employment, in particular job sharing, employee sharing, platform work and intermittent permanent employment
- > Programmes aimed at job transition and career change
- > Employers and universities working together to improve the relevance of initial higher education
- > Capacity building programmes to strengthen sport organisations as employers
- > Knowledge-sharing networks on human resources in general and underrepresented groups in particular
- > Measures to tackle undeclared work


6

EXISTING INITIATIVES TO SOLVE THE EMPLOYMENT CHALLENGES

> 6.3 SPORT EMPLOYERS

Respondents to the survey were asked what types of solutions they had used to try to address recruitment and retention challenges and whether they would recommend these.

Figure 41: Have you used any of the following solutions to recruitment and retention challenges? Would you recommend them to other organisations?



It is interesting that, given the points noted earlier about the importance of salaries/incomes, 'Increasing financial incentives (salaries and bonuses)' ranks only number 5 on the list of those options tried and is the option with the highest number of respondents saying that they had tried it but would not recommend to others (13.5% of those who tried). This may underline the financial constraints which sport organisations face. 'Softer' approaches such as education and training initiatives, improving working conditions, implementing work/life initiatives and improving one's reputation as an employer appear to be more frequently used and more likely to be recommended.

It is also significant that using non-traditional employment arrangements features last on the list (17.1%) which may suggest that new forms of employment are not currently widespread, known of or understood in the sport sector.



7 NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT AS IDENTIFIED BY EUROFOUND

In the previous sections, we have looked at the sport labour force and some of its unusual characteristics and examined some of the recruitment and retention challenges which sport employers face.

In this section we look more closely at new forms of employment as identified by the EU's agency *The European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions (Eurofound)*.

> 7.1 THE EUROFOUND RESEARCH

In its 2020 report⁹ which builds on 20 years of research, Eurofound noted that:

“Societal and economic developments, such as increased flexibility in the labour market and in-company policies or the broader use of advanced information and communication technology (ICT), can result in new forms of employment. These differ from established forms, either as regards the formal employer–employee (or client–self-employed) relationship or as regards work patterns and work organisation, including working time, place of work or the use of ICT.”

Eurofound defines these emerging employment practices as follows:

“Relationships between employers and employees that are different from the established one-to-one employment relationship. Consequently, employment relationships involving multiple employers for each employee, one employer for multiple employees for one specific job, or even multiple employer–multiple employee relationships are relevant.

“Networking and cooperation between the self-employed, especially freelancers, going beyond the usual types of relationships along the supply chain, the sharing of premises or the traditional conduct of project work.

“In addition, the relevant forms of employment could be, but do not necessarily have to be, characterised by:

- > a place of work other than the premises of the employer, where the employee is mobile and works from multiple locations, possibly including their own office (traditional teleworking is not considered)
- > strong or prevalent support from ICT, including mobile phones, personal computers, tablets or similar, where this technology changes the nature of working relationships or patterns of work.”

9 - Eurofound (2020), New Forms of Employment: 2020, New forms of employment series, Publications of the European Union, Luxembourg. <https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/publications/report/2020/new-forms-of-employment-2020-update>

> 7.2 EUROFOUND'S CATEGORISATION OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT

Eurofound organised what they believe to be new forms of employment into nine categories:

- > **Employee sharing:** A group of employers hires workers and is jointly responsible for them. The concept is similar to temporary agency work, with the purpose of sharing staff to balance the human resources (HR) needs of companies while providing secure employment to workers, and the network itself does not aim to make a profit. This employment form refers to employees only.
- > **Platform work:** This involves the matching of supply and demand for paid labour through an online platform or app. Employment status is not clarified, but in most cases the worker is considered self-employed or freelance.
- > **Job sharing:** One employer hires several workers to jointly fill a single full-time position. It is a form of part-time work, the purpose of which is to ensure that the shared job is permanently staffed. This employment form refers to employees only.
- > **Casual work:** A type of work where the employment is not stable and continuous, and the employer is not obliged to regularly provide the worker with work but has the flexibility of calling them in on demand. This employment form refers to employees only and is sometimes known as a 'zero-hours contract'.
- > **ICT-based mobile work:** The employee or self-employed worker operates from various possible locations outside the premises of their employer (for example, at home, at a client's premises or 'on the road'), supported by modern technologies such as laptop and tablet computers. This is less 'place-bound' than traditional teleworking.
- > **Portfolio work:** This refers to small-scale contracting by freelancers, the self-employed or micro enterprises who work for a large number of clients.
- > **Voucher-based work:** The employment relationship and related payment are based on a voucher (generally acquired from a third party such as a governmental authority) rather than on an employment contract. In most cases, workers have a status between employee and self-employed.
- > **Collaborative employment:** This refers to specific forms of cooperation or networking among the self-employed that go beyond traditional supply chain or business partner relationships.
- > **Interim management:** A form of employment in which a company 'leases out' managers/technical experts to other companies temporarily and for a specific purpose. Unlike in a temporary employment agency, its staff are highly specialised experts who are sent to the receiving companies to solve a specific management or technical challenge or to assist in economically difficult times. Interim management has some elements of consultancy, but the expert has the status of an employee rather than of an external advisor. In practice, however, interim management is undertaken on the basis of self-employment in some countries.

The Eurofound report notes that *“in practice, a specific employment relationship can fall into more than one of the above categories (for example, platform workers tend to be portfolio workers).”*

Given the fact that Eurofound has been using approximately the same categories for 20 years may raise a question as to whether they can still be considered ‘new forms of employment’ or indeed whether sport organisations may see them as being innovative or non-traditional. Casual work, for example, has been a feature in sport for many years, especially when gathering a workforce for occasional sporting events or project-based work. We know from the employment statistics that self-employed portfolio work has been fairly widespread and growing in sport since 2011.

Furthermore, as Eurofound concedes, some of the categories overlap and share certain features. For example, interim management may be undertaken as a form of self-employment in some countries, and collaborative employment is really just a variant of self-employed portfolio work. The prevalent use of ICT is not only a feature of ICT-based mobile work but is also an important component in many examples of platform work.

Nevertheless, the Eurofound categories represent the only widely accepted framework across the EU and therefore it seems important to maintain some level of consistency with this during the IN-FORMS research.

> 7.3 SOME KEY POINTS REGARDING NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT

Key findings from the Eurofound research are:

- > Standard employment (i.e., not the new forms) is prevalent across the EU, but European markets are characterised by increasingly diverse forms of employment. Therefore, these new forms are likely to be enhancements to current employment practice, not replacements.
- > Some emerging employment practices may continue to grow, due to transitions to the digital and a carbon-neutral economy and may have been accelerated by Covid.
- > Many new employment forms are driven by the need for flexibility of employers/clients or workers. When new forms of employment are introduced, it is crucial to ensure that greater flexibility does not reduce workers’ protection. Working time, employee representation, along with health and safety all need to be adequately addressed.
- > For some new forms of employment, the ambiguity of employment status for workers, particularly employment versus self-employment, could contribute to greater labour market segmentation thereby reducing social inclusion.

Given the points noted above, it may be possible that these emerging employment practices could help to address some of the structural issues in the paid sport labour force and the recruitment and retention challenges which sport employers face.

However, as Eurofound notes above, there are risks and potential disadvantages involved in new forms of employment especially in regard to workers’ rights and greater labour market segmentation/reduced social inclusion. Particular attention therefore should be given to EU and national policies, laws and regulations which are designed partly to protect the position of workers. These are outlined in the following section.

8

RELEVANT POLICY, LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS

> 8.1 THE EU

All EU countries are subject to the policy, legal and regulatory frameworks established by the EU. Therefore, the EOSE team examined these in greater detail. This was important to understand better how sport employment might be influenced by the overarching requirements and to what extent new forms of employment may be compatible with these. These are explained in greater detail in Annex 1.

Key Components in the EU Frameworks are:

The EU Employment Strategy (1997) which sets the common set of objectives and targets for employment policy and highlights the creation of more and better jobs. Points of emphasis are:

- > Boosting the demand for labour – guidance on job creation, labour taxation and wage-setting.
- > Enhanced labour and skills supply – addressing structural weaknesses in education and training and tackling youth and long-term unemployment.
- > Better functioning of the labour markets – reducing labour market segmentation and improving active labour market measures and mobility.
- > Fairness, combating poverty and promoting equal opportunities for all.

The EU's Employment Package (2012) emphasises promoting and supporting self-employment and business start-ups, transforming informal/undeclared work into regular employment and reducing labour market segmentation.

Potentially, all of these are relevant to the aims of IN-FORMS.

From a legal perspective, the following must be paid attention to when considering forms of employment:

- | | |
|--|--|
| > EU Rights at Work | > Work-Life Balance Directive |
| > Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions | > Temporary Agency Work Directive |
| > Fixed Term Work | > Platform Work Directive |
| > Part-time Work | > Forthcoming Legislation on the Right to Disconnect |
| > Working Time Directive | > Measures to Reduce Undeclared Work |

> 8.2 THE PARTNER COUNTRIES

Generally speaking, the legal and regulatory frameworks (with the exception of Albania which is not an EU member country) reflect the overall EU employment framework. These are covered in detail, country by country, in Annex 2. It is notable that only a few national legislative frameworks explicitly deal with new forms of employment, although some governments do have strategies for job creation which are relevant.


8.3 THE IMPACT OF LEGAL FRAMEWORKS ON SPORT EMPLOYERS

In the survey, respondents were asked about their level of agreement with the statement 'Employment laws make it complicated for sport organisations to employ paid staff.'

57.5% either strongly agreed or agreed, with only 16.4% indicating strongly disagree or disagree.

Figure 42: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Employment laws make it complicated for sport organisations to employ paid staff.

■ n=2088




However, there were strong variations by country. For example, in Albania 80.9% either strongly agreed or agreed, compared to only 29.4% in Denmark.

There were also variations by organisational size. For example, 67% of those with no paid staff and 60.6% of those employing 1-4 paid staff either strongly agreed or agreed compared to only 45% of those who employed over 50 paid staff. This suggests that the smaller organisations struggle more with employment laws than the larger ones.

Respondents were also asked about their level of agreement with the statement, 'Sport organisations need more expert guidance in recruiting and retaining skilled staff.' 61.4% either agreed or strongly agreed with only 11% in disagreement.

Figure 43: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Sport organisations need more expert guidance in recruiting and retaining skilled staff.

■ n=2088



Sport employers are clearly anxious about complying with employment laws and the majority – particularly the smaller organisations – would welcome more expert guidance. There is a strong possibility that this will be even more the case when it comes to new and non-traditional forms of employment where the confidence level is likely to be even lower. This is explored later in the section on new forms of employment in sport.

9

THE POTENTIAL OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR SPORT

9

THE POTENTIAL OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR SPORT

Whereas Eurofound explored the benefits and risks of the greater employment flexibility offered by new forms of employment in a range of other sectors, their research revealed no specific data concerning uptake in the sport sector. The IN-FORMS survey of sport organisations was intended to fill this knowledge gap.


Prior to the design of the survey questionnaire, the partners included in their desk research an evaluation of the potential benefits and risks of these new forms of employment for the sport sector and EU laws and regulations which may apply. The evaluations were based on the partners' knowledge of the sport sector and the research already undertaken, including the use of new forms of employment in other sectors in their countries, and Eurofound's own general analysis. These are summarised in the tables below.

Given the features of the sport labour market outlined in Section 4, the survey offered the opportunity to gain further insights into where employer priorities lie, and this was an important reference point when evaluating the new forms of employment.

Survey respondents were asked to identify their top five priorities in relation to the employment of paid staff.

Figure 44: Which of the following, in your opinion, are the top priorities for your organisation in relation to the employment of paid staff? Choose up to 5 most important priorities.

■ n=1961



9

THE POTENTIAL OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR SPORT

It is perhaps unsurprising, given some of the unusual features of the sport labour market outlined in Section 4 (fluctuating demand, small packages of work, unsocial hours etc.), that over one half of respondents (51.6%) highlighted the importance of flexibility in staffing based on organisational need. Additionally, they prioritised easy access to skilled staff with guaranteed expertise (44.1%).

Despite the need for flexibility, they also valued the ability to retain skilled staff despite changing circumstances (47.9%) and prioritised improving work-life balance and job satisfaction for their staff (41.7%).

Almost equally important was reducing administrative burdens (37.8%).

In addition to the employer priorities listed above, the evaluation also considered some of the other identified employment challenges, in particular:

- > Gender imbalance
- > Undeclared labour
- > Age imbalance
- > Need to maximise incomes

The question which the IN-FORMS partners needed to explore is whether some or all of the new forms of employment categorised by Eurofound could help to address these priorities and additionally begin to address some of the other atypical features of sport employment such as the relatively low representation of females and the high turnover of younger workers.

It was also necessary to ensure that any new forms of employment recommended for the sport sector are fully compliant with EU and national employment laws and regulations and do not introduce new risks/disadvantages which could not be mitigated by best practice guidance.



> 9.1 EMPLOYEE SHARING

This is defined by Eurofound as, “a situation in which a group of employers forms a network that hires one or several workers to be sent on individual work assignments with the participating employer companies.”

Table 3: Benefits and risks of employee sharing

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Flexibility in employment – much of the work done by sport and fitness workers takes place in small packages or seasonally at particular high points of demand during the year. For the employer, employee sharing is an efficient way of addressing these needs without having to resort to setting up a series of part-time or temporary positions which may prove to be unattractive to qualified coaches and trainers for example.

Reducing administrative burdens – many organisations in the sport sector are small and lack experience and expertise in recruiting staff and managing HR processes. The potential for another ‘umbrella’ organisation to take over these responsibilities is likely to be attractive, especially if this organisation also takes responsibility for quality assuring the staff involved, by ensuring for example that they are appropriately qualified for the assignment.

Stable employment and improved social inclusion – from the employee’s perspective, this form of employment could replace a number of small and temporary part-time positions with one permanent full-time position under a single employer. This could have a positive impact on career development opportunities and reducing the precarity of sport employment. Since the worker is employed by the employer group, they are guaranteed the same conditions, treatments, protections and advantages enjoyed by other workers, for example, in-company training, social security access and employer pension contributions.

A more broadly competent workforce/career development – this type of employment, like Platform Work, provides employees with a broader range work experience. This can benefit employers and employees alike. The employer can benefit from staff who have current experience of working in other sport clubs and gyms and can therefore adopt and integrate their good practices. For the employee, they are likely to gain a wider range of skills which could enhance their career development opportunities which appears to be a particular issue in sport.

RISKS

Difficulties in establishing a group of employers – this new form requires the setting up of an employers’ alliance or similar arrangement with the full confidence and commitment of all its members. Within the sport sector, this may be challenging, although some examples already exist in France. However, all organised sports have some form of national federation or governing body. These bodies already exist and employ staff and could take on responsibilities similar to those of an employers’ alliance.

The employer group may be difficult to maintain – this approach may prove to be too complex for both the employer group and the organisations involved. For the employer group, there are added needs in terms of HR administration and coordination. Additionally, the organisations involved need to make a financial contribution to the employer group’s administration costs even during periods when they are not receiving staff. **Monitoring and managing staff remotely** and across a range of working environments could be problematic.

Additional stress and conflict – compared to employment in just one place of work, the shared employee must travel from one place to another and learn to adapt to different organisational expectations and working practices.

Lack of employee loyalty and conflicts of interest – unlike permanent employees, the shared may not be so easily integrated into the culture of the organisation. Like the platform worker, the shared employee may be also working for competitor organisations such as other sport clubs or gyms. This potentially raises conflict of interest issues in the mind of the receiving employer. Difficulty in integrating into the receiving organisation may also create conflict with workers who are permanently based in the receiving organisation.

EU Regulations Relevant to Employee Sharing

Potentially all of the EU regulations listed in above for ICT-Based Mobile work are relevant to Employee Sharing, in particular **The Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions Directive** and **The European Working Time Directive**. However, it is important to note that at least 10 EU countries have national legislation which seeks to control employee sharing. These will need to be taken into account when considering the introduction of Employee Sharing.

> 9.2 PLATFORM WORK

Eurofound defines this as, “A form of employment that uses an online platform to enable organisations or individuals to access other organisations or individuals to solve problems or provide services in exchange for payment.”

Table 4: Benefits and risks of platform work

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Better matching labour supply and demand – for the employer the platform provides ready access to the skilled labour they need. This is particularly relevant to the sport sector where employers are struggling to recruit skilled staff, often to address an unexpected need (for example, the demand for a new type of fitness class) or a small package of coaching hours. It would be especially valuable if sport platforms offered a guarantee of the qualifications held by workers on the platform. From the worker’s perspective, the platform provides enhanced access to the labour market through being easily connected with employers who need their skills. This could be particularly attractive to new employees who lack work experience and the many coaches and trainers who have alternative day jobs and use sport employment as a way of supplementing their income in the evenings and weekends.

Flexibility – both the employer and the employee have greater freedom to choose the type of assignment, working hours and place of work which suits them. They are not bound by the less flexible traditional employment contracts. This could be especially attractive to smaller sport organisations who lack expertise in human resource management and, due to financial lack or instability, are reluctant to employ staff on a more permanent basis.

Stimulant to self-employment – the employee who experiences platform work may begin to realise that they can offer their services directly to sport employers and then move into self-employment or collaborative sport employment with others through a micro-enterprise. The sport sector already has a higher-than-average rate of self-employment which means this pathway is already well-established.

Potential to build stable employment – the worker may have the opportunity to combine many small or short-term assignments in a way which delivers the equivalent of a full-time salary. This could be especially useful to the sport sector where much work is part-time and precarious, features which deter young people from staying in the sector beyond maturity.

Potential to transition undeclared workers into declared employment – the widespread introduction of platform work into the sport sector may begin to attract undeclared workers into legal employment. The benefits and flexibility of this approach may be sufficient motivators, especially if the platform takes care of their tax and social security arrangements.

RISKS

Unclear employment status – experience in other sectors such as ride-hailing services, courier services and food delivery have highlighted ambiguities in the worker’s employment status. This is particularly the case with platforms which coordinate self-employed workers but decide on the work organisation, employment and working conditions. In several countries there have been disputes over whether these workers are really self-employed or ‘disguised employees’ of the platform company who should be due all the usual benefits of permanent employment.

Lack of assignment choice/additional stress – in well-developed digital platforms, task assignment is usually carried out by an algorithm. The platform worker may actually have little choice in the jobs provided to them. Additionally, the volume, variety and intensity of work assignments may lead to higher stress levels.

Lack of employee loyalty and conflicts of interest – unlike permanent employees, the platform worker is not absorbed into the culture of the organisation. Indeed, the platform worker may be also working for competitor organisations such as other sport clubs or gyms. This potentially raises conflict of interest issues in the mind of the employer.

Reduced opportunities for career development – lack of opportunities for career development has been highlighted as a particular problem facing sport recruitment and retention. Platform work is unlikely to offer training and development (unless the platform worker pays for these themselves). Additionally, the platform is likely not to provide pathways for promotion to higher levels of responsibility and pay.

Fewer opportunities to negotiate pay – some platforms may offer the worker the opportunity to set pay rates, but in the case of others there may be no discretion available. Workers may feel compelled to accept what is on offer in order to access assignments.

Increased labour market segmentation¹⁰ – there is the danger that platform workers will remain in ‘secondary employment’, i.e., employment which is episodic and precarious and find it increasingly difficult to access ‘primary employment’ (permanent full-time and part-time) and all the additional benefits which go with this. Platform workers, therefore, get stuck in this groove.

10 - For a fuller explanation of labour market segmentation, please refer to <https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/en/european-industrial-relations-dictionary/labour-market-segmentation>

9

THE POTENTIAL OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR SPORT

EU Regulations Relevant to Platform Work

Potentially all of the EU regulations listed above for ICT-Based Mobile work are relevant to Platform Work, in particular **The Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions Directive**. In addition, on 9th December 2021, the European Commission made a proposal for a new directive ‘*On Improving Working Conditions in Platform Work*’. If passed through legislation in the Parliament, this would address cases of misclassification of platform workers and ease the way for such workers to be reclassified as employees, guaranteeing easier access to their rights as employees under EU law. Under the new rules, workers will have to be informed about the use of automated monitoring and decision-making systems (use of algorithms) and limit the types of platform worker data which the digital platform can process.

Expected legislation on the Right to Disconnect may also be relevant.

> 9.3 JOB SHARING

Eurofound defines Job Sharing as, “*employment relationships in which one employer hires several workers (but normally just two) to jointly fill a single full-time position. It is a form of part-time work, the purpose of which is to ensure that the shared post is permanently staffed*”.

Table 5: Benefits and risks of job sharing

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Retention of skilled and experienced staff – this approach could be particularly attractive to sport employers who wish to retain the services of proven staff when their personal circumstances change, and full-time employment is no longer an option. This could be particularly relevant to female employees who decide to start a family.

Recruitment of more female and disabled workers in the sport workforce – even today, in many countries the burden of childcare responsibilities is often taken up by females. Job sharing may help to address this difficulty and create more opportunities for women sport workers to remain in the workforce and through using the benefits of permanent employment (such as in-company training), build a long-term career in the sport sector.

This form of employment could also benefit sport workers with disabilities who may feel the demands of full-time employment are too great for them.

Stable employment and improved work-life balance as an incentive to recruitment – from the employee’s perspective, this form of employment provides both stable and predictable employment and income whilst at the same time providing a balance with home and family responsibilities. This could be a recruitment attractor as well as an incentive to retention.

RISKS

Difficulties in finding more than one person to share a position – if the sport organisation is relatively large, it may be relatively easy to find another existing employee who also wants to share a position. However, many sport organisations are small, and it may be necessary to externally recruit someone to share the position.

Conflict and lack of collaboration - the job sharers need to be able collaborate effectively. They may also have quite different approaches to solving problems in the workplace which could generate conflict. To be optimally effective, the job-sharing arrangements should allow for some shared time in the workplace (or online) so that common approaches can be fostered and the potential for conflict reduced. There may also be challenges and new skills requirements for more senior managers responsible for the job-shared position.

Additional stress and intensity – compared to full-time employment, Job Sharing, like other forms of part-time employment could increase the intensity of work and induce more stress.

9

THE POTENTIAL OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR SPORT

EU Regulations Relevant to Job Sharing

Currently, there are no specific legal frameworks across Europe to cover job sharing. However, employers planning to use this form of employment should be aware of Directive 97/81/EC – the Framework Agreement on part-time working concluded by UNICE, CEEP and the ETUC (trade unions)

> 9.4 CASUAL WORK

Eurofound defines this as, “A type of work where the employment is not stable and continuous, and the employer is not obliged to regularly provide the worker with work but rather has the flexibility of calling them in on demand.”

Table 6: Benefits and risks of casual work

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Flexibility in employment – for the employer, when facing increased demand (for example, additional fitness classes or occasional sport events) being able to readily draw on a pool of workers who have already been screened through a recruitment process can be an efficient form of working. Casual workers only need to be brought in as and when they are needed.

Easier access to the labour market – from the worker’s perspective, casual work may provide easier access to employment than the more complex demands of traditional permanent recruitment.

Better fit with lifestyle and work-life balance – for some casual workers, this form of employment may also be a better fit for their lifestyles and work-life balance since they are not regularly required to be at work. This may be particularly attractive to young workers who do not require a stable income to support families and homes.

Potential to transition into stable employment – once the individual has gained work experience and proven their abilities through casual employment, this may increase their potential to gain more stable employment through permanent full-time or part-time positions.

RISKS

Reduced workforce stability and lower service standards – drawing on a pool of casual workers may have some efficiency gains for sport employers. However, there is a high likelihood that a number of different staff, for example, coaches and fitness instructors, will be involved in working with the same participants or classes but using their own individual coaching or training routines. From the point of view of service users, this can reduce the quality of the services they receive.

Where there are work assignments which require a high level of coordination and collaboration, for example in sport events, the use of casual workers brought together on an ad hoc basis may make management more challenging and negatively affect teamwork.

Increased labour market segmentation – there is the danger that, like platform workers, casual workers will remain in ‘secondary employment’ and find it increasingly difficult to access ‘primary employment’ and the additional benefits which go with this. Employment and income continue to be unstable.

Continuing the youth imbalance in sport employment – since this form of employment is likely to be more attractive to young workers, the widespread use of casual work could continue to maintain the high levels of youth in the sport labour force and depress representation of the older age groups.

Conflicts with work-life balance/additional stress – whereas casual work may be attractive to some workers, its unpredictable demands may actually conflict with personal and family interests and responsibilities and increase stress levels.

Reduced opportunities for career development – lack of opportunities for career development has been highlighted as a particular problem facing sport recruitment and retention. Casual employment is less likely to offer training and development (unless the casual worker pays for these themselves) which could provide greater potential to move on to higher levels of responsibility and reward.

EU Regulations Relevant to Casual Work

Potentially all of the EU regulations listed in above for ICT-Based Mobile work are relevant to Casual Work, in particular **The Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions Directive** and **The European Working Time Directive**. However, it is important to note that most EU countries have national legislation which seeks to control casual employment, reduce the risks to casual employees and provide them with better opportunities to transition into more stable employment. The same applies to collective agreements in some countries. These will need to be examined on a country-by-country basis.

> 9.5 ICT-BASED MOBILE WORK (REMOTE WORKING)

ICT-based mobile work is characterised by Eurofound as *“the work pattern of a worker (whether employed or self-employed) operating from various possible locations outside the premises of their employer (for example, at home, at a client’s premises or ‘on the road’), supported by modern technologies such as laptop and tablet computers.”*

Table 7: Benefits and risks of ICT-based mobile work

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Greater inclusion for some – for example, addressing the needs of female workers who may have greater family responsibilities and prefer to work from home and those of disabled people who may be able to choose a workplace (including their home) which is more adapted to their specific needs.

Flexibility – both the employer and the employee have greater freedom to choose the working environment which suits them. This may be of particular benefit to coordinators, coaches and personal trainers who may need to work in a variety of locations, including clients’ premises.

Autonomy/job satisfaction – the employee may have more direct control over their work activities when they are not office or centre-based. This may act as an incentive to staff retention.

Incentive to recruitment – the flexibility and autonomy provided by this type of employment may be highly attractive to many potential recruits.

Reduced financial overheads – the employer may be able to scale down their office accommodation, increase the efficiency of their operations and free up more financial resources for staff employment. This may be particularly attractive to smaller organisations in the sport sector who are deterred from employing staff because of lack of financial sustainability. From the worker’s perspective, if some of their work is home-based, they reduce their travel to work expenses.

Decreased carbon footprint – from the employer’s perspective, there may be opportunities to reduce energy consumption when fewer employees are office-based.

RISKS

Greater exclusion for others – this approach requires a higher skillset, for example in self-management and ICT skills. Those lacking those skills may find this form of employment challenging. However, on the whole the sport workforce has higher than average levels of educational attainment and may be equal to these challenges.

Additionally, this type of arrangement may not favour older workers who are less ‘tech-savvy’. This would be a challenge for the sport sector which needs to retain workers in the older age groups.

Management complexity/health and safety – this approach may prove to be too complex for both employers and employees. For the employer, there are added needs in terms of coordinating, monitoring and managing staff remotely and across a range of working environments. It may also prove difficult to ensure the worker’s health and safety when they are not on the employer’s premises. Additionally, many employees may not wish the added responsibility which this type of working requires, especially at the same salary.

Reduced collaboration, social isolation and exploitation – the sport sector relies heavily on a collaborative approach, but this type of working arrangement may reduce effective teamworking and skill sharing. Workers may miss everyday contact with colleagues and the social opportunities this provides.

There is also the potential for ‘limitless work’, i.e., the home-based worker may be considered to be available at all hours.

Lack of unique selling point – so many employers are now offering this type of working arrangement.

Redistribution of overheads to the employee – if the worker is home-based, they are then covering their energy costs and the cost of using part of their home as a workplace. Employers will need to consider compensating employees for these.

Increased carbon footprint – if employees are predominantly ‘on the road’, there is the potential for more carbon consumption through the use of their vehicles.

EU Regulations Relevant to ICT-Based Mobile Work

The EU currently has no specific arrangements covering this type of employment, the following need to be considered:

- > **The European Working Time Directive** which defines working time, maximum number of working hours, minimum rest periods and requirements around the recording of working time.
- > **The European Framework Directive on Safety and Health at Work** which applies to all places of work.
- > **The Work-Life Balance Directive** which highlights remote and flexible working hours as a way of improving work-life balance.
- > **The Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions Directive** which requires information on places of work and work patterns is included in employment contracts.
- > **Expected legislation on the Right to Disconnect.**

> 9.6 PORTFOLIO WORK

Eurofound defines Portfolio Work as, *“the small-scale contracting by freelancers, the self-employed or micro enterprises that work for a large number of clients.”*

Table 8: Benefits and risks of portfolio work

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Retention of skilled and experienced staff in the sport sector – potentially portfolio work provides the sector with opportunities to retain the services of skilled and experienced staff who find it difficult to obtain full-time permanent employment commensurate with their expectations either for financial reward or flexibility. The further promotion of self-employment in the sport sector could be beneficial.

Greater flexibility and less administration for the client organisation – the sport organisation client can enter into short-term service contracts with the self-employed person as and when they are needed.

It may also prove to be bureaucratically less burdensome for the client sport organisation to manage some aspects of service delivery via service contracts rather than formal employment. This may be attractive to smaller organisations which lack an HR function.

Greater flexibility for the self-employed worker – from the sport worker’s perspective, self-employment, if the market is sufficiently great, offers the opportunity to pick and choose the assignments which fit their lifestyle and financial goals. Many staff may find the greater degree of independence and autonomy attractive.

Greater autonomy and innovation for the self-employed sport worker – for many in the sport sector, this may be a strong attractor. Additionally, the need to broaden their market share could lead to more innovation in the sector in the form of new or adapted services.

Inclusion of more female workers and retention of youth – given the flexible employment opportunities offered by this form of employment, portfolio working may prove attractive to female sport workers, for example, group exercise teachers and its further development may help to boost the representation of females in the sport labour force.

Similarly, portfolio working may be attractive to younger workers who have the appropriate qualifications and may have gained work experience through part-time employment. Entering portfolio working on a long-term basis could be a way of retaining them in the sector.

RISKS

Precarious employment and greater stress – Portfolio Work involves an element of risk for the sport worker. Demand for their services may fluctuate unpredictably, as will their income. Indeed, some portfolio workers may find it hard to survive in a competitive market. On the other hand, over time, the self-employed worker may be able to accrue a stable client base to mitigate such fluctuations. Additionally, working successfully with the same client for a period may open up the possibility of transitioning into a permanent full-time position with that client.

Conflict and lack of collaboration – like many other emerging employment practices where flexible working arrangements apply and sport workers are engaged across a range of workplaces, there is the danger that the self-employed person finds it hard to integrate into different organisational cultures, conflicts occur and the potential for collaboration and teamwork are reduced. These challenges may require a different skillset on the part of the client employer in managing such situations satisfactorily.

Unclear employment status - if the self-employed worker has a very narrow portfolio of clients, they could be deemed to be an employee of the one for whom they provide the most services. In this case, the client may have to acknowledge their employee status and lose the advantages of hiring self-employed workers.

Lack of social protections – like many other of these emerging employment practices, the self-employed portfolio worker receives no contributions to social security, pensions, unemployment benefit etc. from the employer. They need to address these issues themselves by building these costs into their business plan otherwise their future may be precarious.

RISKS

Demand for a wider skillset – workers may enter self-employment with the appropriate skills in their discipline, for example, coaching, outdoor leadership or personal training. However, they are likely to lack both skills and experience in areas vital to self-employment such as marketing, business planning, self-management, product or service development, accounting and other types of financial expertise. They will need either to gain these skills or to contract the services of others.

Limited opportunities for skills development and career progression – the self-employed portfolio worker is very much ‘on their own’ when it comes to further training and education, the costs of which they will have to meet themselves. Unless the portfolio worker finds opportunities for permanent full-time employment or they move on to establishing an enterprise of their own where they employ others, their potential to progress in their careers may be limited.

EU Regulations Relevant to Portfolio Work

Currently, there are no specific legal frameworks across Europe to cover portfolio work. The main area of legal interest is whether the self-employed person is truly self-employed or a ‘disguised employee’ who should receive the benefits of employment. However, it should be noted that EU is now taking more interest in the protections of people engaged in self-employment following the impact of Covid¹¹.

> 9.7 COLLABORATIVE EMPLOYMENT

According to Eurofound, this form of employment “*encompasses specific forms of cooperation among self-employed workers that go beyond traditional supply chain or business partner relationships.*”

Typically, self-employed workers form co-working arrangements where they share workspaces and back-office functions such as accounting or ICT by agreement and service contracts, but they do not form a legal entity.

The benefits and risks of Collaborative Employment were considered to be almost identical to those for Portfolio work. The major additional benefit is that self-employed workers can share working spaces and back-office functions which may reduce some overheads and overcome some of the entrepreneurial skills deficits which self-employed workers may face in areas such as marketing, business planning, ICT and accounting. There may also be some benefits in addressing the social isolation experienced by some self-employed workers. This may be particularly true for disabled sport workers who might find coworking a more supportive environment than solo self-employment.

EU Regulations Relevant to Collaborative Employment

There are no specific legal frameworks which apply to Collaborative Employment.

11 - <https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/en/publications/2024/self-employment-eu-job-quality-and-developments-social-protection>

9

THE POTENTIAL OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR SPORT

> 9.8 VOUCHER-BASED WORK

Voucher-based work is defined by Eurofound as, “the employment relationship and related payment are based on a voucher (generally acquired from a third party such as a governmental authority) rather than an employment contract.”

Eurofound notes that this form of employment takes place in only nine EU countries and is limited to some specific sectors such as household work (for example, cleaning and gardening) and agriculture. Take-up is relatively low.

Table 9: Benefits and risks of voucher work

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Simplified payment process – potentially useful for small, project-based, or seasonal jobs. May assist in transitioning actual or potential undeclared workers into formal employment – the use of voucher-based work applies mainly to jobs which are short-term, relatively informal and occasional. These are the types of jobs which are most likely to attract undeclared labour.

Reduces the complexity of taxes and social security contributions for employers and employees – the government appointed agency takes care of calculations and deductions.


RISKS

Limited rewards and long-term prospects – employees may receive lower salaries due to tax and social security deductions. This may limit the attractiveness of this employment form. Employees

Lack of relevance to in-demand occupations in sport – traditionally voucher-based work has been applied to low skill jobs with few requirements for educational achievement. Currently, this model would not seem to apply to the occupations where there are real recruitment and retention challenges – mainly sport coaches and managers.

EU Regulations relevant to voucher-based work

The Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions Directive and The European Working Time Directive are the most applicable.



> 9.9 INTERIM MANAGEMENT

Eurofound describes Interim Management as, “a form of employment in which a company ‘leases out’ workers to other companies temporarily and for a specific purpose. Such leasing of workers is the main objective of the employer company, but, unlike a temporary employment agency, its staff is limited to highly specialised experts who are sent to the receiving companies to solve a specific management or technical challenge or assist in economically difficult times. In contrast to traditional fixed-term work arrangements, interim management has some elements of consultancy, but the expert has employee status rather than that of external advisor. In practice, however, in some countries interim management is done on the basis of self-employment.”

Table 10: Benefits and risks of interim management

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Access to specialist expertise on a short-term basis – this could be particularly valuable to the many small or under resourced sport organisations in the sector who struggle with specific functions such as HR, payroll, ICT and finance. Rather than employ staff member on a long-term basis, the organisation can call in an expert to address a specific problem.

Capturing the experience/expertise of older workers and those with disabilities – the relatively youthful nature of sport labour force means that much valuable experience is lost to the sector and the advantages of multi-generational working are diminished. Many of the workers providing Interim Management are older and more experienced. The use of these older interim managers may bring this experience back into the sector. This form of employment might also favour disabled managers who have specific knowledge and skills in the area of sport and disability. Accessing their experience and expertise may help to attract more paid workers with disabilities and disabled participants.

Variety and autonomy – from the interim manager’s perspective, this form of working provides variety and a potentially endless series of assignments over which they have considerable control. The sport organisation receiving the interim manager could also gain valuable insights into the good practices of other organisations, some of which may be outside sport and be innovative for the sector.

RISKS

Prohibitive cost – many organisations in the sport sector may struggle to cover the costs of hiring such an expert. However, when set against the costs of employing someone with those skills on a longer-term basis, the savings could be attractive. Alternatively, the interim management organisation or their employed managers may be attracted to the sport sector and its largely voluntary nature and be prepared to offer their services at reduced cost.

Failure to integrate expertise and experience into the organisation – whilst hiring external expertise on a short-term basis may be a good temporary solution, there is the danger that the expertise is not shared across the organisation. No-one absorbs the lessons learned and develops the ability to adapt and apply that knowledge in the future. The sport organisation fails to develop its own capacity and becomes overly reliant on external experts.

Difficulties of management, integration and collaboration – monitoring and control may be an issue with this form of employment. The worker is formally employed by an interim management organisation, but they are then placed in another organisation. These management arrangements may be complex and lead to ambiguity and conflict. In addition, the interim manager may find it more difficult to integrate into the culture of the receiving organisation or build collaborative working relationships with permanent staff.

EU Regulations Relevant to Interim Management

Currently, there are no specific legal frameworks across Europe to cover Interim Management. However, all other relevant areas of employment law apply.



10

**NEW FORMS OF
EMPLOYMENT
– REAL
EXPERIENCES
IN SPORT**

10 NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT REAL EXPERIENCES IN SPORT

Much of the preliminary evaluation of new forms of employment resulting from the desk research was used to inform the design of the survey questionnaire. It was particularly important for the project to discover more about the application and relevance of new forms of employment in the sport sector, in particular:

- > Current levels of awareness of new forms of employment
- > Current levels of usage of new forms of employment
- > Whether or not those with experience of new forms of employment would recommend their adoption
- > Whether or not those who had no experience of new forms of employment could see potential value to their organisation
- > The advantages and disadvantages of new forms of employment identified by those who had used them
- > The types of occupations which had been used for new forms of employment
- > Any external organisations which had participated in or supported the new form of employment
- > The demographics of the workers who had been involved in the new form of employment.

The questions used in this part of the survey and the findings are given below. In each case, the questions were worded in a way which explained the new form of employment and sport specific examples were given to aid understanding.

It is important to note that two of the Eurofound new forms of employment, Collaborative Employment and Interim Management, were not dealt with separately in the questionnaire because of their similarity/overlap with other new forms (see Section 7.3 above). Questions relevant to each were included in other sections.

In addition, one new form of employment, not mentioned by Eurofound but found during the desk research as being prevalent in the sport sector in some countries, was added. This is Intermittent Permanent Employment which is used by some sport employers to mitigate some of the problems caused by seasonal employment. This is covered in section 10.5 below.

The following sections show the survey findings for each new form. At the end of each of the sections a table can be found, summarising the main points emerging from employers' views and experiences of new forms of employment.

The ratings have been developed in the following way:

- > **Implementation level:** % who said they used it
- > **Awareness level:** % who said they had heard of it combined with the % of those who said they had used it.
- > **Immediate attractiveness:** % who said it might be of value minus % who said it would not
- > **Recommended by users:** % of users who said they would recommend minus % who said they would not
- > **Female engagement:** % of users who said an equal balance of males and females divided by 2 and then added to the % who said mainly female
- > **Youth engagement:** % of users who said they were from a variety of age groups divided by 3 and then added to % who said 15-24.

These have then been placed in the following bands:


Bands: Very negative = Minus 15 and below; Negative = 0 - minus 14; Very low = 1-14; Low = 15-29; Low to medium = 30-44; High medium 45-59; High = 60-74; Very high = 75+

Sport sector case studies of various new forms of employment collated through the FORMS project are provided in Section 18 of this publication.

> 10.1 EMPLOYEE SHARING

Figure 45: Employee sharing: an organisation employs workers and then shares them across different organisations when they are needed, for a fee based on the number of hours worked.

■ n=1785




This shows a relatively high level of awareness (14.1% have used it and 30.9% having heard of it).

Only those who said they had not used Employee Sharing were then asked:

Figure 46: You said that you have not used employee sharing. Do you think this form of employment could be useful for your organisation?

■ n=1520




Employee sharing therefore appears to be relatively attractive with almost one half (45.1%) saying it could be helpful in the future.

Only the respondents who said they had used Employee Sharing were then asked about their opinions and experiences.

Figure 47: Would you recommend employee sharing as a good solution to other sport organisations?


■ n=241



Over three quarters (76.8%) of those who had used employee sharing said they would recommend it to others.

Figure 48: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of employee sharing?


■ n=235



Over one half (61.3%) felt that employee sharing provided easy access to skilled and experienced staff. They also acknowledged the reduced administrative (40.4%) and training burdens (37.9%). It was also clearly valuable that employee sharing provided them with staff experienced in working for other organisations (36.2%) and the flexibility employee sharing offered when responding to greater demand (35.7%).

Figure 49: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of employee sharing?


■ n=223



Interestingly, the highest percentage of organisations using employee sharing felt there were no disadvantages (35.9%). The greatest disadvantage chosen was the difficulty of supervising and controlling the shared employee (31.4%). Around one quarter (24.2%) were concerned about conflict of interest because the shared employee may have also worked for competitor organisations (a particular issue in sport where clubs are involved in competitions with other clubs). Slightly less found the shared employee had their own way of doing things which was different to the organisation's processes and 20.2% identified problems with team integration.

Figure 50: Which of the following jobs did you use employee sharing for?


■ n=227



Just over one half were using employee sharing with sport coaches and far fewer for clerical and admin posts (18.1%) and operational staff (17.2%). Compared to sport coaches, the use of shared employment for outdoor activity leaders/animators and fitness instructors/personal trainers was relatively low. However, this probably reflects the low representation of these types of organisations in the survey.

Figure 51: What type of organisation did you get the shared employee from?


■ n=224



Overall the greatest percentage of organisations (37.1%) were getting their shared employees from a sport federation, but this possibly will vary on a country-by-country basis.

Figure 52: On average, what was the gender balance of the staff involved in employee sharing?


■ n=232



Employee sharing, therefore, appears to engage almost twice as many males than females.

Figure 53: On average, how old were the staff involved in employee sharing?

■ n=2264



Employee sharing appears to engage far more workers in the 25-49 age group (62.5%) compared to any other. Many of the interviewees had experience of employee sharing.



A development manager at a French regional Olympic committee explained how this form of employment worked for them and the benefits it offered:

“As part of the Regional Sports Conference, local sports organizations wanted to recruit a development officer for a specific project. However, the Region was unwilling to hire directly, so the Olympic committee, as an association, was approached to host the professional. The employee was initially hired by an Employers’ Group (Groupement d’Employeurs) and then made available, allowing us to avoid adding the recruitment costs to our payroll. Since the Olympic committee was already familiar with the Employers’ Group, the process was smooth. The arrangement worked well, with no integration issues—the shared employee was treated like any other staff member.”



The secretary general of a Swedish sport federation was also positive even in terms of sharing employees across different sports:

“With employee sharing a good person can work in different sports. The industry is more special than the sport itself. We shared an employee with two other sport federations, and it worked out great. I really want to collaborate more.”



A manager at a Dutch walking federation outlined some of the benefits but also some disadvantages:

“Through employee sharing, we have experienced positive effects in terms of efficiency. It is also seen as easier to find employees for positions with fewer full-time equivalent posts when working with this alternative employment model. I intend to use employee sharing more often in the future. However, each association has its own agenda. While there is no competition, the interests of the different employers are different. They approach things differently, which means the cooperation between the associations does not always run smoothly.”

The HR manager at a national association involved in the same scheme reinforced the benefits for their organisation, but also some of the complications:

“The viability of these organisations has been strengthened through employee sharing. Small organizations like ours are largely dependent on our sports cluster. It is especially important to exchange employees for large projects, as this provides many benefits. For instance, my organisation currently has an employee we can only retain for 24 hours a week. This employee can take on another 8 hours at a different organisation within the scheme, allowing us to keep the employee and offer them the opportunity to continue growing in their career. However, it takes more energy than expected to manage the collaboration with another federation. Sometimes, one federation is busier than the other, which causes the workload distribution to shift unexpectedly between them.”

A shared employee in the same scheme made some interesting observations:

“I work for one of the federations for 16 hours and another 16 hours for the other. Both federations share a common interest, but they still diverge because they reach different target groups, which need to be approached in slightly different ways. This makes my work varied and interesting. I can also use practical examples and knowledge from one federation in the other, helping colleagues realize that they can think more broadly than just within their own federation. Of course, I always ask if I can share knowledge with the other federation and anonymize it to ensure confidentiality. I feel it would be a waste not to share this knowledge. On the other hand, I find that employee sharing can be complicated because the two federations use different systems. There’s a whole different way of keeping logs, contacting clients, and managing schedules. This can be frustrating.”



The personal benefits of gaining wider experience through employee sharing were echoed by a social sports coach working for PSL17, a French employers' alliance which then assigns him to a number of different sport associations.

“Working in various environments has given me the opportunity to develop my skills and build a network, especially since I am highly dedicated to my work. I strongly recommend gaining diverse experiences, but I also recognize that this path may not suit everyone.”



In terms of the future, a marketing manager at a Portuguese leisure time cooperative was very optimistic about the benefits of employee sharing but noted that the sector may not be ready yet:

“Employee sharing is very important, the sharing of employees between organizations, the advantage for organizations and the experience for workers is very relevant. Know-how can be shared and thus help clubs that are financially unable to afford a full-time contract. This can apply to clubs, regional club associations or federations. It would be an advantage for sports development. The public sector could also share the clubs' resources, for example in the health sector. This can benefit everyone. But unfortunately, the sports and management culture may not yet be ready for this sharing.”


EMPLOYEE SHARING

Implementation level	Very Low (14)	
Awareness level	Low to Medium (45)	
Attractiveness to nonusers	Low (22)	
Recommended by users	High (66)	
No disadvantage reported by user	Low to Medium (45)	
Female engagement	Low to Medium (45)	
Youth engagement	Low (22)	
Top 5 relevant jobs	• Coaches • Outdoor leaders • Clerical/ Admin	• Fitness instructors • Middle management
Top 5 advantages	• Access to workers with guaranteed skills • Another organisation takes care of admin • No need for training	• Worker has broader experience • Responsive to fluctuating demand
Top 5 disadvantages	• Difficulties of remote management • Potential conflict of interest • Worker does things differently	• Lack of team integration • Complex admin

> 10.2 PLATFORM WORK

Figure 54: Platform work: skilled workers make themselves available on a digital platform, and employers can hire their services when they need them.

■ n=1743




Compared to shared employment above, platform work seems less well known with only 4.4% saying they had used it and 32.6% saying they had heard of it.

Only those who said they had not used Platform Work were then asked:

Figure 55: You said that you have not platform work. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?

■ n=1654




More than twice as many of those who had not used platform work felt it could be helpful to their organisation (49.5%) compared to those who felt it would not be (21%).

Only the respondents who said they had used Platform Work were then asked about their opinions and experiences.

Figure 56: Would you recommend platform work as a good solution to other sport organisations?


■ n=79



Just over three quarters (75.9%) of those who had used platform workers said they would recommend it to others with only 8.9% saying they would not.

Figure 57: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of platform work?


■ n=77



Nearly two thirds (64.9%) identified easy and quick access to skilled workers as the main advantage. Over one third (39%) appreciated the flexibility in only using platform workers when they were needed and 22.1% felt the platform worker also appreciated the flexibility of this type of employment. Fewer percentages saw an advantage in the reduced administrative burdens for themselves.

Figure 58: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of platform work?


■ n=71



Almost one third (32.4%) reported no disadvantage. Like employee sharing above, there were concerns about potential conflicts of interest because the platform worker may also work for competitors as being the main disadvantage. (33.8%). Other identified disadvantages were the additional cost of using a platform worker (28.2%), less motivation on the part of the platform worker (22.5%), less management control (21.1%) and poor team integration (19.7%).

Figure 59: Which of the following jobs did platform work apply to?


■ n=71



Once again, platform work seems much more applicable to sport coaches than other types of workers. However, now (compared to employee sharing) we can see greater use for fitness instructors/personal trainers (29.6%) and outdoor staff (19.7%). Perhaps surprisingly, platform work also seems applicable to professional athletes and players (18.3%). The use of platform work for middle management staff may suggest a form of interim management (which was not included as a separate category in the survey).

Figure 60: Which type of platform did you get the staff member from?


■ n=70



Unsurprisingly, given the high use of platform work for sport coaches, fitness instructors/trainers and outdoor staff, the highest percentage (48.6%) obtained their worker from a platform dedicated to sport. It is likely that the 28.6% who used a general employment platform were doing so for managers, operational staff and clerical workers.

Figure 61: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in platform work?


■ n=70



Similar to employee sharing, platform work in sport seems more applicable to males than females (25.7% versus 12.9%).

Figure 62: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in platform work?

■ n=71



Again, like employee sharing, we see a very high representation of those in 25-49 age group (59.2%).

Given the low numbers of survey respondents who had used the services of platform workers, it proved difficult to find appropriate interviewees. However, the president of one leisure centre in a rural area of France provided some insights:



“Our location makes it difficult to attract candidates. We often have too few applicants for job openings; they tend to have other jobs which gives them leverage to negotiate their schedules. Recruiting for very short shifts (e.g., one hour per week) is especially difficult in a rural area where travel time and costs are a problem. We also have quite a high turnover of instructors. We start recruiting in May for the season starting in September. I tend to use groups on social media such as Facebook to advertise vacancies and search for qualified professionals, rather than specialised platforms like LinkedIn and Indeed. We find that quite a lot of professionals prefer to work as self-employed contractors. For us, this arrangement is much simpler, especially when the shifts are very short.”



However, several interviewees mentioned the potential benefits of platform work if it was available to them. The chairperson of a Polish sport club, for example, explained

“I would like to see a special app designed specifically for the sailing community. This app would serve as a platform to easily connect to instructors and coaches, making it much simpler for both employers and employees to find one another and streamline the recruitment process.”


PLATFORM WORK

Implementation level	Very Low (4)	
Awareness level	Low to Medium (37)	
Attractiveness to nonusers	Low (29)	
Recommended by users	High (65)	
No disadvantage reported by user	Low to Medium (45)	
Female engagement	Low to Medium (32)	
Youth engagement	Very Low (15)	
Top 5 relevant jobs	• Coaches • Fitness instructors • Outdoor activity leaders	• Professional athletes • Middle management • Operational staff
Top 5 advantages	• Easily identify skilled workers needed • Only use the worker when needed • Worker appreciated flexibility	• Low administration burden • Tax and social security taken care of
Top 5 disadvantages	• Conflict of interest • Additional cost of platform fee • Lower worker motivation	• Less management control • Lack of team integration

> 10.3 JOB SHARING

Figure 63: Job sharing: two or more members of staff share the responsibilities and duties of one full-time position.

■ n=1725




Job sharing appears to be very well known with 23.5% saying they had used it and 39.7% saying they had heard of it.

Only those who said they had not used Job Sharing were then asked:

Figure 64: You said that you have not used job sharing. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?

■ n=1307




Job sharing does not seem so attractive as employee sharing and platform work with only 37% saying it would be helpful to them in the future and nearly as many (30.1%) saying it would not. This is possibly because job sharing is fairly well-known, and respondents had thought about using it in the past and had rejected the idea.

Only the respondents who said they had used Job Sharing were then asked about their opinions and experiences.

Figure 65: Would you recommend job sharing as a good solution to other sport organisations?


■ n=402



Despite the lower level of attractiveness of job sharing noted above, over three quarters (80.8%) would recommend it to others with only 8.2% saying they would not.

Figure 66: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of job sharing?


■ n=394



Just over one half (50.5%) identified the flexibility offered by job sharing because one person can take full responsibility when the other was on leave. There were also advantages in co-learning (48%). Job sharing also would appear to have advantages in recruiting staff. 46.7% felt that job sharing could attract more applicants because the arrangement would fit personal circumstances better. There was also a retention advantage because the organisation can keep skilled staff when personal circumstances change (37.1%) and 32.2% thought it would increase loyalty to the organisation. 28.2% identified productivity gains.

Figure 67: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of job sharing?


■ n=377



Over one quarter (27.3%) felt there were no disadvantages. However, there are clearly some issues to consider. 34.5% thought having two people sharing the same post could lead to conflict and 25.7% found it harder to administer (thus perhaps increasing the administrative burden). 22.3% found communication and handover between the job sharers was difficult and almost as many (22%) experienced greater management difficulties.

Figure 68: Which of the following jobs did job sharing apply to?


■ n=388



This was the only new form of employment for which sport coaches appeared not the most applicable (39.7%). The role with the highest percentage of users was clerical and office staff/receptionists at 46.6%. It also appears attractive to operational staff (25.5%) and middle managers (18.3%). Once again, the lower representation of fitness clubs and outdoor activity providers in the survey may explain the lower usage with fitness instructors/ personal trainers (13.7%) and outdoor staff (11.9%).

Figure 69: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in job sharing?


■ n=387



This was the only new form of employment in which females appear to be more mainly involved than males (26.6% versus 20.7%). This possibly reflects one of the key main advantages of job sharing – a better fit with personal circumstances.

Figure 70: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in job sharing?

■ n=390



Similar to most of the other new forms of employment, job sharing appears to involve a significant majority of those in the 25-49 age group (63.8%).

Since the use of job sharing was greater among the survey respondents, it was easier to find both employers and employees who were able to comment on their experiences.



The chairperson of a Polish sport club who also acted as a coach provided the following insights:

“Job sharing for us involves two coaches taking care of a small group of athletes, with each coach focusing on different aspects of training. One coach oversees the sailing training, while the other focuses on strength training. This model allows the coaches to support and complement each other, providing flexibility for them to have other responsibilities outside of sailing. This approach has both advantages and disadvantages. The main advantage is the flexibility it provides, as well as the opportunity for coaches to learn from one another. However, a potential disadvantage is the challenge of having two individuals with different teaching styles or philosophies. To address this, the coaches try to self-evaluate and engage in open discussions to resolve any differences and improve their approach.”



A receptionist who was participating in a job-sharing scheme for a Dutch federation outlined some of the advantages for her

“I share a full-time position with another employee because I prefer to work part-time. I’ve got children and dogs, and they can’t be left alone all day. Besides, I believe there’s more to life than just work. That’s why this job share suits me perfectly. I also appreciate the flexibility I have with my colleagues when it comes to working days and hours, allowing me to coordinate with my husband about who can be at home and when. In general, I work 20 hours a week, spread over 4 days of 5 hours each.”

She does not see any disadvantages in the arrangement:

“I really enjoy job sharing. Me and my colleague take turns covering the role, without a strict division of tasks. I do take on a few extra responsibilities, such as managing the access tags and placing orders. The arrangement works out perfectly—because we alternate shifts, nothing gets left undone.”


JOB SHARING	
Implementation level	Low (24)
Awareness level	High (64)
Attractiveness to nonusers	Very Low (7)
Recommended by users	High (73)
No disadvantage reported by user	Low (27)
Female engagement	Medium (50)
Youth engagement	Very Low (13)
Top 5 relevant jobs	• Clerical and admin • Coaches • Operational staff • Middle management • Fitness instructors
Top 5 advantages	• Someone available to take full responsibility when other absent • Skill sharing • Recruitment attraction to fit with worker's personal circumstances • Retain skilled staff when personal circumstances change • Increased loyalty
Top 5 disadvantages	• Potential conflict between job sharers • More complex to administer • Communication and handover between skill sharers • Difficulty managing two people in same job • Competition between job sharers



> 10.4 CASUAL WORK

Figure 71: Casual work: an organisation has an employment contract with a member of staff without guaranteeing a minimum number of hours. They only call them in for work when they are needed and only pay them for the hours they work.

■ n=1694




Casual work appears to be fairly well-known with 20.5% saying they had used it and 30.6% saying they had heard of it.

Only those who said they had not used casual work were then asked:

Figure 72: You said that you have not used casual work. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?

■ n=1335




In terms of attractiveness, there is a fairly even balance of those who felt it would help their organisation (35.5%) and those who said it would not (34.5%). Like job sharing, this may be because it is quite widely known in the sector and respondents would already have formed an opinion. It is also a form of employment for which there are increasing legal restrictions which may have been known to the respondents.

Only the respondents who said they had used casual work were then asked about their opinions and experiences.

Figure 73: Would you recommend casual work as a good solution to other sport organisations?


■ n=341



Slightly less than three quarters (72.4%) said they would recommend it to others, but the percentage of those who said they would not is slightly higher than most other new forms (13.2%).

Figure 74: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of casual work?


■ n=338




The flexibility of casual work – being able to call in staff at short notice when needed – was seen as the greatest advantage by users (70.1%). Users also appreciated better value for the organisation (40.8%) presumably because the casual worker is only paid for the hours they work and there may be no additional costs such as paid holidays, sick pay etc. 22.8% also found they could target a skilled casual worker for more stable employment such as regular permanent or part-time employment. It is however worrying that 18.3% said the staff could take care of their own tax and social security. This might suggest that there is an element of undeclared work associated with casual employment.

Figure 75: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of casual work?

■ n=331

**Figure 76: Which of the following jobs did casual work apply to?**


■ n=329



Similar to most other new forms of employment, casual work appears most applicable to sport coaches but at 47.7% this is significantly lower than the percentages for coaches involved in employee sharing and platform work. The fact that one third (33.7%) said they were using casual work for operational staff may underscore findings from the desk research that this form of employment is more associated with occasional sporting events.

Figure 77: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in casual work?


■ n=331



The higher representation of males is similar to most other new forms of employment with the exception of job sharing.

Figure 78: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in casual work?

■ n=334



Whereas those in the 25-49 age group are still the largest percentage (47%), this is lower than their representation in all the other new forms of employment and the only one which seems more applicable to the younger age group (24.6%). This might suggest, as Eurofound proposes, that casual work is an easier route into employment than many others.



From the interviews we can see that employers value the flexibility of casual work, both for themselves and the employees:

We try hard to adjust coaches' working hours to align with the athletes' training schedules. This meets our needs and allows for a balanced work-life dynamic. This flexibility helps coaches enjoy their work while managing personal responsibilities. (Chairperson of a Polish sport club).

However, the same interviewee mentioned one challenge as being the lower motivation of casual workers compared to permanent employees.

"This lack of motivation, particularly in those with short-term goals, sometimes resulted in issues like a coach repeatedly being late for sailing classes. To address this, the club emphasizes regular evaluation of casual workers, especially newcomers."



This perceived lack of motivation was also noted by the technical director of a youth association in Portugal.

"We do use casual workers, but it doesn't work very well because of the worker's lack of commitment. There is no attachment to the organisation for the duration of a sporting season. The relationship is very detached. If the professional finds a better salary, no matter how little, he'll tell you he's not coming to work."



The benefits of the flexibility offered by casual employment were echoed by the coordinator at a Belgium sport club:

"We use casual work mainly during busy periods, such as holiday periods and events. Employees can then work extra hours, which ensures flexibility for us and satisfaction for them. This form of work also offers employees the flexibility to adjust their working hours to their personal needs and interests. This arrangement plays a major role in retaining staff and developing employees. Employees can adjust their work to their personal needs and interests."

However, the same interviewee also highlighted some disadvantages:

"Coordinating working hours and responsibilities can sometimes be a challenge. Additionally, it can be difficult for the staff member to completely let go and not always be reachable."


CASUAL WORK	
Implementation level	Low (21)
Awareness level	Medium (52)
Attractiveness to nonusers	Very Low (1)
Recommended by users	Medium (59)
No disadvantage reported by user	Low to Medium (30)
Female engagement	Low to Medium (38)
Youth engagement	Low to Medium (30)
Top 5 relevant jobs	• Coaches • Operational staff • Clerical/ Admin • Outdoor leaders • Officials
Top 5 advantages	• Flexibility to fluctuating demand • Better value • Easily identify casual workers for more stable employment • Workers take care of tax and social security • High levels of worker motivation
Top 5 disadvantages	• Casual workers less reliable • Casual workers less loyal • Need for additional worker training • Casual workers less motivated than other workers • Need to transition casual staff to more stable employment after a period

> 10.5 INTERMITTENT PERMANENT EMPLOYMENT

This was the one new form of employment which was added to the Eurofound categories because it emerged from the partners' own desk research.

Figure 79: Intermittent permanent employment: an organisation gives their staff member a permanent employment contract with an agreed number of hours to be worked over the year. The weekly / monthly working hours are irregular due to peaks in demand or seasonality. Despite the irregularity, staff member is paid a fixed monthly salary based on 1/12 of the total yearly working hours to ensure a stable monthly salary. Have you used this form of employment?

■ n=1663




Perhaps surprisingly, since Eurofound did not identify it, intermittent permanent employment appears to be quite well-known in the sector. Perhaps it is more prevalent in sport – or at least those countries most represented in the survey. 22.9% said they had used it and 25.7% said they had not used it but heard of it. However, the percentage of those who had heard of it is lower than employee sharing, platform work, job sharing or casual work.

Only those who said they had not used intermittent permanent employment were then asked:

Figure 80: You said that you have not used intermittent permanent employment. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?

■ n=1275




In terms of attractiveness, the findings here are relatively balanced with 31.6% saying it could be helpful to them and 27.8% saying it would not. This may be because it is primarily designed to overcome some of the challenges faced by employers who are mainly involved in seasonal sports such as skiing and tennis.

Only the respondents who said they had used intermittent permanent employment were then asked about their opinions and experiences.

Figure 81: Would you recommend intermittent permanent employment as a good solution to other sport organisations?


■ n=378



Once again, the experience of users appears to be positive with 83.9% able to recommend it to others.

Figure 82: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of intermittent permanent employment?


■ n=369



The main advantages here appear to apply to staff retention. Nearly three quarters (70.2%) said it enabled them to retain the services of good and reliable staff in the long-term and 54.5% thought it contributed to a stable workforce. The administrative burden does not seem significant with 39.3% feeling it was easy to administer and 19% seeing an advantage in not having to mount an annual recruitment drive. There also appear to be advantages for the employee with 34.1% saying it contributed to a stable lifestyle for the worker which in turn improved reliability.

Figure 83: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of intermittent permanent employment?


■ n=348



The greatest percentage (43.4%) felt there were no disadvantages. However, there may be financial costs for the stability which intermittent permanent employment brings. 24.4% said that they had to pay salaries even when customer demand was low in the season. Although when asked about advantages (Figure 82), 39.3% said it was easy to administer, 19.3% thought administrative arrangements for the salary were complicated and 16.1% said it was difficult to negotiate the contract. It is also worth noting that 17.5% said this type of contract was unattractive to some staff who favour temporary seasonal employment.

Figure 84: Which of the following jobs did you use intermittent permanent employment for?


■ n=363



Once again, sport coaches top the list, but it is also significant that 18.2% are using it for clerical and office staff, 17.4% for middle managers, 17.1% for operational staff and the same percentage for outdoor staff.

Figure 85: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in intermittent permanent employment?


■ n=365



Like most of the other new forms of employment, intermittent permanent employment involves mainly males (37.8% versus 15.3% females). This is actually the highest percentage of male representation in all the new forms of employment. Possibly this reflects the fact that it will be most attractive to a family's principal earner who, even today, is more likely to be male than female.

Figure 86: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in intermittent permanent employment?

■ n=365



At 61.6%, those in the 25-49 age group is the largest and this is similar to most other new forms of employment.

Most of the interviews concerning intermittent permanent employment were with employers and employees based in France where it is written into law as 'CDII' and is well-established.



The president of a French multi-sport club with extensive experience of this employment form explained their rationale.

"The CDII (Intermittent permanent contract) has been in place for several years, and most of our coaches benefit from it. The club does not operate in July and August, so CDII contracts run from September to June each year. We adopted the CDII because it offers great flexibility in scheduling. Coaches work only a few hours per week, often have other employers, and the CDII allows the club to offer long-term contracts tailored to their specific working hours. We really have no choice but to offer CDII contracts, as the club lacks the financial resources for standard permanent contracts."

However, based on many years of using this system, he does acknowledge some restrictions.

"While the CDII is useful, it also has limitations. For example, there are times when we need instructors for only a very short period (just a few hours in the year), and the CDII is not suited for such cases. In my view, there is a lack of options for very short-term contracts. Additionally, employees are hired by the multisport association, meaning that if a specific section (e.g., swimming) shuts down, the club must still employ its swimming instructors, even if the section no longer exists. In such cases, the CDII places too much responsibility on the club."

On the other hand, a young woman working as a masseuse under a CDII contract and as a self-employed sport instructor (combining two new forms) mentioned no real disadvantages for the employee.

"I find that the permanent intermittent contract suits me perfectly, as it provides stability and fixed working hours. Additionally, I appreciate being able to combine my employment contract with self-employment, which allows me to continue working as a sports instructor during the hours I am not contracted under CDII."

INTERMITTENT PERMANENT EMPLOYMENT


Implementation level	Low (23)	
Awareness level	Medium (49)	
Attractiveness to nonusers	Very Low (4)	
Recommended by users	Very High (79)	
No disadvantage reported by user	Low to Medium (43)	
Female engagement	Low to Medium (42)	
Youth engagement	Low (15)	
Top 5 relevant jobs	• Coaches • Clerical/ Admin • Middle management • Operational staff • Outdoor activity leaders	
Top 5 advantages	• Retain good and reliable staff • Stable workforce • Easy administration • Increased reliability through worker having more stable lifestyle • Good team integration • No need for annual recruitment drive	
Top 5 disadvantages	• Still had to pay staff when demand and workload low • Complex salary administration • Unattractive to some staff who prefer more flexibility • Difficult contract negotiations	



> 10.6 ICT-BASED MOBILE WORK (REMOTE WORKING)

Figure 87: Remote working: a member of staff works from outside the office for some or all working time. Have you used this form of employment?

■ n=1636




Given the extent of remote working which considerably accelerated during the COVID pandemic, it seems surprising that 24.4% said that they had not heard of it. However, there is a clearly high level of implementation (46.4%) and awareness with 29.2% saying they had heard of it.

Only those who said they had not used remote working were then asked:

Figure 88: You said that you have not used remote working. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation?

■ n=871




This was only one of two new forms of employment which appeared to have negative attractiveness with 42.5% saying remote working would not be helpful to them in the future versus only 26.9% who said that it would. This may possibly because it is well-known and well-established, and respondents had had time to evaluate its potential usefulness to them.

Only the respondents who said they had used remote working were then asked about their opinions and experiences.

Figure 89: Would you recommend remote working as a good solution to other sport organisations?


■ n=754



Despite the apparent negative attractiveness, users seem very willing to recommend it to others (83.3%).

Figure 90: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of remote working?


■ n=735



Remote working appears to have advantages for both recruitment and retention. Almost one half (46%) thought it made their organisation more attractive to potential recruits and almost as many (44.4%) said it increased staff loyalty. Additionally, 33.7% said it enabled them to recruit from a wider geographical area. There were also identified advantages for flexibility with 34.4% saying that they could be more flexible in the way they deploy staff to different locations. There may also be simplifications in management processes since almost one third (30.3%) said they could measure staff performance base on outcomes, not the number of hours worked.

Figure 91: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of remote working?


■ n=725



Despite the potential management simplification suggested when respondents were asked to identify advantages, most of the disadvantages of remote working appear to apply to management and organisation/team integration. Almost one half of users (42.2%) thought it was more difficult to manage staff remotely. 38.9% said that team members' communication and collaboration were more difficult, and that remote staff felt less involved in the organisation and its culture. It is concerning that around a quarter (24%) said that new team members could not learn the job as quickly when they were not office based. Almost as many (23.3%) said some staff were less productive or worked less.

Figure 92: Which of the following jobs did remote working apply to?


■ n=734



Similar to job sharing, remote working involved sport coaches and other sport and fitness workers much less than the other new forms of employment. However, it is clearly more applicable to middle managers who were in the majority at 55%, clerical and office staff/receptionists (47.1%) and senior management staff (35.3%).

Figure 93: Where does the staff member mainly work from when they are working remotely?


■ n=721



Home appears to be the most popular location for remote working at 80.9% but it is also notable that when combined 33.4% of respondents also had remote workers in other locations.

Figure 94: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in remote working?


■ n=735



Like job sharing, although less so, remote working appears to be more applicable to females than males (23.3% mainly females versus 21.4% mainly males).

Figure 95: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in remote working?

■ n=737



In the case of remote working, young employees are very much in the minority at only 3%. This could be because remote working may not be appropriate to the type of job (for example, sport coaches and operational staff) or because employers do not feel younger workers can be trusted to work remotely.

The version of remote work most commonly found in the interviews was working from home and this was mainly utilised by administrative staff, although one Albanian sport federation interviewee did mention that trainers, arbiters, and technical staff also work remotely.



A member of the board of directors at a Greek sport federation commented on his experience of using remote work.

“We use remote work for a limited number of staff and for a short period of time with the use of personal computers provided by the Federation. One advantage of remote work is that the employees can work without anyone interrupting them while working. This new form of employment requires new skills for both the employers and the employees, such as knowledge of technical support tools (PCs, secure network, etc.). However, we find the opportunities for teamwork are more limited.”



Most of the employees who were involved in remote work were positive about their experience. An association manager at a Belgian sport club explained.

“I’m very motivated by the variation and flexibility that this form of employment offers. For me, the biggest advantages are the flexibility and work-life balance. It fits my current lifestyle and I would definitely recommend it to a colleague, friend or family member.”



The advantages of remote work in overcoming geographical obstacles were illustrated by a Polish HR specialist who is based 300km from the sport school she serves.

“For me, remote work was a conscious choice due to geographic distance and flexibility needs. The advantages are that I have no commute, I have a flexible schedule and a much better work-life balance.”

The same interviewee also highlighted some of the difficulties.


“But there are disadvantages when it comes to physical document handling, data security and a lack of a secure infrastructure at home. Cloud systems are helpful, but we can’t fully implement them due to cost and legal limitations. And, of course, the arrangement requires trust on both sides. There need to be clear boundaries between availability to the employer and my own privacy.”

REMOTE WORKING	
Implementation level	Medium (46)
Awareness level	Very High (75)
Attractiveness to nonusers	Very Negative (-16)
Recommended by users	Very High (76)
No disadvantage reported by user	Low (28)
Female engagement	Medium (46)
Youth engagement	Very Low (10)
Top 5 relevant jobs	• Middle management • Clerical/ Admin • Senior management • Coaches • Operational staff
Top 5 advantages	• Organisation more attractive to potential recruits • Increased worker loyalty and motivation • More flexibility in deploying workers to different locations • Recruit staff from outside immediate geographical area • Ability to measure worker performance on outcomes, not hours worked
Top 5 disadvantages	• Difficulty in remotely managing workers • Team communication and collaboration more difficult • Workers not feeling involved in organisation and culture • Workers take longer to learn the job • Remote workers less productive

> 10.7 SELF-EMPLOYED PORTFOLIO WORK

Figure 96: Self-employed portfolio work: a skilled self-employed worker has service contracts with a range of different organisations. Have you used this form of employment?

■ n=1615




Clearly, self-employed portfolio work is quite well-known with 30.1% having used it and 35.5% having heard of it. However, given what we know from the statistics about the higher-than-average incidence of self-employment in the sport sector, it may be surprising that those who have heard of it is not a higher percentage.

Only those who said they had not used self-employed portfolio workers were then asked:

Figure 97: You said that you have not used self-employed portfolio work. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation

■ n=1117




It may also be surprising that only 32.9% thought this might be helpful to their organisation in the future with almost as many (29.5%) saying that it would not.

Only the respondents who said they had used self-employed portfolio workers were then asked about their opinions and experiences.

Figure 98: Would you recommend self-employed portfolio working as a good solution to other sport organisations?


■ n=474



Like most of the other new forms of employment, the percentage of those who had used it and are prepared to recommend it to others is over three quarters (78.7%) and those who would not is relatively low.

Figure 99: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of self-employed portfolio working?


■ n=473



Once again, we see that users appreciate the flexibility offered by self-employed portfolio work with 72.7% choosing access to specialised staff when they are needed and 42.5% selecting no long-term commitment to the worker. Users also appeared to welcome the reduced administrative burdens with 55.6% choosing the advantage of the self-employed worker taking care of their own tax and social security. Users also appear to like the fact that the worker is more broadly experienced, and this was of value to the organisation (37.6%) and that the self-employed were more motivated because they liked independence and a variety of work (29.8%).

Figure 100: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of self-employed portfolio working?


■ n=456



Over one third (34.2%) felt there were no disadvantages to self-employed portfolio work. The principal disadvantages appear to be less loyalty to the organisation (38.4%) and concerns about conflicts of interest because the worker may also work for competitors (31.4%). Whereas team integration is identified as a problem, it was only selected by 15.8%.

Figure 101: Which of the following jobs did self-employed portfolio working apply to?


■ n=469



Similar to many other new forms of employment, self-employed portfolio work appears most applicable to sport coaches (60.6%). Over one quarter (27.3%) said they were using it for fitness instructors and nearly as many (20.5%) with outdoor staff. Given the relatively low numbers of these types of organisations in the survey sample, it may be that this type of work is more extensive in these subsectors.

Figure 102: Which type of self-employed portfolio worker did you use?


■ n=459



One purpose of this question was to find out more about the extent of collaborative employment where self-employed workers share some facilities and functions such as a co-working space, common reception services and accountancy. The responses to this question suggest that it is not very widespread in the sport sector with only 17.2% selecting it as an option.

Figure 103: On average, what was the gender balance of the self-employed people involved in self-employed portfolio working?


■ n=468



Once again, we can see that self-employed portfolio work mainly involves men (37% compared to only 13.9% mainly females). The higher proportion of male workers in this category confirms what was discovered in the analysis of the official Eurostat data.

Figure 104: On average, how old were the people involved in self-employed portfolio working?

■ n=469



Similar to most of the other new forms of employment, self-employed portfolio work seems to engage more of those in the 25-49 age group. This is also consistent with analysis of the official Eurostat data.

Many of the interviewees had experience of either engaging the services of self-employed workers or having been self-employed themselves.



The chairperson of a Belgian dance club outlined some of the advantages of hiring self-employed portfolio workers from her own experience.

“The biggest advantage of working with portfolio workers is that they are quickly replaceable if you are not satisfied with their work. You can also attract very good names (dance teachers) that can boost your dance school. This will also attract more members to your club. This is also good publicity for the reputation of your dance school. Another advantage is that there is little administration involved.”

But she was also able to identify some of the disadvantages.

“The biggest disadvantage is that they are extremely expensive to pay for and retention is often low because they no longer commit to a longer period of time. Some members want quality sports for their child, and they leave when the dance teacher leaves.”



Self-employed portfolio work certainly seems to be an option when organisations are seeking specialised staff. The manager of a physical activity unit in Portugal explained his situation.

“In a project to help with physical activity in primary schools, we hired an external person with specific knowledge for a period of time, about a year, as a consultant, who brought in knowledge about methodology and working tools that were crucial to the implementation of the project. In terms of the challenge, it was a very simple and very fruitful process. It involved contracting out the purchase of a service for a certain period of time. He was a very valuable help because he was a person who had a great command of these matters. This person’s collaboration was decisive for the project, speeding up the execution and outcome of the project.”



From the interviews, we can also see evidence of the flexibility offered by self-employed workers.

“Because standard contracts don’t give us the flexibility we need, we increasingly rely on self-employed (auto-entrepreneurs), which I find more useful.” (President of a French multi-sport club).

The same interviewee also gave some thoughts on how one new form of employment could lead to another.

“For me, it is essential to develop new employment models to better address flexibility challenges. One idea I am considering is implementing a trial period for future hires—initially working with someone as a self-employed contractor for one year, and if everything goes well, offering them an intermittent permanent employment contract.”



A project coordinator from a European sport federation gave her own experience of self-employment:

“I really enjoyed the flexibility of being a freelancer. As a young person, I valued freedom over money. Then I switched from freelance to a permanent position because of job security, a guaranteed pension and other benefits.”



The head coach at a martial arts club in Greece expressed further long-term concerns about self-employment.

“Regarding the self-employment I wonder: how can we ensure that these new colleagues do not have to work two or three different jobs to meet their substantial financial obligations while remaining functional and reliable in their field, especially in the area of education/coaching?”


SELF-EMPLOYED PORTFOLIO WORK	
Implementation level	Low to Medium (30)
Awareness level	High (66)
Attractiveness to nonusers	Very Low (3)
Recommended by users	High (70)
No disadvantage reported by user	Low to Medium (34)
Female engagement	Low (29)
Youth engagement	Very Low (9)
Top 5 relevant jobs	• Coaches • Fitness instructors • Outdoor activity leaders • Operational staff • Middle management
Top 5 advantages	• Easy access to type of workers needed • Workers take care of tax and social security • Organisation has no long-term commitment to worker • Worker can improve organisation because more broadly experienced • Worker more motivated because they enjoy independence
Top 5 disadvantages	• Worker less loyal to organisation • Potential conflicts of interest • Lack of team integration • Less motivated than employed staff • Greater job dissatisfaction



> 10.8 VOUCHER-BASED WORK

Figure 105: Voucher-based work: staff are legally registered and paid for certain services (e.g. domestic work, childcare, gardening, maintenance) through “vouchers” obtained from a third party (such as a government agency) through a simplified employment process. Have you used this form of employment?

■ n=1581




Eurostat found voucher-based work as being widespread in only a few European countries, so it seems unsurprising that only 4.5% said they had used it and only 25.6% saying they had heard of it.

Only those who said they had not used voucher-based workers were then asked:

Figure 106: You said that you have not used voucher-based work. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?

■ n=1502




This was only one of two new forms of employment which appears to have negative attractiveness to the respondents. Only 19.6% felt it could be helpful to their organisation and 33.4% saying that it would not. This may possibly because it appears from the description to be quite a complex arrangement.

Only the respondents who said they had used voucher-based workers were then asked about their opinions and experiences.

Figure 107: Would you recommend voucher-based work as a good solution to other sport organisations?


■ n=70



Interestingly, this was also the new form of employment in the survey with the highest number of users saying they would not recommend it, although this only stands at 15.7%.

Figure 108: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of voucher-based work?


■ n=66



Given that one of the main objectives of voucher-based work is to bring undeclared workers into formal employment, it is not surprising that the two advantages with the highest percentage of respondents are employment fully compliant with labour regulations and laws (42.4%) and employment provided social protection for staff (37.9%). There may also be advantages to cost-effectiveness since one third appreciated the fact that they received a financial benefit for employing voucher-based workers. Despite the apparent complexity of voucher-based work, nearly as many of users (31.8%) felt that it reduced their administrative burden and 25.8% found the process simple. There were also some elements of flexibility with 25.8% saying it enabled them to employ staff quickly and 15.2% saying it provided a high level of flexibility in terms of fluctuating demand.

Figure 109: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of voucher-based work?


■ n=60



Despite the 31.8% of users who found voucher-based work reduced administrative burdens, we can also see that slightly more (33.3%) said the process to register and use the system was complex. Other selected disadvantages appear to relate to lack of commitment by the staff member (26.7%), lack of continuity due to short-term and temporary employment (20%) and lack of team integration (20%). Notably, of all the new forms of employment in the survey, voucher-based work received the lowest percentage of respondents who said there were no disadvantages.

Figure 110: Which of the following jobs did you use voucher-based work for?


■ n=57



Like many of the other new forms of employment, voucher-based work appears to be most applicable to coaches in the sport sector (50.9%), but it is also being used for clerical and office staff/receptionists (28.1%) and operational staff (22.8%).

Figure 111: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in voucher-based work?


■ n=61



Although once again males are mainly involved in this new form of employment (27.9% mainly men, versus 21.3% mainly female) the gap between the two is relatively narrow. Possibly this reflects the tendency for more females than males occupying clerical and administrative posts.

Figure 112: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in voucher-based work?

■ n=63



Once again, we can see that this form of employment mainly engages the 25-49 age group (47.6%). The representation of the younger group is only 9.5%.

As can be seen from the survey results, voucher-based work is not so widespread as some of the other new forms. However, some interviews on the topic were possible.



A French coach specialising in working with elderly clients who have mobility issues, need muscle strengthening, or experience social isolation is one example.

“Firstly, this type of work provides me with a lot of flexibility, allowing me to find a few extra hours per week and increase my income. The payment system is convenient, as my salary is received as a net amount, and employers pay minimal charges while benefiting from tax deductions. It’s very good for work-life balance: I made the personal choice to work in the evenings which gives me free time in the mornings to take my children to school. I get a lot more family time. In fact, my kids probably spend more time with me than they do with my wife. It’s really a lifestyle choice. My priority is quality of life over salary. In my case, I can afford to do that because my wife has a stable and well-paid job.”

However, he did experience some disadvantages.

“Since I’m employed by several individual clients, trust is essential in the working relationship, as this setup could pose risks like asking for paid vacations (even though I have never personally had issues). There’s also some unpaid preparation time. I spend significant time preparing sessions, which is not compensated, and this challenge is amplified as I have multiple employers and different sessions to plan. I also know that there will be an impact on my retirement. Working under this system will affect both the amount of my pension and the age I’m able to retire at. The kind of freedom I enjoy comes at a cost, but I accept this reality.”



It was also valuable to see how an NGO in Greece, specializing in working with clients with Down's Syndrome, is utilizing voucher-based work. Their deputy director explained.

“This form of employment works as follows: 1) Qualified candidates participate in the programme through State or European grants, 2) They join the Association for a specific period of time (usually 6-12 months), covering needs in areas such as training, administration and support for beneficiaries, 3) During their voucher-based employment, they receive training and guidance from experienced staff of the organisation, 4) After the program ends, we evaluate their performance and, if resources are available, we try to place some in more stable forms of employment.

“We can see several advantages in using voucher-based work in this way. We can create employment opportunities for young professionals and unemployed people who would otherwise struggle to find a job in their area of interest. The voucher-based scheme reduces staffing costs and operates legally. Finally, it gives us the chance to assess new employees prior to possible permanent employment.

“But there are some disadvantages. The employees lack stability because the period of employment is short [although this does not apply to all voucher-based work]. There is some new bureaucracy involved. Finally, there are limited progression opportunities for these voucher-based workers”

VOUCHER BASED	
Implementation level	Very Low (5)
Awareness level	Low to Medium (32)
Attractiveness to nonusers	Negative (-13)
Recommended by users	Medium (51)
No disadvantage reported by user	Low (25)
Female engagement	Low to Medium (37)
Youth engagement	Low (16)
Top 5 relevant jobs	• Coaches • Clerical/ Admin • Operational staff • Fitness instructors • Middle managers
Top 5 advantages	• Employment fully compliant with laws and regulations • Employment provides worker social protection • Employer receives a benefit for using this form of - employment • Reduced administrative burden • Automatic calculation of salary, tax and social security
Top 5 disadvantages	• Process to register with voucher system • Workers less committed/ motivated • Lack of continuity between different workers • Poor team integration • Difficulty in finding recruits for voucher-based work

> 10.9 SUMMARY OF SPORT EMPLOYERS' RESPONSES TO NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT

Table 11: Summary of survey responses regarding new forms of employment

Form	Implementation level	Awareness level	Attractiveness to nonusers	Recommended by users	Female engagement	Youth engagement	Top 5 relevant jobs	Top 5 advantages	Top 5 disadvantages
Employee sharing	Very Low	Low Medium	Low	High	Low Medium	Low	- Coaches - Outdoor leaders - Clerical/ Admin - Fitness instructors - Middle management	- Access to workers with guaranteed skills - Another organisation takes care of admin - No need for training - Worker has broader experience - Responsive to fluctuating demand	- Difficulties of remote management - Potential conflict of interest - Worker does things differently - Lack of team integration - Complex admin
Platform work	Very Low	Low Medium	Low	High	Low Medium	Very Low	- Coaches - Fitness instructors - Outdoor activity leaders - Professional athletes - Middle management - Operational staff	- Easily identify skilled workers needed - Only use the worker when needed - Worker appreciated flexibility - Low administration burden - Tax and social security taken care of	- Potential conflict of interest because worker may also work for competitor - Additional cost of platform fee - Lower worker motivation - Less management control - Lack of team integration
Job sharing	Low	High	Very Low	High	Medium	Very Low	- Clerical and admin - Coaches - Operational staff - Middle management - Fitness instructors	- Someone available to take full responsibility when other absent - Skill sharing - Recruitment attraction to fit with worker's personal circumstances - Retain skilled staff when personal circumstances change - Increased staff loyalty	- Potential conflict between job sharers - More complex to administer - Communication and handover between skill sharers - Difficulty managing two people in same job - Competition between job sharers
Casual work	Low	Medium	Very Low	Medium	Low Medium	Low Medium	- Coaches - Operational staff - Clerical/ Admin - Outdoor leaders - Officials	- Flexibility to fluctuating demand - Better value - Easily identify casual workers for more stable employment - Workers take care of tax and social security - High levels of worker motivation	- Casual workers less reliable - Casual workers less loyal - Need for additional worker training - Casual workers less motivated than other workers - Legal requirement to transition casual staff to more stable employment after a period

Form	Implement- ation level	Awareness level	Attracti- veness to nonusers	Recom- mended by users	Female en- gagement	Youth enga- gement	Top 5 relevant jobs	Top 5 advantages	Top 5 disadvantages
Intermittent permanent employment	Low	Medium	Very Low	Very High	Low Medium	Low	• Coaches • Clerical/ Admin • Middle management • Operational staff • Outdoor activity leaders	• Retain good and reliable staff • Stable workforce • Easy administration • Increased reliability through worker having more stable lifestyle • Good team integration	• Still had to pay staff when demand and workload low • Complex salary administration • Unattractive to some staff who prefer more flexibility • Difficult contract negotiations
Remote working	Medium	Very High	Very Negative	Very High	Medium	Very Low	• Middle management • Clerical/ Admin • Senior management • Coaches • Operational staff	• Organisation more attractive to potential recruits • Increased worker loyalty and motivation • More flexibility in deploying workers to different locations • Recruit staff from outside immediate geographical area • Ability to measure worker performance on outcomes, not hours worked	• Difficulty in remotely managing workers • Team communication and collaboration more difficult • Workers not feeling involved in organisation and culture • Workers take longer to learn the job • Remote workers less productive
Selfemployed portfolio work	Low Medium	High	Very Low	High	Low	Very Low	• Coaches • Fitness instructors • Outdoor activity leaders • Operational staff • Middle management	• Easy access to type of workers needed • Workers take care of tax and social security • Organisation has no long-term commitment to worker • Worker can improve organisation because more broadly experienced • Worker more motivated because they enjoy independence	• Worker less loyal to organisation • Potential conflicts of interest • Lack of team integration • Less motivated than employed staff • Greater job dissatisfaction
Voucher- based work	Very Low	Low Medium	Negative	Medium	Low Medium	Low	• Coaches • Clerical/ Admin • Operational staff • Fitness instructors • Middle managers	• Employment fully compliant with laws and regulations • Employment provides worker social protection • Employer receives a benefit for using this form of employment • Reduced administrative burden • Automatic calculation of salary, tax and social security	• Process to register with voucher system • Workers less committed/ motivated • Lack of continuity between different workers • Poor team integration • Difficulty in finding recruits for voucher-based work

> 10.10 ALIGNMENT OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT WITH SPORT EMPLOYERS' PRIORITIES

The partners also felt it would be important to see which of new forms of employment may be relevant to the employment priorities which the survey respondents selected (see Figure 44).

The following table shows the results:

Table 12: Alignment of new forms of employment with sport employers' priorities

Employer's priority and % who selected it	Relevant new form of employment	Reasons
Flexibility in staffing based on organisational needs (51.2%)	Employee sharing	The employer can draw on a shared employee according to demand but has no ongoing commitment.
	Platform work	The employer can access a platform worker according to demand but has no ongoing commitment.
	Casual work	The employer can access a casual worker according to demand but has no ongoing commitment.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The employer can access a self-employed worker according to demand but has no ongoing commitment.
	Voucher-based work	The employer can find an appropriate voucher-based worker when needed but has no ongoing commitment.
Ability to retain skilled staff despite changing circumstances (47.9%)	Employee sharing	The shared employee is permanently employed, and their skills are retained.
	Platform work	A platform worker who has proved their expertise can be rehired when needed.
	Intermittent permanent employment	Seasonal workers with the right skills and attitudes can be retained on a year-by-year basis.
	Casual work	A casual worker who has proved their expertise can be rehired when needed.
	Self-employed portfolio work	A self-employed worker who has proved valuable in the past can be contracted again.
Easy access to skilled staff despite changing circumstances (44.1%)	Employee sharing	The employers' alliance or sport federation provides the worker and ensures their qualifications.
	Platform work	Skilled workers can be hired from platform which guarantees their qualifications and skills.
	Intermittent permanent employment	Seasonal workers with the right skills and attitudes can be retained on a year-by-year basis.
	Self-employed portfolio work	Self-employed workers can be hired relatively quickly and should have the necessary skills. Depending on their availability they can be brought back into the organisation whenever appropriate.


Improving work/ life balance and job satisfaction for staff (41.7%)	Platform work	The platform worker can choose assignments which fit their own circumstances.
	Job sharing	A worker can negotiate working hours which fit their personal circumstances.
	Intermittent permanent employment	The seasonal worker is permanently employed but they also have the option of finding additional employment in the periods when they are not needed on-season.
	Casual work	The casual worker can choose assignments which fit their own circumstances.
	Remote working	The remote worker can work from home and combine/balance work/ life responsibilities.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The self-employed worker may have more freedom to choose assignments which fit their own circumstances.
Reducing the employer's administrative burden (payroll, HR etc.) (37.8%)	Employee sharing	The employers' alliance or sport federation takes care of the HR function.
	Platform work	The platform or the platform worker is responsible for tax and social security issues.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The self-employed worker is responsible for tax and social security payments. The employer simply manages a service contract.
	Voucher-based work	The voucher agency takes care of tax and social security issues.
Cost effectiveness (35.5%)	Employee sharing	The employers' alliance or sport federation employs the shared employee and pays their full-time salary. The employer only pays for the hours they work.
	Platform work	The employer only pays for the hours the platform worker works. However, the platform will charge an additional service fee.
	Job sharing	The employer gets the combined skills of two workers but only pays one salary.
	Casual work	The employer only has to pay the casual worker for the hours they work. However, in most countries casual workers must be offered permanent employment after a certain period of employment (e.g., 12 months).
	Remote working	The employer may reduce their office space and other associated overheads such as heating and lighting. However, they may need to pay the worker an allowance for home working.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The employer only pays for the hours the self-employed worker works. However, the employer cannot be the self-employed worker's only contractor.
Easy access to staff with wider experience (27.8%)	Employee sharing	The shared employee works across a range of different organisations and gains wider experience.
	Casual work	The casual worker may have experience of other organisational processes.
	Platform work	The platform worker will work for a range of other organisations.
	Self-employed portfolio work	The self-employed worker will work for a range of other organisations.
Access to specialised expertise for specific projects or problems (27.1%)	Employee sharing	With a large enough pool of employees, the employers' alliance or sport federation should be able to provide specialists.
	Casual work	An employer may be able to find a casual worker with specialised skills.
	Platform work	Platforms may include specialist workers.

> 10.11 PERCEIVED OBSTACLES TO THE USE OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT

Finally, in this section of the questionnaire, respondents were asked, 'What types of obstacles, in your opinion, might prevent your organisation from using non-traditional forms of employment?'

Figure 113: What types of obstacles, in your opinion, might prevent your organisation from using non-traditional forms of employment?

■ n=1560



At this point in the survey questionnaire, respondents had had the opportunity to review all of the new forms of employment we included, so their responses to this question are important and may point us in the direction of understanding more about what the sport sector needs.

Almost one half (47.8%) identified lack of knowledge about appropriate options. Almost as many identified legal restrictions (46.6%). 36.3% selected the need for more guidance and 33.8% felt new forms of employment were too complicated to implement or manage. All of these findings suggest:

- > The sport sector needs more tailored information about the new forms of employment
- > Employers require more expert guidance on how to use them in line with legal requirements.



The attitude of sport employers to new forms of employment was perhaps best summarised by the secretary general of an Albanian sport federation:

"In conclusion, embracing new forms of employment, can provide greater opportunities. These models can enhance talent acquisition and tap into a broader pool of skills and expertise. However, it is crucial to address the challenges of job security, benefits, and legal frameworks to ensure fairness and protect workers."

11

CONCLUSIONS

> 1

Recruitment and retention of paid staff is and will continue to be a problem, and the sport sector needs to find methods of addressing these.

Employment in European sport has grown by 32% in the period 2011-2023, nearly four times the growth of employment in all EU sectors and 31% of survey respondents predict their staffing levels will continue to grow. It is perhaps not surprisingly that sport may face recruitment and retention challenges, and all strands of research, particularly the survey findings, confirm that these challenges are very real with a particular impact on workers with a sport and fitness occupation (those, like sport coaches, who work directly with members, customers and clients). There are also difficulties in recruiting and retaining staff for other positions, such as middle managers and clerical staff, but these are less severe.

> 2

The precarious nature of European sport employment has a negative impact on the workforce and the sector needs to find ways of making sport employment more stable.

It is also evident that the nature of labour demand in the sport sector (a large number of financially struggling 'micro-businesses' who lack expertise in human resource management, fluctuating and uneven demand, small packages of available work, unsocial hours etc.) has tended to create an employment environment which is heavily dependent on part-time and temporary contracts, a higher-than-average level of self-employment and the possibility (at least in some countries) of significant levels of undeclared work.

When compared with many other European employment sectors, much sport employment may be characterised as unstable and precarious. Many of the advantages of more stable employment, in particular sufficient and predictable incomes, the ability to plan long-term careers and engage in continuing professional development are being missed.

There is also evidence that the nature of sport employment may be restraining the number of women joining the workforce and the number of young workers being retained in the sector as they grow older.

> 3

Sport employers are very willing to address recruitment and retention challenges and the unusual characteristics of the workforce but also want to maintain flexibility in employment.

Sport employers are clearly aware of the general recruitment and retention challenges they face, the precarious nature of working in sport and the specific problems of recruiting enough women and the potential benefits of retaining younger staff. They also appear willing to tackle these issues.

Nevertheless, they also see the advantages of current employment practices, particularly in the flexibility they offer in meeting fluctuating demand and contracting with employees for relatively small packages of work.

From all strands of research, we can see that sport employers have tried a number of possible solutions, for example, providing more education and training for their staff, improving working conditions, implementing work-life balance initiatives and even increasing salaries and benefits, but the problems still persist.

> 4

New forms of employment are already showing some limited take-up in the sport sector and are proving their value, but sport employers and employees need more information, especially on how they work and the potential advantages and disadvantages of each.

The findings indicate that all of the new forms of employment included in the survey are already present to a certain extent. Those who have used them were able to indicate which jobs were most appropriate for each, specify advantages and disadvantages and supply some previously unknown demographic data on the workers who were taking part. In most cases, more than 60% of users were ready to recommend the new form of employment they had tried to other organisations. The only exceptions being casual work (59%) and voucher-based work (51%).

However, not all new forms of employment are being adopted at the same rate. Remote working, for example, is by far the most popular (46%), followed by self-employed portfolio work (30%). Indeed, some of the ones which were most highly recommended by users had either a low or very low implementation levels. Examples include employee sharing (implemented by only 14% of respondents but recommended by 66% of users) and platform work (implemented by only 4% of respondents but recommended by 65%).

Generally speaking, it seems the sport sector's awareness of these new forms of employment remains relatively low. The only new forms of employment which showed an above 50% level of awareness were remote working (75%), self-employed portfolio work (66%) and job sharing (64%). Evidence from the employee interviews also suggests that employees also lack information.

Furthermore, it must be noted that, despite the high levels of recommendations from users, most of the new forms of employment did not appear to be particularly attractive to non-users. Most had an attractiveness score below 10 and indeed two of them (remote working and voucher-based work) achieved negative attractiveness scores.

The low level of take-up of the new forms of employment which are already proving their value to users, the low level of awareness and apparent attractiveness to non-users should be taken in the context of 48% of survey respondents saying that lack knowledge about the appropriate options for new forms of employment.



> 5

In addition to information on new forms of employment, employers and employees need clear and objective guidance on how to select appropriate new forms of employment as appropriate to their needs, be aware of potential risks and how to minimise these risks and ensure that their employment contracts meet legal requirements.

It is also notable that new forms of employment in sport are not 'one size fits all'. Clearly from the desk-based evaluation and the survey findings, each one has particular advantages and disadvantages which may be appropriate to different employment contexts and needs. Intermittent permanent employment, for example, is particularly relevant to seasonal employment whereas casual work may be more appropriate when staffing an occasional event or project. Voucher-based work may be especially useful in bringing undeclared workers (possibly 'volunteers' who are receiving unofficial payments) into formal employment.

Employers also need to be aware that the disadvantages associated with each new form of employment may present a risk for them, and they need to put in place measures to minimise these risks. Much the same applies to employees. Each new form of employment presents some risks for them. Remote workers, for example, may feel less involved in the organisation and may take longer to learn the job. Shared employees, platform workers and portfolio workers may find it harder to adjust to a new organisation's culture and to integrate well into the team. Like the employer, they need to be aware of these possibilities before entering into these new forms of employment.

Additionally, we can see from the survey that respondents see legal restrictions (47%) as being a potential obstacle to the take up of new forms of employment and that 36% said that they would need more guidance on using new forms of employment. Preliminary analysis of EU employment directives and national legislation in the partner countries suggests that legal restrictions do not present obstacles to new forms of employment which cannot be overcome and indeed the new forms of employment appear to be consistent with the EU's Employment Package. However, almost half of the respondents clearly lack confidence when it comes to employment law, and they need support.

> 6

Only job sharing and remote working seem particularly relevant to the recruitment and retention of female workers. However, all of them appear to engage older workers and therefore may help to retain younger sport workers into their later years.

In terms of promoting gender equity in the sport workforce, the results were not so positive. Only two of the new forms of employment showed a medium level of female engagement: job sharing and remote working. All the others fell below the medium level with the lowest level of female engagement being self-employed portfolio work.

In terms of age, all the new forms of employment showed either low or very low levels of youth engagement. The only exception being casual work which showed a low-to-medium level. Since the older age groups are taking part far more in new forms of employment, this may actually be helpful in helping to retain younger workers if they can see that a new form of employment could increase their chances of building a long-term career. However, formal casual work (as opposed to undeclared work) may represent an easy and legitimate point of access to sport employment.

> 7

Some of the new forms of employment offer the potential to increase incomes for some sport workers and this is an advantage which could be promoted.

Of all the possible reasons for recruitment and retention problems identified in the desk research and survey findings, uncompetitive salaries and benefits emerge as a critical issue. Yet, we also know that many sport organisations have little financial headroom when it comes to improving salaries.

Is it possible that some of the new forms of employment could begin to address this problem by offering opportunities for sport workers to maximise incomes? Some of them seem to have this potential. For example, platform work and self-employed portfolio work give the worker a chance to access many potential service contracts within the same timeframe and by doing so bring their income up to an average level or possibly even exceed average earnings. If skilled and experienced staff can see the possible financial benefits of some of the new forms of employment, this may have a positive impact on retaining them within the sport sector.

This was partly supported by one finding from the survey where nearly one half of survey respondents (48.8%) agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'Many workers in the sport sector become self-employed because it improves their income potential.' Only 15.7% disagreed or strongly disagreed.

> 8

Some of the new forms of employment offer the potential to allow workers to create a more acceptable work-life balance and this is an advantage which could be promoted.

Having working hours which are more compatible with family responsibilities and preferred lifestyle also emerged as an important factor influencing recruitment and retention. In terms of the wider benefits of the new forms of employment, some of them may have the potential to offer the worker more flexibility in this regard. We have already noted above that remote working (particularly from home) gives workers, especially women, the chance to create a more attractive work-life balance. The opportunity to align the responsibilities of earning an income and lifestyle may also be offered by some of the other new forms, in particular platform work, job sharing and self-employed portfolio work.

> 9

The emergence of new technologies in the sport sector and the specific demands of some new forms of employment trigger new skills requirements. Both employees and employers need to be aware of these additional skill needs and be ready to address them.

Perhaps the final major issue arising from the research is skills development. We know from the desk research that new technologies like AI are finding their way into the sport sector and that this has training implications. We also know from the survey findings that employers see a mismatch between the skills which staff currently have and the changing demands of working in the sector. It is also clear that some of the new forms of employment raise training issues. Platform workers and remote workers, for example, need ICT skills. The managers of employee sharing schemes and remote workers need skills in how to manage their performance remotely. Self-employed portfolio workers need entrepreneurial skills.

A full-page background image showing a male referee in a black and white striped shirt, black shorts, and black socks with white stripes on the shins. He is standing on a wooden floor, gesturing with his right arm extended forward and slightly to the side. In the background, there are yellow stadium seats and several players in red and yellow jerseys. The scene is indoors, likely a sports hall.

12


POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOM- MENDATIONS

12 POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The next phase of the IN-FORMS project will involve sharing the research findings and conclusions with stakeholders at the European level and in national roundtable consultation meetings in each of the partner countries. The project will then use the outcomes of these consultations to develop policy papers to inform decision makers in the areas of sport and employment at the national and EU levels to consider how new forms of employment can be used to address some of the recruitment and retention challenges the sector faces, the benefits and risks of new forms of employment, how they could be further promoted and the information and guidance which both employers and employees need on sport employment.

The main recommendations from the IN-FORMS research which will inform these policy papers are the following:

- > The sport sector must continue to professionalise through growing its paid workforce in order to meet the social and economic expectations of the EU and national governments.
- > The sport sector must find ways of solving the recruitment and retention problems it faces cost-effectively, legally/ethically and in ways that balance the employers' need for flexibility with the needs of the workforce, in particular for stable employment, decent wages, career development and lifestyle expectations.
- > Solutions to the recruitment and retention of paid staff should pay special attention to the recruitment and progression of female workers, the career development and retention of younger staff and transitioning volunteers, former athletes and undeclared workers into formal employment.
- > Some of the strategies currently being used by employers are proving to be valuable and should be encouraged; these include:
 - Providing continuing education and training opportunities
 - Improving working conditions
 - Implementing work-life balance initiatives
 - Increasing financial incentives
- > Sport employers' experience of new forms of employment suggests that all of them potentially have a role to play in addressing recruitment and retention problems, but awareness in the sector is low and there needs to be more active dissemination and promotion of these new forms.
- > Employers must receive very careful guidance on each new form of employment because each one can address different types of recruitment and retention problems, and each one brings disadvantages and risks for employers and workers and potential legal and regulatory complications.
- > The education and training of the sport workforce must be improved so that employers and workers can engage effectively with new forms of employment and the more general technological developments impacting on the sport sector.



13

ANNEX 1

THE EU EMPLOYMENT LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

> 13.1 THE EU EMPLOYMENT STRATEGY

The EU has had an Employment Strategy since 1997. The purpose is to establish a common set of objectives and targets for employment policy and the creation of more and better jobs throughout the EU.

One key component is the [Employment Guidelines](#) which target four key domains:

- > Boosting the demand for labour – guidance on job creation, labour taxation and wage-setting.
- > Enhanced labour and skills supply – addressing structural weaknesses in education and training and tackling youth and long-term unemployment.
- > Better functioning of the labour markets – reducing labour market segmentation and improving active labour market measures and mobility.
- > Fairness, combating poverty and promoting equal opportunities for all.

Potentially, all of these are relevant to the aims of IN-FORMS.

> 13.2 THE EU EMPLOYMENT PACKAGE

Launched in 2012 this has measures which are relevant to IN-FORMS:

- > promoting and supporting self-employment, social enterprises and business start-ups
- > transforming informal or undeclared work into regular employment
- > encouraging decent and sustainable wages
- > reducing labour market segmentation between those in precarious employment and those on stable employment
- > anticipating economic restructuring
- > developing lifelong learning
- > reinforcing social dialogue

> 13.3 EU RIGHTS AT WORK

Every EU worker has minimum rights relating to:

- > **health and safety at work:** general rights and obligations, workplaces, work equipment, specific risks and vulnerable workers
- > **equal opportunities for women and men:** equal treatment at work, pregnancy, maternity leave, parental leave
- > **protection against discrimination** based on sex, race, religion, age, disability and sexual orientation
- > **labour law:** part-time work, fixed-term contracts, working hours, employment of young people, informing and consulting employees

Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions

All workers in the EU will have the right to:

- > more complete **information on the essential aspects of their work**, to be received early and in writing,
- > a limit to the **length of probationary periods** at the beginning of the job to six months,
- > **take up another job** with another employer; any restrictions to this right need to be justified on objective grounds,
- > **be informed within a reasonable period in advance when work will have to be done** – especially for workers with unpredictable working schedules and on-demand work,
- > **effective measures that prevent abuse** of zero-hour contract work, meaning work contracts without a fixed number of working hours,
- > receive a written reply to a **request for transfer** to another more secure job, and
- > **receive cost-free mandatory training** related to the job where the employer has a duty to provide this.

Fixed Term Work

The directive and the agreement on fixed-term work forbid employers to treat fixed-term workers less favourably than permanent workers, unless different treatment can be justified on objective grounds. To prevent abuse of successive fixed-term contracts, EU Member States, after consultation with the social partners, must put in place one or more of the following limits:

- > the objective reasons that would justify the renewal of fixed-term contracts or relationships
- > the maximum total duration of successive fixed-term employment contracts and relationships
- > the permitted number of renewals.

Part-Time Work

The EU states that new forms of flexible working can bring benefits for employers and employees alike, but part-time work has to be on a fair footing.

A Framework Agreement between Europe's employers and trade unions aims to:

- > eliminate unjustified discrimination against part-time workers and improve the quality of part-time work.
- > facilitate the development of part-time work on a voluntary basis
- > contribute to the flexible organisation of working time in a way that takes account of employers' and workers' needs.

A Framework Agreement requires that part-time workers' employment conditions may not be less favourable than those of comparable full-time workers, unless there are objective reasons for different treatment.

It also **strongly encourages** employers, as far as possible, to take account of employees' preferences and their requests to transfer from full-time to part-time employment or vice versa. Employers should also **facilitate** access to the relevant jobs.

Part-Time Work

The [EU's Working Time Directive \(2003/88/EC\)](#) requires EU Member States to guarantee the following rights for all workers:

- > **a limit to weekly working hours**
 - the average working time for each seven-day period must not exceed 48 hours, including overtime
 - depending on national legislation and/or collective agreements, the 48-hour average is calculated over a reference period of up to 4, 6 or 12 months
- > **a rest break** during working hours if the worker is on duty for longer than 6 hours
- > **a minimum daily rest period**
 - in every 24 hours a worker is entitled to a minimum of 11 consecutive hours of rest
- > **a minimum weekly rest period**
 - for each 7-day period a worker is entitled to a minimum of 24 uninterrupted hours in addition to the 11 hours' daily rest
- > **paid annual leave** of at least 4 weeks per year
- > **extra protection in case of night work**
 - average working hours must not exceed 8 hours per 24-hour period,
 - night workers must not perform heavy or dangerous work for longer than 8 hours in any 24-hour period,
 - night workers have the right to free health assessments and, under certain conditions, to transfer to day work.

Work-life Balance

This [Directive](#) includes:

- > Introduction of **paternity leave**. Fathers/equivalent second parents will be able to take at least **10 working days** of paternity leave around the time of birth of the child, **compensated** at least at the level of sick pay.
- > Strengthening of the existing right to 4 months of **parental leave, by making 2 out of the 4 months non-transferable** from a parent to another and **compensated** at a level to be set by Member States. Parents will also have the right to request to take the leave in a flexible way (e.g. part-time or in a piecemeal way).
- > Introduction of **carers' leave** for workers providing personal care or support to a relative or person living in the same household. Working carers will be able to take **5 days per year**.
- > Extension of the existing right to request **flexible working arrangements** (reduced working hours, flexible working hours and flexibility in place of work) to **all working parents of children up to at least 8 years old, and all carers**.

> 13.4 EU LEGAL AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS SPECIFIC TO NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT

Temporary Agency Work

Although this [Directive](#) explicitly refers to agency work, it is possible that it may apply to workers in an employee sharing scheme which would be relevant to IN-FORMS.

The Directive:

- > lays down the principle of non-discrimination, regarding the essential conditions of work and of employment, between temporary workers and workers who are recruited by the user company.
- > ensures greater transparency and increases confidence in the temporary work sector. It thus improves protection for the workers concerned while giving greater flexibility to companies.
- > protects the same rights for temporary workers who are pregnant as those of permanent workers.
- > ensures that temporary workers should have the same access to amenities and collective services at work.
- > instructs the user company to inform temporary workers of any permanent vacancies.

A further EU directive ([91/383/EEC](#)) ensures that fixed-term and temporary agency workers, who are more exposed to the risk of accidents at work and occupational diseases than other workers, have the same level of safety and health protection at work as other employees.

Platform Work

In February 2024, the European Parliament adopted a [Directive on Platform work](#). This establishes the following:

The new law introduces a presumption of an employment relationship (as opposed to self-employment) that is triggered when facts indicating control and direction are present, according to national law and collective agreements, and taking into account EU case law.

The directive obliges EU countries to establish a rebuttable legal presumption of employment at national level, aiming to correct the imbalance of power between the digital labour platform and the person performing platform work. The burden of proof lies with the platform, meaning that it is up to the platform to prove that there is no employment relationship.

The right to disconnect

The right to disconnect is not defined in EU law. However, the EU Parliament is very aware of the increasing impact of mobile technology on working conditions and wants to introduce legislation. On 21 January 2021 it called on the Commission to come up with a law [allowing employees to disconnect from work](#) during non-work hours without consequences and setting minimum standards for remote work.

The EU Parliament noted that interruptions to non-working time and the extension of working hours can increase the risk of unremunerated overtime, can have a negative impact on health, work-life balance and rest from work; and called for the following measures: A further EU directive ([91/383/EEC](#)) ensures that fixed-term and temporary agency workers, who are more exposed to the risk of accidents at work and occupational diseases than other workers, have the same level of safety and health protection at work as other employees.

- > Employers should not require workers to be available outside their working time and co-workers should refrain from contacting colleagues for work purposes
- > EU countries should ensure that workers who invoke their right to disconnect are protected from victimisation and other repercussions and that there are mechanisms in place to deal with complaints or breaches of the right to disconnect
- > Remote professional learning and training activities must be counted as work activity and must not take place during overtime or days off without adequate compensation

> 13.5 UNDECLARED WORK

Within the last ten years, all Member States introduced various measures to step up their efforts in the fight against undeclared work, given its negative consequences. Most of them are in the area of deterrence measures to influence people's behaviour with stricter sanctions or focusing on more effective inspections. In addition, Member States use preventive measures such as

- > tax incentives
- > awareness raising
- > amnesties

to decrease the incidence of undeclared work and facilitate compliance with existing rules.

The [European Platform tackling undeclared work](#) enhances **cooperation between Member States' relevant authorities and other actors involved** to fight undeclared work more effectively and efficiently while fully respecting national competences and procedures.

14

ANNEX 2 LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS IN THE PARTNERS COUNTRIES

Generally speaking, the legal and regulatory frameworks (with the exception of Albania which is not an EU member country) reflect the overall EU employment framework. Some examples are given below. It is notable that only a few national legislative frameworks explicitly deal with new forms of employment, although some governments do have strategies for job creation which are relevant.



ALBANIA

Although Albania is not part of the EU, it has a similar set of employment laws and strategies, some of which are relevant to the sport sector and new forms of employment.

The Albanian Labor Code governs employment relationships, outlining provisions for various contract types, including part-time, work-from-home, teleworking, fixed-term, and indefinite-term contracts. It mandates written employment contracts and stipulates conditions for working hours, overtime, minimum wage, social security contributions, and non-discrimination. The Labor Code facilitates new employment forms by recognizing diverse contract types, such as teleworking and part-time arrangements, which can be advantageous in the sports industry. However, strict requirements for written contracts and adherence to specific working conditions may pose administrative challenges for organizations seeking flexible employment models.

Law on Sports (Law No. 79/2017, amended by Law No. 105/2020) regulates the functioning and organization of sports activities in Albania, including the legal status of sports entities, athletes, and coaches. It sets standards for professional qualifications, rights, and obligations of individuals involved in sports. It provides a structured framework for sports-related professions, potentially facilitating the formalization of employment in the sector. However, stringent qualification requirements and regulatory standards may limit the adoption of unconventional employment forms, such as freelance coaching or short-term contracts, thereby presenting barriers to flexibility.

The Law on Protection from Discrimination ensures equality before the law and prohibits discrimination in employment based on various grounds, including gender, race, and disability. It mandates equal treatment in recruitment, remuneration, and access to professional development. By enforcing non-discriminatory practices, this law facilitates inclusive employment opportunities in the sports sector, encouraging diversity and equal access to new forms of employment. Compliance requirements may necessitate additional training and policy adjustments for sports organizations, potentially posing implementation challenges.

The Law on Safety and Health at Work mandates employers to ensure safe and healthy working conditions, conduct risk assessments, and implement preventive measures to protect employees' well-being. In the context of new employment forms, especially remote or freelance work in sports, ensuring compliance with health and safety regulations can be complex. While the law aims to protect workers, it may present barriers to flexible employment arrangements if organizations find it challenging to meet safety obligations outside traditional work environments.

The National Employment and Skills Strategy 2023-2030 aims to enhance employment opportunities and skills development in Albania. It emphasizes the promotion of new forms of labour, supports short-term intensive employment, and encourages flexibility at work, including digital solutions and the emergence of new occupations. The strategy actively facilitates innovative employment practices by advocating for flexible work arrangements and the integration of digital solutions. In the sports sector, this could translate to support for roles such as virtual coaching, online fitness training, and other digitally mediated sports professions, thereby promoting adaptability and modernization.

While Albania's legal and policy frameworks provide avenues to facilitate new forms of employment in the sports sector, certain regulatory requirements may pose challenges. Organizations should carefully navigate these laws to effectively implement innovative employment practices while ensuring compliance.



BELGIUM (Flanders)

Flanders has a complex set of laws and regulations governing employment and in some cases employment in sport.

The Labour Law of 16 March 1971 and the Royal Decree on the working hours and employment at night and on Sundays and public holidays of employees in the sociocultural sector (Royal Decree of 16 June 1999) and the collective labour agreement of 25 October 1999 set the minimum and maximum limits of working hours, employment agreements, work regulations and flexibility of working hours. In the case of sport, these are judged to provide too little flexibility to address the seasonal nature of demand fluctuation in the sector.

The Law of 3 July 1978 on employment contracts (Employment Contracts Law) is judged to require a high level of administration for the various and different types of employment contracts which tends to act as a deterrent to sport organisations employing staff, and there is a need for administrative simplification.

A Law of 8 April 1965 requires employers to provide an employment regulation that determines the mutual rights and obligations of employee and employer. The work regulations contain information about working hours, holidays, payment of wages, notice periods and more. In general, the amount of administration for the different types of contracts and the specific terms and conditions per contract make organisations anxious to take the step to employ themselves. Once again, there is a need for administrative simplification.

Article 17 of the Royal Decree of 28 November 1969, concerning social security for employees, (amended by royal decree of 3 September 2010) implies that certain employers in the sociocultural and sports sectors are in some cases exempt from the obligation to pay social security contributions for certain employees, including animator, leader, monitor, coordinator, coach, youth sports coordinator, groundskeeper, equipment manager, referee and judge. Its provisions tend to encourage the employment of staff in these roles since it allows the employee to earn up to €7,460 per year with a fixed income tax of only 10% with no social security contributions.

The Law of 24 July 1987 on temporary work, temporary agency work and the posting of workers for the benefit of users (Temporary Agency Work/Employment agency Law) covers the performance of temporary work and the posting of workers to a user company. However, it imposes contractual restrictions and burdens which act as a deterrent to sport employers attempting to use these provisions.

The Decree of 8 November 2013 on the promotion, coordination and subsidisation of employment in the sports sector facilitates the employment of sports technical, logistical and administrative staff within the sports sector and determines, among other things, the recognition and subsidization of an organization that is responsible for the coordination and employment of a pool of sports supervisors and sports assistants. For each policy plan period, one organisation is appointed for the coordination and employment of a pool of sports supervisors and sports assistants. Since 2014, this has been Sportwerk Vlaanderen. This organization has built up enormous experience with regard to sports and employment over the years and promotes the concept of shared employment.

Regulation of labor relations, establishment of a Labor Inspection Body and other provisions. (Law No 2639/1998) regulates special forms of employment, in particular part-time employment.

Article 67 Law No 4808/2021 regulates the new form of working from distance such as platform work with the use of technology.

Presidential Decree No. 80 December 4, 2022, Issue 1, Sheet No. 222 is essentially a codification of the legislation governing individual labor law, which includes the basic regulations for the employment contract, its meaning and content, its preparation and termination, working time (hours and leaves) and remuneration, etc.



FRANCE

In France, all the national laws and regulations governing labour law are listed in the «Code du Travail», drafted for the first time in 1910. This exhaustive document governs all relations between the employer and the employee, from the start to the end of the contract (hiring process, working conditions, suspension or termination of the employment contract etc.). This is not a frozen document. New decrees and regulations laws are regularly amended or added to better adapt to the reality of changes and new dynamics observed in the working environment.

When it comes to choosing a type of employment contract when hiring an employee, knowledge of the Labour Code (Code du Travail) is essential. The type of contract that will be chosen will necessarily belong to a defined and regulated category of the Labor Code. The Labor Code represents the first level of labour law. A second level exists in certain sectors of activity. This second level is called a National Collective Agreement. It makes it possible to adapt the rules of the Labor Code to the specific situations of a sector or activity.

The agreement can promote the emergence of new forms of employment in a sector if an agreement is reached between representatives of employers and employees. The Collective Agreement makes it possible to adapt the organization of work within the limits provided for by the Labor Code.

Therefore, it exists in France two levels that regulate the relationships between the employer and the employee:

- > The Labor Code
- > The Collective Agreement specific to each sector of activity (e.g. sport, banking, real estate)

There is a National Collective Sport Agreement (CCNS) which was officially signed in 2006 following seven years of negotiation. Relatively recent compared to other Collective Agreements, the CCNS has undergone many changes since its official recognition. The CCNS has made it possible to make employment in the sports sector more flexible and secure in France. The CCNS, for example, offers the possibility of increasing the working time of a part-time employee in case of a peak in activity. That possibility is offered by the CCNS and not the Labor Code.

The most important advantage of the CCNS is to bring together around the same negotiating table all the stakeholders (employees and employers) who are aware of the difficulties or improvements that must be made to the sports sector in terms of employee/employer relationships, while remaining within the framework of labour law as defined in the Labor Code. The CCNS has played an important role in the emergence of new forms of employment in sport, in particular by having established the Permanent Intermittent Contract (CDII), that is one of the most used working contracts in sport in France.



GREECE

As well as **general employment legislation** (similar to the labour codes discussed above), Greece also has **Article 67, Law No. 4808/2021** which regulates new forms of employment which involve working from a distance (including platform work supported by the use of technology) and a Presidential Decree No. 80 which codifies legislation governing individual labour law which includes the basic regulations for the employment contract, its meaning and content, its preparation and termination, working time (hours and holidays) and remuneration, etc.



LUXEMBOURG

By comparison, Luxembourg has only one relevant legal requirement, the [Labour Code \(April 2021\)](#) contains provisions applicable to all employees exercising an activity on the territory of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg all legal, regulatory and administrative provisions, as well as those resulting from collective agreements declared to be of general application or an arbitration decision.



POLAND

In line with other partner countries, Poland has a **Labour Code** which regulates employment relationships in all sectors including sport. It defines terms and conditions of employment, such as types of employment contracts, working hours, holidays, overtime, leaves, benefits, minimum wage for a full-time employee, termination of employment, and severance.

There is also a **Civil Code** which regulates employment forms that may fall outside traditional employment relationships, such as contracts for specific tasks or freelance agreements. These contracts must adhere to the general principles of contract law outlined in the Civil Code, including obligations, liability, and termination terms.

In addition, there is also a **Sports Law** which regulates the functioning of sports organisations, including the employment of athletes and coaches. This law provides specific provisions regarding the rights and duties of sports professionals, contractual agreements, and the organisation of sports events. It can affect how employment relationships are structured in the sports industry.

Like all EU states, Poland has **anti-discrimination Laws** that apply to all sectors, including sports. Any new form of employment must ensure non-discrimination based on gender, age, disability, race, religion, or other protected characteristics. This is crucial in creating fair and inclusive employment practices in sports.

Relevant to the promotion of new forms of employment, the country also has the **Good Governance Code for the Polish Sports Associations** which is a non-legislative tool. It is a handbook, a collection of recommendations and best practices that can be useful for management boards wishing to reform their sports organisations.



PORTUGAL

Portugal has a **Labour Code**, [Law No. 7/2009 \(12 February\)](#). This is a general legal document that regulates labour relations between employees and employers, namely the employment contract and its different modalities and specific information on: place of work, remuneration, number of hours, allowances, vacation periods, absences, termination of employment, dismissal and collective labour disputes, and so on. As such, it does not act as a barrier or facilitator of new forms of employment.

However, there are also laws which cover the **Legal Regime of the Sports Practitioner's Employment Contract embodied in [Law No. 54/2017](#), the Sports Training Contract and the Representation or Intermediation contract**. This establishes the relationship between a sport employer and employee and the status of a Sport Entrepreneur. The Sports Training Contract is entered into between a training entity and a sports trainee, under which the latter undertakes to provide the latter with adequate training for the development of their technical capacity and the acquisition of knowledge necessary for the practice of a sport, being the sports trainee obliged to perform the tasks inherent to that training. It can be a facilitator of new forms of employment.

The [Regulatory Ordinance No. 367/2012](#) establishes regulated professions in the sport sector and designates a competent authority to carry out the recognition of professional qualifications. Establishing rules for the exercise of sports professions is judged to be an element that facilitates the conditions for reconciling new forms of employment and professional practice. In addition, the [Law No. 106/2019](#) establishes an access regime and exercise of sport coach activity. A similar [Law No. 39/2012](#) covers a similar requirement for technical directors in gyms, academies and health clubs.

Portugal's **Employment and Vocational Training Institute** promotes the Activar.pt and Investe Jovem programmes which provide a stimulus for job creation, the hiring of interns and promotion of young entrepreneurs which may facilitate the emergence of new forms of employment, both of which may be helpful to the labour market integration of youth, women and the disabled.



NETHERLANDS

Like all other EU states, Netherlands has a raft of employment legislation which reflects the EU framework. There is also a collective agreement for sport which must be acknowledged. Relevant to the topic of the IN-FORMS project, the Dutch partner notes, "These laws provide everyone (individuals and companies) with the same set of regulations in order to create a 'level playing field' for all who intend to create new forms of employment. In a way, these regulations facilitate new forms of employment through a clear framework in which all parties have to adjust to the same set of rules. At the same time the number of laws, rules and regulations provide a load of information and restrictions which make it hard for new players to comply with all the requirements."



ROMANIA

Romania only has three legislative instruments relevant to sport employment.

The [Labour Code](#) regulates employment, the rights and obligations of employers and employees in dependent relationships. This is judged to impose employment contracts as the main solution for employment and this limits the potential for flexibility.

The [Sports Law](#) regulates the employment of athletes, coaches and technical staff based on a Sports Activity Contract. The taxation imposed by this law brings Sport Activity Contracts close to Employment Contracts in terms of financial burden.

The [Civil Code](#) regulates self-employed contracts and provides limited facilities in rather limited circumstances. Generally speaking, microenterprises are under financial pressure with the numbers having decreased drastically in recent years.



15

BIBLIOGRAPHY

15 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Sources used by partners when conducting the national desk research.



ALBANIA

- > **albania.unwomen.org** (No date specified). *Economic empowerment*. <https://albania.unwomen.org/en/what-we-do/economic-empowerment-1>
- > **albaniaeducation.info** (No date specified). *Career options in sports*. <https://www.albaniaeducation.info/career-options/sports>
- > **arkiva.financa.gov.al** (2023). *National Employment and Skills Strategy 2030_EN*. https://arkiva.financa.gov.al/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/National-Employment-and-Skills-Strategy-2030_EN.pdf
- > **ascap.edu.al** (2021). *Identifikimi i Nevojave për Zhvillim Profesional të Punonjësve Arsimorë në Arsimin Parauniversitar*. <https://www.ascap.edu.al/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Identifikimi-i-Nevojave-p%C3%ABr-Zhvillim-Profesional-t%C3%AB-Punonj%C3%ABsve-Arsimor%C3%AB-n%C3%AB-Arsimin-Parauniversitar-1.pdf>
- > **documents1.worldbank.org** (No date specified). *Report on gender wage disparities*. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099845001312217227/pdf/P1752090acdb7604a0918d0aa310774d0ea.pdf>
- > **documents1.worldbank.org** (No date specified). *Report on low wages in the Western Balkans*. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099062524135630574/pdf/P1813201745b8d0c19e0c12079933e4c44.pdf>
- > **ilo.org** (No date specified). *Report on informal employment in Albania*. <https://www.ilo.org/media/456271/download>
- > **instat.gov.al** (No date specified). *Employment and unemployment statistics*. <https://www.google.com/search?q=https://www.instat.gov.al/al/temat/tregu-i-pun%25C3%25ABs-dhe-arsimi/pun%25C3%25ABsimi-dhe-papun%25C3%25ABsia/%23tab3>
- > **instat.gov.al** (No date specified). *Men and women statistics 2023*. <https://www.instat.gov.al/media/12598/burra-dhe-gra-2023.pdf>
- > **instat.gov.al** (No date specified). *Official statistics website*. <https://www.instat.gov.al/en/>
- > **neighbourhood-enlargement.ec.europa.eu** (2023). *SWD_2023_690 Albania report*. https://neighbourhood-enlargement.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2023-11/SWD_2023_690%20Albania%20report.pdf
- > **pjp-eu.coe.int** (No date specified). *Albania Chapter III*. https://pjp-eu.coe.int/documents/42128013/246625197/Albania_Chapter%20III.pdf/3dae8484-d2ad-d974-b29e-1f0df9fb0918?t=1712841904879
- > **rcc.int** (No date specified). *Study on Youth Employment in the Western Balkans*. <https://www.rcc.int/download/docs/Study-on-Youth-Employment-in-the%20Western-Balkans-08072021.pdf/7464a4c82ee558440dfbea2e23028483.pdf>
- > **rm.coe.int** (No date specified). *22-final-report-esc-evaluation-albania*. <https://rm.coe.int/22-final-report-esc-evaluation-albania-2766-3360-8969-1/1680b04793>
- > **s3albania.org** (No date specified). *Report on limited job opportunities in the private sector*. <https://s3albania.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/B9.pdf>
- > **smartbalkansproject.org** (2024). *Raport ANALYSIS_COMPATIBILITY_LEGAL_FRAMEWORK_CRPD*. https://smartbalkansproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/Raport-ANALYSIS_COMPATIBILITY_LEGAL_FRAMEWORK_CRPD.pdf
- > **undp.org** (2022). *Inclusive vocational education and training for persons with disabilities in Albania*. <https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2022-05/Inclusive%20vocational%20education%20and%20training%20for%20persons%20with%20disabilities%20in%20Albania%20-%20English.pdf>



BELGIUM (Flanders)

- > **Acerta** (No date specified). *Seasonal work in agriculture and horticulture.*
- > **belgie.be** (No date specified). *Types of employment contracts - Federal Public Service Employment, Labour and Social Dialogue.*
- > **codef.be** (No date specified). *223-2021-008442.pdf.*
- > **European Commission** (No date specified). *Eurobarometers related to physical activity and sport.*
- > **fgov.be** (No date specified). *The social status of the self-employed | FPS Economy.*
- > **fonds-tuinbouw.be** (No date specified). *Seasonal worker's wages.*
- > **socialsecurity.be** (No date specified). *Administrative instructions / 2021-3 > Social security contributions > The basis for calculation > Occasional workers in agriculture and horticulture.*
- > **sport.vlaanderen** (No date specified). *Kennisplatform: Gender in de sport.* <https://www.google.com/search?q=sport.vlaanderen/kennisplatform/themas/thema-sportparticipatie/db-gender-in-de-sport/>
- > **sport.vlaanderen** (No date specified). *PowerPoint Presentation.*
- > **sport.vlaanderen** (No date specified). *Topsporters.* <https://www.google.com/search?q=sport.vlaanderen/topsporters/wie-zijn-onze-topsporters/>
- > **Sportwerk** (No date specified). *Artikel 17 – Verenigingswerk.*
- > **Sportwerk** (No date specified). *Onderzoeksrapport_Drop_out_sporttrainers_sportfederaties.pdf.*
- > **Sportwerk** (No date specified). *Study of the different functions within sports organizations.*
- > **vlaanderen.be** (No date specified). *Metadata: Tewerkstelling sport.*
- > **vlaanderen.be** (No date specified). *Officiële tewerkstellingen in de sportclubs | Departement Cultuur, Jeugd & Media.*
- > **vlaanderen.be** (No date specified). *Tewerkstelling sport.* [Tewerkstelling sport | Vlaanderen.be](https://www.vlaanderen.be/tewerkstelling-sport)
- > **vlaanderen.be** (2023). *20230907_advies_wijziging_tewerkstellingsdecreet.pdf.*

15 BIBLIOGRAPHY



FRANCE

- > **Amnyos groupe ad al.** (2022). *Diagnostic action dans le secteur du sport*. Report funded by the French government on the consequences of the health crisis on the sport sector and the solutions to be implemented in the future.
- > **Commission Européenne.** *Eurobaromètre 498 : Emploi non déclaré dans l'Union Européenne*. 2019 European barometer on undeclared work in Europe and France.
- > **COSMOS** (2022). *Enquête féminisation des structures sportives*. Survey conducted by the employers' union of the sports sector (COSMOS) with a panel of 836 sports organizations.
- > **COSMOS et al.** (2023). *Evaluation du moral des dirigeants du COSMOS 2023*. Survey study requested by the employers' union of the sports sector to assess the morale of leaders.
- > **COSMOS.** *Enquête N°13 : Situation économique & sociale des structures sportives*. 2022 Survey conducted with 1,007 sports organizations employing workers in the sports sector.
- > **CPNEF et al.** (2024). *Etude Emploi Formation dans la branche du Sport : Rapport provisoire*. Report commissioned by the sports sector on employment and training in the industry.
- > **CREDOC.** *Jeux Olympiques 2024*.
- > **FNPSL** (No date specified). *Practical experience of FNPSL and its network*.
- > **Hello Asso** (No date specified). *Digital tool for associations*. <https://www.helloasso.com/associations>
- > **INJEP study** (No date specified). *Study on the future of sports graduates*.
- > **LADAPT** (No date specified). *National study on the accessibility of sports practice for people with disabilities*.
- > **Profession Sport & Loisirs 76** (No date specified). *Women, Sport & Employment event*. <https://seine-maritime.profession-sport-loisirs.fr/2024/03/26/femmessports-emploi-une-semaine-intense/>



GREECE

- > No specific sources were referenced within the answer sections or the «FURTHER INFORMATION» section in the Greece report, beyond the general template references.



LUXEMBOURG

- > **Legilux.** *Loi du 3 août 2005 concernant le sport*. <https://legilux.public.lu/eli/etat/leg/loi/2005/08/03/n2/jo>
- > **LUNEX study** (June 2024). *Study on challenges faced by the sport sector*.



POLAND

- > **Praca Sport** (2024). *Raport rynek pracy w branży sportowej w Polsce i I połowa 2024 roku*. <https://www.google.com/search?q=https://pracasport.pl/raport-rynek-pracy-w-branzy-sportowej-w-polsce-i-polowa-2024-roku>

15 BIBLIOGRAPHY



PORTUGAL

- > **EOSE** (2023). *National fact sheet Portugal - latest statistics for the national sport and physical activity labour market*. European Observatoire of Sport and Employment. https://www.google.com/search?q=https://www.eose.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/National_Fact_Sheet_PORTUGAL_2023-Data-2022-MAG.pdf
- > **INE** (2023). *Sport in numbers – 2022*. Instituto Nacional de Estatística, I.P.. ISBN 978-989-25-0640-1. https://www.google.com/search?q=https://www.ine.pt/ine_novidades/DesportoEmNumeros2022/34/index.html, https://www.ine.pt/xportal/xmain?xpid=INE&xpgid=ine_destaques&DESTAQUESdestboui=633378233&DESTAQUESmodo=2&xlang=en
- > **INE** (2024). *Employment Statistics - Statistics on flows between labour market states*. https://www.ine.pt/xportal/xmain?xpid=INE&xpgid=ine_destaques&DESTAQUESdestboui=633378233&DESTAQUESmodo=2&xlang=pt
- > **IPDJ** (2024). *Latest survey of national clubs carried out by the Portuguese Institute of Sports and Youth*.
- > **ESSA-Sport project** (2019). *Report on recruitment and retention issues*.



NETHERLANDS

- > **Mulier Instituut** (2023). *Report on labor mobility*.
- > **NOC*NSF** (2024). *Calculation of the consequences of announced measures for sport*.
- > **Sector Analyse sport** (2021). *Analysis of employment contracts in the sports sector*.
- > **UWV** (2023). *Report on labor market shortages*.



ROMANIA

- > **National Institute of Statistics** (2023). *Research published by the National Institute of Statistics*.



16 LIST OF FIGURES

16 LIST OF FIGURES

» LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Which country is your organisation registered in? If your country is not listed - choose 'Other' at the bottom of the list and insert the name of the country in English.	27
Figure 2: Choose an option that best describes your organisation.	28
Figure 3: Which of these categories best describes your organisation?	28
Figure 4: Approximately how many paid staff work in your organisation in a typical year? Please, include all full-time and part-time staff, self-employed, as well as temporary/seasonal staff and paid apprentices/interns; for this question, do not include volunteers	29
Figure 5: Top 12 sports represented by survey respondents.....	29
Figure 6: Total sport employment 2011-2023 for EU+UK	33
Figure 7: Total sport employment as a percentage of total employment (all economic sectors) for the EU+UK ..	34
Figure 8: Does your organisation engage any self-employed people (e.g., contractors, freelancers)?	36
Figure 9: Please indicate approximately how many volunteers your organisation engages on average each year? (e.g. coaches, board members, office and other helpers etc.)	36
Figure 10: When you were trying to recruit paid staff, did you experience any difficulties?	40
Figure 11: When you were trying to recruit paid staff, did you experience any difficulties? - Comparison between Albania (n=52) and Portugal (n=480) and Sweden (n=384) and Luxembourg (n=40)	40
Figure 12: Which of the following types of paid staff has it been difficult to recruit for?	41
Figure 13: What, in your opinion, were the reasons for your recruitment difficulties? Choose up to 5 most important reasons.	41
Figure 14: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements / Retaining skilled staff is a significant challenge for sport organisations.	42
Figure 15: Do you have difficulties in retaining paid staff that you wish to keep in your organisation?	43
Figure 16: Which of the following types of paid staff do you experience difficulties retaining?	43
Figure 17: What, in your opinion, are the reasons for your difficulties in retaining paid staff? Choose up to 5 most important reasons	44
Figure 18: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: The sport workforce must become more inclusive in terms of gender and disability to make full use of available talent.	46
Figure 19: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: The sport sector would benefit from having more women in executive and management positions.	46
Figure 20: Have you had difficulties recruiting enough female staff members in particular?.....	46
Figure 21: What reasons would you give for difficulties recruiting female staff members in particular? Choose up to 5 most important reasons.	47
Figure 22: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Sport organisations would benefit from having older and more experienced staff.....	48
Figure 23: Do you have any difficulties in retaining young staff members, under the age of 30, in particular? ...	48
Figure 24: What, in your opinion, are the main reasons for difficulties in retaining young staff members, under the age of 30, in particular? Choose up to 5 most important reasons	49
Figure 25: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Lack of career progression opportunities makes it difficult for sport organisations to retain younger staff.	49
Figure 26: What is the balance of full and part-time paid staff in your organisation?	51
Figure 27: What is the balance of permanent and temporary paid staff in your organisation?	52

16 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 28: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Part-time and temporary jobs make it difficult for sport organisations to retain skilled staff.....	52
Figure 29: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: A lot of work in the sport sector is precarious and we need to find ways to make sport employment more stable.	53
Figure 30: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Part-time and temporary positions gives sport organisations the flexibility they need for some roles in the sector.	53
Figure 31: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Many workers in the sport sector do not declare their earnings to the authorities.	55
Figure 32: Agreement percentage to statement “Many workers in the sport sector do not declare their earnings to the authorities” by country	56
Figure 33: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: The rapid development of the sport sector means staff need new skills.	57
Figure 34: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: The sport sector could recruit and retain more staff if there were more opportunities for them to develop skills throughout their careers.	57
Figure 35: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: It is more difficult for sport organisations to recruit and retain skilled staff following the Covid pandemic.	58
Figure 36: Agreement percentage for statement “It is more difficult for sport organisations to recruit and retain skilled staff following the Covid pandemic.” by country	59
Figure 37: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Offering competitive salaries is important to recruit and retain skilled staff.	60
Figure 38: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Many workers in the sport sector become self-employed because it improves their income potential.	61
Figure 39: Compared to 12 months ago, the number of people employed in your organisation has... ..	66
Figure 40: How do you think the number of people employed in your organisation will change over the next 2 years?	66
Figure 41: Have you used any of the following solutions to recruitment and retention challenges? Would you recommend them to other organisations?	69
Figure 42: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Employment laws make it complicated for sport organisations to employ paid staff.	76
Figure 43: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: Sport organisations need more expert guidance in recruiting and retaining skilled staff.	76
Figure 44: Which of the following, in your opinion, are the top priorities for your organisation in relation to the employment of paid staff? Choose up to 5 most important priorities.	78
Figure 45: Employee sharing: an organisation employs workers and then shares them across different organisations when they are needed, for a fee based on the number of hours worked.	92
Figure 46: You said that you have not used employee sharing. Do you think this form of employment could be useful for your organisation?	92
Figure 47: Would you recommend employee sharing as a good solution to other sport organisations?	92
Figure 48: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of employee sharing?	93
Figure 49: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of employee sharing?	93
Figure 50: Which of the following jobs did you use employee sharing for?	94
Figure 51: What type of organisation did you get the shared employee from?	94

16 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 52: On average, what was the gender balance of the staff involved in employee sharing?	95
Figure 53: On average, how old were the staff involved in employee sharing?	95
Figure 54: Platform work: skilled workers make themselves available on a digital platform, and employers can hire their services when they need them.	98
Figure 55: You said that you have not platform work. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?	98
Figure 56: Would you recommend platform work as a good solution to other sport organisations?	98
Figure 57: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of platform work?	99
Figure 58: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of platform work?	99
Figure 59: Which of the following jobs did platform work apply to?	100
Figure 60: Which type of platform did you get the staff member from?	100
Figure 61: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in platform work?	101
Figure 62: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in platform work?	101
Figure 63: Job sharing: two or more members of staff share the responsibilities and duties of one full-time position.	103
Figure 64: You said that you have not used job sharing. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?	103
Figure 65: Would you recommend job sharing as a good solution to other sport organisations?	103
Figure 66: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of job sharing?	104
Figure 67: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of job sharing?	104
Figure 68: Which of the following jobs did job sharing apply to?	105
Figure 69: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in job sharing?	105
Figure 70: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in job sharing?	106
Figure 71: Casual work: an organisation has an employment contract with a member of staff without guaranteeing a minimum number of hours. They only call them in for work when they are needed and only pay them for the hours they work.	108
Figure 72: You said that you have not used casual work. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?	108
Figure 73: Would you recommend casual work as a good solution to other sport organisations?	108
Figure 74: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of casual work?	109
Figure 75: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of casual work?	109
Figure 76: Which of the following jobs did casual work apply to?	109
Figure 77: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in casual work?	110
Figure 78: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in casual work?	110
Figure 79: Intermittent permanent employment: an organisation gives their staff member a permanent employment contract with an agreed number of hours to be worked over the year. The weekly / monthly working hours are irregular due to peaks in demand or seasonality. Despite the irregularity, staff member is paid a fixed monthly salary based on 1/12 of the total yearly working hours to ensure a stable monthly salary. Have you used this form of employment?	113
Figure 80: You said that you have not used intermittent permanent employment. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?	113
Figure 81: Would you recommend intermittent permanent employment as a good solution to other sport organisations?	114

16 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 82: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of intermittent permanent employment?	114
Figure 83: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of intermittent permanent employment?	114
Figure 84: Which of the following jobs did you use intermittent permanent employment for?	115
Figure 85: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in intermittent permanent employment?	115
Figure 86: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in intermittent permanent employment?	116
Figure 87: Remote working: a member of staff works from outside the office for some or all working time. Have you used this form of employment?	118
Figure 88: You said that you have not used remote working. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation?	118
Figure 89: Would you recommend remote working as a good solution to other sport organisations?	118
Figure 90: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of remote working?	119
Figure 91: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of remote working?	119
Figure 92: Which of the following jobs did remote working apply to?	120
Figure 93: Where does the staff member mainly work from when they are working remotely?	120
Figure 94: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in remote working?	120
Figure 95: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in remote working?.....	121
Figure 96: Self-employed portfolio work: a skilled self-employed worker has service contracts with a range of different organisations. Have you used this form of employment?	123
Figure 97: You said that you have not used self-employed portfolio work. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation	123
Figure 98: Would you recommend self-employed portfolio working as a good solution to other sport organisations?	123
Figure 99: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of self-employed portfolio working?	124
Figure 100: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of self-employed portfolio working?	124
Figure 101: Which of the following jobs did self-employed portfolio working apply to?	125
Figure 102: Which type of self-employed portfolio worker did you use?	125
Figure 103: On average, what was the gender balance of the self-employed people involved in self-employed portfolio working?	125
Figure 104: On average, how old were the people involved in self-employed portfolio working?	126
Figure 105: Voucher-based work: staff are legally registered and paid for certain services (e.g. domestic work, childcare, gardening, maintenance) through “vouchers” obtained from a third party (such as a government agency) through a simplified employment process. Have you used this form of employment?	129
Figure 106: You said that you have not used voucher-based work. Even though you have not used this form of employment, do you think it could be helpful to your organisation in the future?	129
Figure 107: Would you recommend voucher-based work as a good solution to other sport organisations?	129
Figure 108: What, in your opinion, were the main advantages of voucher-based work?	130
Figure 109: What, in your opinion, were the main disadvantages of voucher-based work?	130
Figure 110: Which of the following jobs did you use voucher-based work for?	131
Figure 111: On average, what was the gender balance of the members of staff involved in voucher-based work?	131

16

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 112: On average, how old were the members of staff involved in voucher-based work?	132
Figure 113: What types of obstacles, in your opinion, might prevent your organisation from using non-traditional forms of employment?	138



17 LIST OF TABLES

17 LIST OF TABLES

» LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Overview of new forms of employment	14
Table 2: Alignment of new forms of employment with employer priorities	16
Table 3: Benefits and risks of employee sharing	80
Table 4: Benefits and risks of platform work.....	81
Table 5: Benefits and risks of job sharing	82
Table 6: Benefits and risks of casual work	83
Table 7: Benefits and risks of ICT-based mobile work	84
Table 8: Benefits and risks of portfolio work	86
Table 9: Benefits and risks of voucher work	88
Table 10: Benefits and risks of interim management	89
Table 11: Summary of survey responses regarding new forms of employment	134
Table 12: Alignment of new forms of employment with sport employers' priorities	136

18

**CASE STUDIES
OF NEW FORMS
OF EMPLOYMENT
IN SPORT (FORMS
PROJECT)**

The following case studies are re-printed with permission from “Towards Innovative Ways of Thinking about Sport Employment in Europe” (FORMS, 2022). Full publication can be found at www.forms-sport.eu.

18.1 FRENCH SPORT EMPLOYERS COMBINE TO PROVIDE PERMANENT CONTRACTS FOR SECURE AND SUSTAINABLE EMPLOYMENT (EMPLOYEE SHARING)

«Employee sharing involves a group of employers hiring workers jointly and being jointly responsible for them»

(Eurofound 2020)



Country
France

Sector
Sport



Partner Submitting the Case Study

Fédération Nationale Profession Sport & Loisirs (FNPSL)

The Problem – Inflexible labour law holds back recruitment

Many individual employers in the sport industry found it difficult to give workers a fulltime 35 hour per week contract. This was a special problem for sport clubs. Coaches, for example, are often needed for only few hours each evening and demand goes up and down. Employers wanted to offer their workers secure and sustainable working conditions to grow a committed and stable workforce, but traditional French employment legislation made this very hard. The net result was severe recruitment difficulties.

Part-time contracts could have offered a solution. For example, in theory a sport coach could have one part-time contract with Club A and another part-time contract with Club B. The French Labour Code imposes a minimum of 24 hours of work per week for a part-time contract, but the Sport Collective Bargaining allows derogation from this framework by working less than 24 hours per week in certain cases. Therefore, an employee can combine several part-time jobs within the limit of 48 hours per week, and 44 hours per week over 12 weeks. However, the needs highly depend on the peaks of activity throughout the year, and it makes it difficult to adapt to this context when the worker holds working contract with different employers. The part-time contract does not provide enough flexibility.

The Solution – Employer Alliances share employees

Sport was not the only sector facing these challenges. Lack of employment flexibility was a serious constraint in agriculture for many years. Pressure from agricultural employers brought about a change in the law in 1985 to formalise and regulate employee sharing.

Over the next thirty years, employee sharing slowly spread to other sectors, in particular entertainments and sport, through the formation of Groupement d'Employeurs ('GEs') – Employer Alliances. In 2006, the Fédération Nationale Profession Sport & Loisirs (FNPSL) was founded in order to professionalise the network of sport Employer Alliances which had sprung up all over the country. FNPSL's mission is to help employers generate decent and sustainable working conditions for the sport workforce. It now has 14 000 partner associations and manages 25 000 jobs, 8 000 of which operate under the Employer Alliance model.

How the Solution Works in Practice

Employers in an area get together to create an Employer Alliance. These are non-profit organisations usually managing 50 to 150 workers. By 2020, there were over 390 Employer Alliances active in sport.

When a member/employer of the Alliance identifies the need for a particular position, the Alliance recruits and employs a qualified worker on a permanent contract and makes them available to the employer with the need. That worker is also be made available to other employers in the Alliance to create enough hours for a fulltime contract.

The worker is in fact employed by the Alliance, not the individual member/employer. The Alliance is liable for all the human resource management responsibilities under French law. At the end of each month, the Alliance invoices the member/employer for a sum based on the number of hours the worker has worked for them.

Challenges to Implementing the Solution

Challenges to implementing the Employer Alliance model in sport have been few once the new law was in place.

Probably the biggest challenge was resistance to change. In the early days, it was difficult to persuade sport employers and workers that employee sharing was a feasible and positive solution, but this has now been overcome by several years of successful implementation and active promotion by FNPSL, federations and other sport sector stakeholders.

Impact for Employers

Advantages for Employers

The introduction of the Employer Alliance model of employee sharing has given member/employers greater flexibility. They can access qualified workers as and when they need them instead of being bound by traditional fulltime Monday to Friday contracts. This reduces the reluctance of employers to hire new staff.

In addition, many of the participating organisations are small sport and fitness clubs who often lack the administrative skills and time for recruitment, payroll and employment. The Employer Alliance model relieves them of this burden.

Member/employers have found that they can access workers with more diverse experience (because they also work for other employers), and they have professional loyalty from one season to the next since the worker has a permanent contract with the Employer Alliance.

Disadvantages for Employers

All members of an Employers' Alliance must take responsibility for the workers they employ. If one member/employer goes bankrupt and cannot pay for the workers made available to them, all the other members have to cover the debt. This joint responsibility can be a challenge.

Testimonial From an Employer

«We do not have the possibility in our association to develop sustainable jobs in the short term. The solution of professionalisation thanks to the Employers' Alliance allows us to have young people made available in our structure to train our players and at the same time for them to develop professional skills.»

(Rodolphe Rideau – President and employer of the football club, Académie Moulins)

Impact for Employees

Advantages for Employees

Since the worker is employed by the Alliance, they are guaranteed a permanent and standard fulltime employment contract with the same conditions, treatments, protections and advantages enjoyed by other French workers, for example, social security access and employer pension contributions. They have a single working contract and salary status instead of several part-time contracts. This offers more security and stability, and simplification and clarity with a single formal employer.

Because they work across a diverse range of employers, they experience more varied, less routine tasks and opportunities to gain more job-related and transversal skills. This positively impacts the employability of the worker involved in such Employers' Alliance.

Disadvantages for Employees

Working across a range of employers can have disadvantages: workers need to travel more and be able to adapt to different organisational cultures. Since they tend to be always employed during busy periods, this can increase the work intensity and create more stress and fatigue.

Some also find it difficult to integrate with other workers who are directly employed by the organisation and feel they have a lesser status and fewer opportunities for progression.

Testimonial From an Employee

«What I like most about the Employers' Alliance is that I do not need to worry about my future, I knew I had job security. It also helps with administrative stuff, that is great»

(Lucas Placide, coach at Montluçon Football and former football player of a youth training academy)

Potential for Development

The FNPSL supports the Employer Alliance model on work-study contracts in the sports sector, an already existing model that should be generalized in order to develop the experience/skills of work-study students working across various employers. However, this requires setting up a tutoring team with one tutor per host structure, which can create more instability for young learners, hence the interest of the employer alliance to coordinate employment.

Links for Further Information

<https://www.profession-sport-loisirs.fr/nos-services/emploi-partage> (page on the FNPSL's website that explains Employer Alliances in sport)

> 18.2 HIRE A REFEREE – A DUTCH APPROACH TO SUPPORTING AMATEUR FOOTBALL (PLATFORM WORK)



«The matching of supply and demand for paid labour through an online platform or app.»

(Eurofound 2020)



Country
Netherlands

Sector
Sport

Partner Submitting the Case Study

The Employers' Organisation in Sport (WOS)



The Problem – Not enough independent referees available

Football is the big grassroots sport in Netherlands, but there is a shortage of referees. Every weekend, Dutch football clubs struggle to find officials for their matches. This in itself is bad enough, but very often the home team has to provide the referee which leads to accusations of bias and sometimes physical aggression. Unfortunately, in recent years there have been many acts of violence on Dutch football fields aimed at referees.

Football clubs not only need access to competent officials, but they also need officials who are seen as impartial. There is clearly a gap in the market, but how could this gap be filled?

The Solution – Platform work to the rescue

In other sectors in the Dutch economy, platform work is nothing new. As long ago as the year 2000, Dutch entrepreneur, Jitse Groen, set up *takeaway.com* as a platform to connect consumers with restaurants. In the past 20+ years, *takeaway.com* has grown into a global company, Just Eat, delivering meals to homes in 22 countries worldwide, and of course there are now many other companies copying the same formula.

Could a similar platform solve the problem of connecting football clubs to referees?

The answer is a definite 'yes'. Netherlands now has *scheidshuren.nl* (Hire a referee) which provides access to over 1 750 independent referees at every level and everywhere in the country.

The initiative is very flexible. It meets the needs of the football teams and the referees for this specific type of work. The traditional way of working could not provide this flexibility. New technology in the form of online platforms were necessary to achieve this. Platform work offers the possibility to work with small contracts and flexible working times (especially on the weekends). Referees provide their services in addition to their day job. So, they need flexibility in their working hours to combine refereeing with their normal work/life.

18 CASE STUDIES OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT IN SPORT (FORMS PROJECT)

How the Solution Works in Practice

This new form of employment operates very easily in practice. Football clubs can request a referee on *scheidshuren.nl*, providing all the necessary information such as dates, times and level of competition. Requests for bookings must be submitted at least two working days. Then the organisation ensures that an appropriately qualified referee is appointed for the match. They pick a referee out of the pool of the referees they have registered and send them an email with the date, time and location of the match. The referee can then decide whether or not they accept the appointment. If they decline the invitation, a substitute will be found.

The service, of course, comes with a cost to the club. The fee for a game is €60. €30 is paid to the referee, and Scheidshuren retains €30 as their service charge.

Challenges to Implementing the Solution

The most often discussed challenge relates to the unclear employment status of platform workers, and the associated lack of protections regarding working conditions, notice periods, minimum pay, health and safety standards, but also social protection or access to representation.

Impact for the football clubs

Advantages for the clubs

- Football clubs get access the referees they need for their competition schedule.
- The referees are independent of the clubs that hire them and are less likely to be accused of bias.

Disadvantages for the clubs

- Many clubs feel that €60 per match is quite a high fee. If the fee were reduced, they feel more clubs would access the platform.

Testimonial From a Football Club

«Referees are quite scarce in the Netherlands. Thanks to the collaboration with *scheidshuren.nl*, we have enough referees for our matches every weekend. So, we are very happy with that. Another advantage of the platform is that you are guaranteed to get impartial referees. That is especially nice for city derby with a lot of emotions. Thanks to the impartial referee, the match can be steered in the right direction.»

(Board Member, USV Hercules, Utrecht)

Impact for the referees

Advantages for the referees

- The referees get access to far more work than they previously had.
- Scheidshuren takes care of all the administrative arrangements. All the referee has to do is turn up on the day.
- Referees have the flexibility to choose the matches they officiate according to their financial needs and other life commitments.

Disadvantages for the referees

- Probably the biggest disadvantage for the referees is lack of certainty. One week the demand for referees can be very high, and the individual has a lot of opportunities to pick and choose from. Another week could be quite the opposite with little or no work available.

Testimonial From an Employee

“It is very easy and accessible to work with this kind of system. The organisation (Scheidshuren) takes care of all administrative matters. That is a nice and accessible way of working.”

(J. Vink, football referee)

Potential for Development

In general platform work can be developed through better laws and regulations for employees. Also, it is important to improve the working conditions, that companies hire employees and not use them as self-employed employees. In the current situation the platform employees are often disadvantaged and have bad working conditions and that has to improve in the future to develop this new form of employment.

In the case of Scheidshuren, the main complaint from the clubs is that €60 is a lot of money for a 90-minute game. The initiative would even be more accessible if it became cheaper. Maybe in the future the price can be lowered to make the platform more inclusive. A possible way forward could be if the KNVB (The Dutch football association) takes up a similar initiative themselves. KNVB could maybe offer this for all football teams in a more accessible and affordable way.

There are clearly opportunities to extend platform work for officials into other sports, and there is already some evidence of this happening in Netherlands.

Links for Further Information

<https://www.scheidshuren.nl/>

> 18.3 LUXEMBOURG BADMINTON FEDERATION LEADING NEW FORM OF COACH EMPLOYMENT TO IMPROVE QUALITY (EMPLOYEE SHARING)



«Employee sharing involves a group of employers hiring workers jointly and being jointly responsible for them.»


(Eurofound 2020)



Country
Luxembourg

Sector
Sport

Partner Submitting the Case Study
Lunex University



The Problem – Shortage of qualified coaches restraining the quality of badminton clubs

The Luxembourgish Badminton Federation (FELUBA) is responsible for promoting and organising badminton competitions in Luxembourg and supporting badminton athletes at international competitions. Another of their key responsibilities is to help their affiliated badminton clubs with any administrative and technical problems they may face.

It has been clear for a number of years that Badminton clubs in Luxembourg struggle to recruit and retain the services of suitably experienced and qualified coaches to train and develop their players. Given the nature of employment law in the country, individual clubs have found it very hard to offer contracts with a sufficient number of hours to attract the type of coaches they badly need. Demand for coaches also varies on a seasonal basis. A further problem was that many of the smaller clubs did not have sufficient administrative capacity to manage recruitment and employment on their own.

Overall, these difficulties were constraining the capacity of the badminton clubs and the quality of coaching they could provide.

The Solution – The federation employs qualified coaches and makes them available to badminton clubs

The federation was made aware of the problem by their affiliated clubs and spent some time consulting with them on possible solutions, compatible with national laws and regulations, which could address both the recruitment and administrative challenges.

Eventually, it was agreed that FELUBA would take responsibility for hiring qualified coaches and making them available to the clubs on a 'pay-per-hour' basis. This way the clubs could access and pay for qualified coaches only for the times for which they were needed, and the federation would bear the administrative burden of their recruitment and payroll. The cost of this administration could be covered by a small addition to the hourly fee. In order to make it economically viable for FELUBA, clubs need to commit to 44 weeks of work annually.

How the Solution Works in Practice

In practice, this innovative approach runs in parallel with a quality label scheme designed by FELUBA. Each club which wants to take full advantage of the scheme by accessing coaches at the lowest rate must sign a convention with the federation which includes a number of quality criteria. This has the additional benefit of incentivising higher standards across the clubs which participate.

Once part of the scheme, badminton clubs can request a coach for a specific number of hours throughout the year. The federation then dispatches coaches who have already been recruited or recruits new coaches to fulfil the needs of the clubs. The federation is paying coaches for the time they work for the clubs, and clubs pay the hourly rate to the federations who manage the system. On average the federation can allocate 20-30 hours a week through the system which makes it much more attractive to the coaches than a number of small part-time or freelance contracts.

FELUBA manages the entire scheme and, in addition to the practical benefits, it can also control the quality of the coaches working with clubs and promote their continuing education and development.

Challenges to Implementing the Solution

Implementing the scheme created several challenges.

There were concerns that:

- > Club identity may be diluted because the same coaches may work with several clubs while many clubs prefer to have exclusive access to a high-quality coach, especially during competitions.
- > Most coaching takes place in the evening, and it is difficult to find sufficient hours to create a fulltime 40-hour contract which some coaches would prefer.
- > Clubs sometimes need to cancel events and sessions at the last minute, but the coaches who have been allocated still need to be paid for the promised work; therefore, the scheme needs to have tighter conventions to ensure that either the clubs use the hours they have requested, or they pay for the hours they have requested but not used, unless there is a valid reason (for example, the coach is sick or has to work for the Federation on another commission).
- > It is a challenge to calculate coach workload within a year when taking into account school holidays, and non-used hours.

Despite these challenges, the scheme is proving very popular. In fact, FELUBA is now struggling itself to find sufficient numbers of qualified coaches. More support is needed to ensure an uninterrupted pipeline of high-quality staff.

Impact for Employers

Advantages for Employers

The introduction of the new scheme has highlighted the following advantages for the clubs and FELUBA:

- Clubs can now benefit from qualified coaches and build their capacity accordingly.
- The administrative costs to clubs are relatively low and workable.
- FELUBA can control the quality of coaches hired within its clubs and promote their continuing education.
- System helps club to develop and improve their structure when it includes administration work, and there is a critical need for clubs to become more professionalised which the new scheme encourages.
- Since the coaches are available all day for the clubs, they are available to set up other projects, for example, lunchtime sessions in schools. A traditionally hired coach who would mainly be available in the evenings would not have the flexibility to do this.

Disadvantages for Employers

The main disadvantages reported are:

- FELUBA now has additional administrative responsibilities.
- The clubs are required to share coaches with other clubs they may be in competition with.

Testimonial From an Employer

«The more we progress in the project, the more we are convinced that this project is very important for the development of badminton in Luxembourg. Since the implementation of this project, we have immediately seen a greater professionalism of our clubs. We offer resources that will allow them to develop their structure and respond to external demands (commune, La Lasep, Les Maison Relais,...). The fact that, at the same time, the clubs must be certified to obtain the best rates, allows us to have a certain control over the quality of the structure and the management of the clubs.»

(Frédéric Mawet, National Technical Director, Luxembourgish Badminton Federation)

Impact for Employees

Advantages for Employees

- Badminton coaches now feel that they are enjoying better pay and conditions of service than they received when they were operating mainly on a freelance basis.
- They benefit from more hours per year and feel a greater sense of job security.
- Since they are employed by FELUBA, the coaches have a broader view of their work. They think not only in terms of the club, but also the Federation.

Disadvantages for Employees

The disadvantages most mentioned by the coaches are:

- Most work still takes place in the evening when the clubs need them most.
- It is still difficult to obtain a fulltime 40-hour contract which many would prefer.
- Clubs continue to emphasise the technical benefits coaches bring and do not value the additional administrative duties they could perform. Some of their potential is underutilised.
- Coaches now have to work across a number of different locations which introduces added travel.

Testimonial From an Employer

Sums up the benefits for a coach employed by the scheme:

«It's a really good option to get a professional job as an employee and not as a freelancer in the sports badminton. Because as a private person you cannot easy arrange to get enough hours with one or some more clubs.»

(Maurice Niesner, Coach, Luxembourgish Badminton Federation)

Potential for Development

Several of the challenges and disadvantages still need to be addressed. For example, if clubs valued their own internal administration more, they could allocate some administrative duties to coaches during the mornings. This would make it easier for FELUBA to create fulltime contracts for them and it would professionalise the clubs further.

Nevertheless, the pioneering approach taken by FELUBA has a great potential for other sports in Luxembourg who face similar recruitment and administration challenges. This could be facilitated by a ministerial decision to develop it across all sports at the national level and ensure it becomes permanent. This could be strengthened by an appropriate collective bargaining agreement for sport in Luxembourg.

Links for Further Information

Badminton quality label: <https://badminton.lu/cms/index.php/projets/proclub/>

> 18.4 DUTCH HYBRID WORKING IN SPORT – LET THE NEEDS OF THE JOB DETERMINE WHERE YOU WORK (ICT-BASED MOBILE WORKING)




«The employee operates from various possible locations outside the premises of their employer (for example, at home, at a client's premises or on the road) supported by modern technologies such as laptop and tablet computers.»

(Eurofound 2020)



Country
Netherlands

Sector
Sport



Partner Submitting the Case Study

The Employers' Organisation in Sport (WOS)

The Problem – Traditional ways of working takes travel time and lacks flexibility

Traditional office-based work which has been with us for hundreds of years no longer suits twenty-first century employees and employers.

For the employee, needing to be present at the office seven or eight hours a day, five days a week often involves a lot of unproductive travel time which can be made worse by traffic jams and public transport disruptions. The lack of flexibility involved does not suit modern lifestyles, especially when there are family responsibilities. When combined, these disadvantages can have a negative impact on employees' work-life balance which reduces job satisfaction and productivity.

Over and above this, the costs of travel to work eat into the employee's salary and, if the mode of transport is the car, this contributes to environmental damage.

There are disadvantages for the employer as well. An employer who demands the traditional working pattern is much less attractive, so they may struggle to recruit talented staff, especially when the labour market is tight. In addition, renting and maintaining enough office space for all your staff all of the time is expensive. Many employers realised this was an investment they should reconsider.

The Solution – The Hybrid Working Roadmap provides a structured approach to more flexibility

The Dutch Employers' Organisation in Sport (WOS) is an employers' association that aims to promote the interests of its members in the broad field of labour relations. It consists of around 100 members who employ over 3 500 staff.

For several years, WOS had been aware of the problems caused by pure office-based working and the impact this was having on the recruitment and retention of staff in the sport sector. Dedicated to improving employment practices in sport, WOS's solution was a *Hybrid Working Roadmap* for sport employers. Hybrid working involves a combination of office-based and ICT-based mobile work, designed to satisfy the needs of employers and employees alike.

Prior to 2020, hybrid working began to develop some momentum in the Dutch sport sector. Then, the impact of Covid-19 brought both the problem and the proposed solution into sharp focus. During the pandemic many staff – for example, managers and administrators – could continue to work, but social distancing meant they could no longer come to their place of work. Working from home, with the support of computers, laptops and online meeting platforms suddenly became the ‘new normal’. Having worked in this way for many months during lockdowns, both employees and employers saw the advantages and started to evaluate whether returning to ‘business as usual’ really was the way forward in the post pandemic era.

More flexible arrangements, combining office-based, work-based and even client-based working, became the obvious way to go. The principle is that work is not led by the location where it takes place. Instead, work is led by what needs to be done, who is needed to perform it and then the best location.

How the Solution Works in Practice

The *Hybrid Working Roadmap* was developed by WOS in close cooperation with the General Employers’ Association in the Netherlands (AWVN) which brings together 750 companies employing around 5m workers.

The *Roadmap*, which is freely downloadable from the WOS website, provides sport employers with a structured and accessible way to arrive at working arrangements that suit their specific organisational needs. It encourages employers to work closely with their staff to develop and agree a policy which defines how hybrid working should look in practice and then implement this policy within the framework of Dutch labour law.

As well as explaining how to transition to hybrid working, the Roadmap website also provides a wealth of information and guidance on legal, regulatory and good practice issues such as:

- > Duty of care
- > Working hours
- > Allowances
- > Ergonomics of the homeworking space
- > Privacy and security
- > Psychosocial workload, and
- > Remote leadership

Challenges to Implementing the Solution

Several challenges have emerged in the implementation of hybrid working.

- > Employers find it more difficult to control the productivity of their staff using traditional methods. A new leadership style, based on personal responsibility and trust, is needed. The emphasis shifts to empowering employees to create the best conditions to deliver the best results they can.
- > The organisational work culture tends to be weakened. The strong personal connections which people develop when physically working together start to dissolve. Mutual support and learning from each other become more difficult. Team spirit is harder to build and sustain.
- > Dutch labour law and regulations are not designed for hybrid working. In fact, there was no law which ensured the right to work from home. This has now been addressed by a new law called ‘wet werken waar je wilt’ (working where you want) which now provides employees with more rights and freedoms in how they want to balance home and office-base work.
- > There are concerns around cybersecurity. According to a recent study (Cisco, 2022) only 58% of respondents felt that their company had the right cybersecurity measures in place to make hybrid working safe and successful.

Impact for Employers

Advantages for Employers

- Several studies (Cisco, 2022 and Choudhury et al, 2022) indicate that hybrid working actually increases employee productivity.
- Employers save on reduced office space, energy costs and other overheads.
- Employers offering hybrid working are more attractive to potential staff. This helps recruitment especially when there is intense competition for talent.

Disadvantages for Employers

- Employers need to consider a range of legal and ethical issues to ensure the health, safety and security of these employees which may be quite different to one fixed place of employment (hence the need for the Roadmap).
- Employers need to adjust their leadership styles to match the conditions of hybrid working. This can involve difficult transition periods and sometimes the need to radically rethink management practices.
- Many of the advantages of staff sharing a common physical space (mutual support and learning, social interaction and common understanding on how things should be done) may disappear. Employers need to find alternative ways of building and sustaining their organisational culture.

Impact for Employees

Advantages for Employees

- The employee has greater freedom to choose where and how they work in a way that suits their personal circumstances. This is especially helpful for workers with childcare responsibilities or those who care for vulnerable family members.
- Employees feel more empowered and less controlled by their employer which encourages creativity.
- Employees save some costs of transport to and from the office.

Disadvantages for Employees

- Employees may feel less supported by the organisation and may find it harder to quickly find solutions to problems they face in their work.
- Employees may not learn work practices so quickly because they cannot simply observe what a colleague is doing.
- Employees may feel more isolated because they lose the spontaneous informal contact with their colleagues. They may experience poorer working relationships and less fun doing their job.

Potential for Development

Following the Covid pandemic, hybrid working has exploded in the Netherlands and the sport sector. The new law 'wet werken waar je wilt' addresses many of the legal challenges, but further research still needs to be done on finding solutions to the remaining problems, particularly those to do with new leadership styles, organisational culture and cybersecurity.

Hybrid working is not only about office and home-based work. The Dutch Royal Football Association (KNVB) is developing a hybrid working initiative which goes beyond homeworking.

The KNVB's headquarters is in Zeist. It is a large organisation with over 500 employees. A lot of these employees live far from the headquarters. It can take them a lot of time to travel to and from the office which means it lacks flexibility. To accommodate their employees and meet the desire for flexible working the KNVB is therefore developing a new approach. They are in the process of agreeing contracts with a number of major amateur football clubs in the Netherlands to use their facilities as local offices. They want to make a deal with these clubs so the employees of the KNVB can work in their buildings whenever they want. These clubs are spread throughout the Netherlands. This solution allows KNVB employees to be more flexible in regard to their working location. They no longer have to travel to the main office in Zeist but can work wherever they want in the Netherlands at various clubs. The initiative is still in the planning phase but will be implemented in 2023.

Links for Further Information

<https://www.sportwerkgever.nl/kennisbank/hr-van-a-tot-z/hybridewerken/>

https://www.sportwerkgever.nl/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/WOS_HybrideWerken_v04.pdf

<https://www.awvn.nl/lid-in-beeld/wos-sport-routekaart-hybride-werken/>

18.5 IMPROVING THE SUPPLY OF QUALIFIED PORTUGUESE SWIMMING TEACHERS AND COACHES (EMPLOYEE SHARING)



«Employee sharing involves a group of employers hiring workers jointly and being jointly responsible for them.»

(Eurofound 2020)



Country
Portugal

Sector
Sport



Partner Submitting the Case Study
Sport Sciences School of Rio Maior (ESDRM)

The Problem – Clubs and municipalities could not recruit the coaches they needed to raise standards

As part of its Strategic Plan 2014-2024, the Portuguese Swimming Federation (FPN) with 221 registered clubs and nearly 50 000 members has developed a quality improvement programme for swim schools in clubs and municipalities across the country. The programme aims to raise both the technical standards of facilities and the quality of teaching/coaching inclusive of all swimmers with special attention to target groups such as babies, pre-school children, school age children and senior citizens.

Central to the programme is the training and continuing development of swimming teachers and coaches through certification and the renewal of methodologies and up-to-date best practices, but how could clubs access such coaches?

Participation in the scheme is vital for clubs and municipalities who want to improve their provision, but many find it hard to employ personnel with the appropriate training and qualifications. Often, they lack the financial resources to hire fulltime teachers/coaches, and there is little demand for swimming lessons and training sessions throughout the day. This became a significant barrier to extending the quality scheme across Portugal.

The Solution – The federation takes responsibility for employing teachers/coaches and offers them to clubs and municipalities

Once aware of this problem, FPN responded by setting up an employee sharing scheme which makes it possible even for the smaller clubs to access teachers/coaches certified by federation. By taking on the employment responsibility, FPN can offer its member clubs access to qualified staff, greater quality assurance and stability in the service offer.

How the Solution Works in Practice

In practice, a club or municipality enters into a contract with FPN for the local development of swimming. The Federation takes responsibility for creating the conditions for the implementation of the contract in particular through the provision of teachers/coaches with the right training and qualifications.

FPN promotes the dissemination of job offers at the national level through its communication platforms and then recruits and selects a teacher/coach with the required profile. An employment contract is then agreed. Contracts are fixed term and can be fulltime or part-time depending on what suits the candidate and the number of hours needed throughout the week.

The employment relationship for the teacher/coach is with FPN. The club or municipality is simply the place of work, and they pay a fee to the Federation depending on the number of hours the coach works on their premises per month.

So far, FPN has developed sport development contracts with 10 municipalities and has around 190 coaches hired annually on this type of renewable contract.

Challenges to Implementing the Solution

Perhaps the greatest challenge – at least to the teacher/coach – is that the contract does not cover certain periods of the year, usually the school holidays and the months of July and August when they are not in demand.

Impact for Employers

Advantages for Employers

The introduction of the new scheme has highlighted the following advantages for the clubs and municipalities:

- The difficulties of hiring qualified coaches are overcome, with the technical support of FPN.
- The municipality or the club has the support of the Federation in the development of its project, with technical, pedagogical and organisational follow-up and supervision.
- The quality assurance of swimming sports development programs is ensured by hiring qualified staff with profiles suited to the specific development of each project.

Disadvantages for Employers

The main disadvantage for the clubs and municipalities is that management of the worker's activities is carried out remotely by FPN with some in-person monitoring. The club or municipality has no direct over the teacher/coach themselves.

Testimonial From an Employer

«Sport development programmes guarantee technical quality in the training of practitioners and the future quality of swimmers. The entities provide better services in teaching swimming. Coaches have better qualifications, better financial conditions and are more technically supported by the Federation.»

(Raquel Marinho, project manager at the Portuguese Swimming Federation)

Impact for Employees

Advantages for Employees

The main advantages for the teachers/coaches are:

- Security with annual employment contract, with working time ranging from full-time to part-time.
- The possibility of the teacher/coach having a fulltime job dedicated to swimming – the thing they love – without having to divide their working hours with other jobs.

Disadvantages for Employees

The biggest disadvantage for the teacher/coach is that for some months in the year – mainly July and August – they have no work. In September the employment contract can be renewed, but there is no guarantee.

Testimonial From an Employee

» **«The training and supervision carried out by the FPN is one of the main advantages of the project. Throughout the year, there are several technical and pedagogical follow-up sessions on the intervention of the coaches and the updating of skills related to the coach's qualification level. I consider this monitoring to be the most positive aspect of the project.**

«The contractual relationship for the provision of services works normally, the hourly rate paid is not very high. The benefit is that there is a contract with a defined duration, ten months. It's a pity the contract is not a full time.

«The support material could be improved, equipment / clothing suitable for use in the role of coach is an aspect to be reinforced, what is provided is very limited.»

—
(Joana Mendes, Coach at Portuguese Swimming Federation)

Potential for Development

The scheme can be extended to other municipalities and clubs up to the limit of FPN's response capacity.

FPN can also now offer a 'proof of concept' to other sport federations facing similar problems. The system is now tried and tested and can be taken up and implemented by other sports.

Links for Further Information

<https://fpnatacao.pt/index.php>

<https://portugalanadar.fpnatacao.pt/>

18.6 BELGIAN SPORT EMPLOYERS ACCESS SPECIALIST STAFF THROUGH INTERIM MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYMENT INCENTIVES (INTERIM MANAGEMENT)

«A form of employment in which a company 'leases out' workers to other companies, temporarily and for specific purposes.»

(Eurofound 2020)



Country
Belgium

Sector
Sport

Partner Submitting the Case Study
Sportwerk



The Problem – Sport clubs find it difficult to recruit coaches and other specialist staff

Sport clubs in Flanders have struggled to afford coaches and other specialist staff to meet demands of professionalisation and the specific needs of new target groups. There is intense competition for talent which has been made worse by Covid. Clubs are very reluctant to increase membership fees which could result in fewer members. More creative solutions had to be found to make the hiring of coaches and other highly skilled staff more affordable and attractive.

The Solution – Exemptions from tax and social security contributions for specialist roles – all managed by a single employer

For several years, employers in sport and the wider socio-cultural sector had been lobbying the Flemish regional government to provide a solution which was both legal and affordable for the many small organisations who are pursuing valuable social goals but need specialist staff. Most often, workers – for example, sport coaches – with the right skillsets are already employed fulltime in other jobs, and sport clubs can only access their services as additional part-time employment in the evenings and weekends.

The major problem was affordability: clubs had to make social security contributions for these workers' additional employment, and the workers themselves were liable for personal income tax which could be anywhere between 25% and 50% depending on how much they earned from their 'day job.' This both added to the cost of employing part-time staff and deterred people like coaches from seeking legal employment.

Various attempts had been made in the past to address this problem, most recently through 'Association Work', but this was scheduled for abolition in December 2021, and no-one knew whether or how it would be replaced. The implications of no satisfactory replacement would have dire consequences, especially for sport.

Fortunately, this system was replaced by the regime of Article 17 of the Royal Decree of 28 November 1969. The system of Article 17 has been extended to allow the hiring of workers to carry out activities that were previously covered by the system of association work.

18 CASE STUDIES OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT IN SPORT (FORMS PROJECT)

The provisions of Article 17 of the Royal Decree of 28 November allow the hiring of workers for well-defined activities without having to pay social security contributions on the salary related to these activities. This system is now being extended to activities that were previously covered by the association work regime.

Therefore, Sport clubs must become employers themselves in order to be able to make use of the system of Article 17. As this still left sports clubs with the administrative burden of managing the system, there was a need for supporting these clubs. This is where Sportwerk was able to step in. Sportwerk is the partner of a healthy sport labour market active in Flanders and the Brussels Capital Region, whose main activity is to promote and support the professionalisation of sport clubs. They had a readymade solution in the form of interim management.

How the Solution Works in Practice

In practice, Article 17 exempts an employer in the sport sector from paying social security contributions for specific employees such as sports technical functions (for example, coaches), managerial and administrative staff and youth coordinators. To be eligible, these staff must work no more than 450 hours per annum (no more than 150 hours for quarters 1, 2 and 4 and 285 hours in quarter 4 balanced across the year to ensure that no more than 450 hours are worked in total).

The great news for the worker is that if they earn no more than 6 540 euros per year from their additional income, they only have to pay 10% income tax from those earnings.

This has the net effect of reducing the wage bill for these specialist staff and makes earning additional income through this route much more attractive for the individual worker.

However (and it's a big 'however') the provisions of Article 17 cannot be used if the worker was employed by the same organisation in the previous year. For individual clubs, this means they are unable to directly employ the coaches they normally use.

The approach is good, but the complex requirements plus the normal personnel arrangements that go with hiring staff are a major headache for many of the smaller sport clubs.

Solving the problem of not re-hiring and relieving the administrative burden and personnel arrangements is where Sportwerk makes its biggest contribution.

The coach may have been previously employed by the club, but they were not previously employed by Sportwerk. Therefore, Sportwerk can enter into an employment contract with the coach and still use the advantages of Article 17.

To lift the administrative burden on small organisations, Sportwerk is able to use interim management arrangements whereby they become the employer of these specific staff and then hire them out to the clubs according to their need. If a club wishes to make use of these arrangements, Sportwerk enters into a cooperation agreement with them for a minimum of six months and sets up an employment contract between Sportwerk and the coach (or other type of specialist staff) and invoices the club monthly for the time the staff spend working for them. In addition, a small administration fee is charged.

So far (April – October 2022) Sportwerk has informed most of the 71 sport federations in Flanders and encouraged them to communicate the scheme to their clubs. 19 federations and 35 clubs have entered into cooperation with Sportwerk. 70 coaches are now employed by Sportwerk under this scheme which provides the clubs with 15 000 hours of additional employment to the value of 250 000 euros. This is a great achievement in a few months.

18 CASE STUDIES OF NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT IN SPORT (FORMS PROJECT)

Challenges to Implementing the Solution

Article 17 can create a win-win solution for the clubs, coaches and the other specific staff who are eligible, but there are complications.

For example, only qualified coaches can be employed by Sportwerk under the provisions of Article 17, and Flanders has over 70 000 coaches, less than half of whom are qualified, so the majority coaches still cannot be employed this way.

Under Article 17, the employee must receive the national minimum wage. For some clubs this is too high. For them, it is more affordable to keep the coach as a volunteer and pay them a much smaller volunteer allowance which is permitted by the law.

For Sportwerk themselves, there are challenges, which are part of being an employer. They must:

- > Register as the employer with the National Social Security Office
- > Register every new employee through the Belgium Dimona system
- > Pay at least the national minimum wage
- > Be an affiliate to the Joint Committee
- > Set up an employment contract for every new employee
- > Make specific work arrangements for the placement of these employees in the clubs
- > Take care of occupational accident insurance
- > Set up the necessary arrangements with the external service for prevention and protection at work (health and safety)
- > Carry out all the personnel and payroll arrangements
- > Provide task sheets for the employees
- > Deal with questions about remuneration and tax.

However, Sportwerk is committed to the sector and determined to do what it can to support the sport clubs. Without the support of Sportwerk, it is difficult to see how the smaller organisations could overcome these challenges.

Impact for Employers

Advantages for Employers

- Sport clubs are able to access skilled workers at rates which are affordable to their members.
- Article 17 provides alternative ways of paying these staff and still remain within the legal framework. They do not need to resort to illegal practices or keep coaches as volunteers.
- The fact that Sportwerk only employs qualified coaches grows and reinforces the professionalisation of the sector which is ultimately something everyone benefits from.
- The support provided by Sportwerk overcomes the administrative burden which clubs would otherwise need to carry themselves and provides a solution to the problem of clubs not being able to re-hire.

Disadvantages for Employers

- The jobs covered by Article 17 are more specific than earlier schemes. Therefore, not all positions can be filled this way.
- There is a limit of 450 hours which the employee can work each year. This may not provide all the flexibility which the clubs demand.
- The employee must receive the national minimum wage which can still be too much for many clubs.

Testimonial From an Employer

«Sportwerk literally does lift a burden that otherwise we couldn't deal with. As the employer, they take on all administrative and associated responsibilities. We pay a monthly invoice. No worries for the club, or the coach. We know it's okay. As a sport club it is not easy to employ coaches ourselves. Fortunately, Sportwerk exists. Now, we have the opportunity to compensate coaches in an official way.»

(Sport Club Deugd en Moed)

Impact for Employees

Advantages for Employees

- The employee receives at least the national minimum wage.
- They know they are operating within the law and have no worries about tax.
- As long as the annual salary they receive for additional employment remains below 6 540 euros, they pay less tax.
- The fact that the Article 17 service of Sportwerk only applies to qualified coaches gives recognition and reward for all the hard work they put in studying for the qualification.
- They know that all personnel and payroll arrangements are being dealt with a competent organisation like Sportwerk who can also deal with any sport labour market questions or problems they have.

Disadvantages for Employees

- If their earnings from additional employment go beyond the limit, then they have to pay income tax at the normal rate.
- There are no guaranteed wages for incapacity at work as a result of illness or accident.

Testimonial From an Employee



«I'm delighted that I can earn extra money by performing my hobby. In addition, I have a fixed point of contact for all my personnel management needs.»

(G. Blevi, coach)

Potential for Development

Unfortunately, like its predecessor, Association Work, the future of Article 17 is uncertain. It lasts for 2022, but what comes next? Certainly, the sport sector would like it to continue, but feels there are improvements which Sportwerk recommends:

- > Reduce the 10% income tax which employees are liable for.
- > Increase the maximum amount which can be earned beyond 6 540 euros.
- > Increase the number of hours which employees can work.
- > Enlarge the scope of the scheme to include all the main functions needed in a sport club.
- > Consider replacing Article 17 with voucher-based work – a well-established new form of employment which many Belgium citizens use to pay for domestic services in the household, for groceries and transport.

Links for Further Information

Article 17 reform: enlargement and flexibilisation | Confederation of Employers in the Sports and Socio-Cultural Sector (cessoc.be)

[Du travail associatif en 2021 vers un travail selon l'article 17 AR ONSS en 2022 | Group S](#)

18.7 INTERMITTENT EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS – GIVING STABILITY TO FRENCH SEASONAL SPORT WORKERS (MODIFIED TYPE OF CASUAL EMPLOYMENT)

«A type of work where the employment is not stable and continuous, and the employer is not obliged to provide the worker with work but has the flexibility of calling them in on demand.»

(Eurofound 2020)



Country
France

Sector
Sport



Partner Submitting the Case Study

Fédération Nationale Profession Sport & Loisirs (FNPSL)

The Problem – Lack of stability for employers and workers in seasonal sports

Employment in many sports in France is seasonal. Activities such as skiing, kayaking and climbing are closely linked with tourism and the 12-month seasonal cycle. High numbers of instructors are only needed when the tourists are there, and weather conditions are right. Periods of intense work in other sports such as tennis are dictated by the school calendar. When there is no demand, instructors are not required.

Traditionally, this has caused problems for both employers and employees. Even though these peak periods of activity are predictable, under the French Labour Code there was no way an employer could offer these workers a permanent contract (Contrat à Durée Indéterminée or CDI) without also paying them for the periods when they were not needed, something they could not afford. The only legal solution on offer was either fixed-term or temporary contracts to cover the high peak periods and nothing for the periods when the workers were not needed.

On the surface, this may seem 'fair', but for employers it meant each year, they could not be sure who would be available for next season, and there always the risk of losing experienced and qualified staff to competitors. Those who had been employed the previous season may have found a position with someone else. This lack of a permanent contract also led to a precarious existence for these 'intermittent employees' who found it hard to plan a stable and sustainable career and life. Their income was not spread evenly across the year. Without a permanent contract and regular income, it was difficult for them to rent or buy a home or obtain any other kind of loan.

The Solution – CDII, a permanent contract for intermittent work

Sport was not the only sector affected by fluctuating seasonal demands. Large and influential sectors such as car manufacturing also suffered. Lobbying both from employers and employees stimulated the French government to review the situation and look for a solution. This led to the signing of an *'Agreement on job security for the professional careers of intermittent employees'* in 2013 which opened the door to a four-year pilot study to assess the flexibility to allow permanent contracts for intermittent employees. The outcomes of the pilot study were positive, and the new approach was formalised in the French Labour Code with the introduction of CDII (Contrat à Durée Indéterminée Intermittent), sometimes referred to as 'CD2I'.

How the Solution Works in Practice

A company which is recognised under the Labour Code as having seasonal activity (which includes sport) can offer intermittent employees a CDII. In most cases, the employer knows these people because they have already been their temporary workers for some time. The CDII contract must specify the periods of when the employee is required to work and those when they are not. The working periods must not exceed 42 weeks per year (originally, it was 36 weeks, but this was extended to meet the needs of tennis clubs and other sports who organise training camps in the school vacations). If the employer wants to call on the employee to work during agreed periods of non-work, they must pay them overtime. They cannot call on them to work during agreed paid holiday periods which form part of the contract.

Salary is based on the number of days the employee is contracted to work over the year. The employee is not paid for the periods of non-work.

The employee is allowed to work on a temporary contract for another employer during the specified periods of non-work, but not during the agreed holiday periods.

Apart from having a permanent contract, perhaps one of the greatest benefits of the CDII for employees is that pay can be 'smoothed out' over the year – each month the employee (if they wish) can receive 1/12 of their annual salary regardless of whether they have worked in that month or not. This means that they know exactly how much they will receive each month.

Challenges to Implementing the Solution

The new system was thoroughly tested during the four-year trial, so most of the difficulties were addressed before the Labour Code was officially changed. The greatest challenge during the trial was the parties familiarising themselves with the new arrangements. Initially, employers did not understand that although they were paying a regular monthly salary, they were not, in fact, paying the employee any more than previously. The same amount of salary was simply averaged over the 12 months.

There was also some resistance from temp agencies which until then had hired out large number of temporary workers on short-term assignments and feared CDII would negatively affect their businesses. However, they have now adjusted to the new law.

Impact for the Employers

Advantages for the Employers

The introduction of CDII gives employers the opportunity to retain valued employees who were previously temporary and whose future services were unpredictable and open to easy competition from other businesses. They now have a much more stable workforce than before.

Disadvantages for the Employers

Negotiating the CDII tends to take longer than a normal permanent contract because of the items that need to be agreed and specified. However, once this is done, they have a permanent employee.

Additionally, if 'smoothing out' of salary is part of the agreement, they have to action salary payments each month, not just for the months the employee is working. This is only a minor administrative burden.

Testimonial From an Employer

«The advantage of the CDII is that it meets our needs in terms of human resources.

This guarantees us an employee present each year. It means time saving in terms of training, knowledge of the public, higher loyalty etc.»

(Dimitri Mainguy, human resources manager at PSL 17)

Impact for employees/workers

Advantages for Employees

Since the new form of contract was introduced, CDII has become synonymous with stability and a more predictable future. Employees with a CDII enjoy all the same protections and benefits as someone on a normal CDI permanent contract. This includes paid holidays, social security and pension contributions, and it is now much easier for them to rent or buy property and take out loans because of the added job security.

Disadvantages for Employees

It is interesting to note that according to a study carried out during the pilot phase, 75% of CDII contracts were initiated by employers. This suggests that employees may not have been fully convinced. Indeed, there are several potential disadvantages for employees which should be weighed against the advantages.

Some intermittent workers may feel that they have reduced freedom of choice. They cannot work as and when they want to or need to, and this does not suit everyone's lifestyle. They are required to be available to the employer during the identified work periods.

Many intermittent employees are making a minor trade-off in terms of salary in order to gain more security and they end up receiving less over the year. This is mainly because when a worker completes a fixed term contract, they are paid a 'precariousness bonus' which amounts to 10% of their total remuneration for that contract. Since the CDII is a permanent contract, no such bonus is available.

In addition, the CDII worker is often not eligible for support from the Pôle Emploi (a public organisation that helps job seekers) which can, in certain cases, offer financial assistance to certain employees between two fixed-term assignments.

Finally, since the intermittent employee is now likely to be working only for one employer, they may not get the same diversity of experience and the opportunities to gain new skills and knowledge than if they were free to easily move between different organisations.

Testimonial From an Employer



«What my contract gives me is a variety of activity and public, autonomy and freedom in my work. Which keeps me from getting bored, but it's also a way to make sure to keep my job in the future.»

(Erwan Le Corre, Sports educator at PSL 17)

Potential for Development

Following the pilot period and full implementation in 2018, the CDII has become an embedded feature of the sport sector. According to Adecco, a major recruitment company, in the first year of the pilot in 2014, only 5 100 CDII contracts were signed. By 2019, one year after the new law was enacted, there were nearly 50 000. This growth is expected to continue for some time.



Links for Further Information

Four-year pilot study of CDII : <https://observatoire-interim-recrutement.fr/files/2018-07/synthe-se-cdii-pour-web.pdf>

Statistics about the evolution of the CDII : <https://www.adecco.fr/blog/2017/april/evolution-cdi-interim-chiffres>

Official definition provided by the French Ministry of Labour, Employment and Economic Inclusion. (Please note, there may be variations in the sport sector through the Collective Bargaining Agreement) <https://www.service-public.fr/particuliers/vosdroits/F2247#:~:text=Le%20contrat%20de%20travail%20intermittent,importantes%20variations%20d'activit%C3%A9>.

18.8 GET FIT AT WORK – A DUTCH APPROACH TO BUILDING A HEALTHIER AND MORE MOTIVATED WORKFORCE WITH FREELANCE PERSONAL TRAINERS (PORTFOLIO WORKING)

«Contracting by freelancers, the self-employed or micro-enterprises who work for a large number of clients.»

(Eurofound 2020)




Country
Netherlands

Sector
Sport

Partner Submitting the Case Study

The Employers' organisation in sport (WOS)



The Problem – Finding affordable ways to keep office workers fit and healthy

Only 57% of the Dutch population practises sport and physical activity every week. That simply means as a nation we do not exercise enough. Lack of fitness can lead to a number of health problems including diabetes, high blood pressure, stress and depression. For a business this can lead to low productivity, burnt-out employees and days lost through sickness. On the other hand, regular physical exercise not only reduces these health risks, but also improves the employee's mood and motivation to work.

Time and the opportunity to exercise, however, are limited especially for those with busy lives. Since the employer also benefits from fit and healthy employees, why not let them exercise at work? Apart from the health benefits, in a tight labour market it is also a great way to attract new recruits.

It seems like an easy solution, but how can it be done? Enterprises only need trainers for an hour or so, possibly not every day and maybe not at all during some times of the year. Traditional employment contracts simply will not work. An organisation, especially smaller ones, cannot afford to employ a skilled and qualified trainer fulltime or even part-time on a permanent basis.

The Solution – Freelance personal trainers come where and when you need them

An enterprising group of freelance personal trainers in Arnhem has come up with a solution that fits the needs of businesses and their own preferred style of work.

Get Fit at Work, as they are collectively known, operate on a portfolio basis. The freelance members can operate either together or independently to offer exercise at work opportunities to a variety of different companies. Business can hire their services when and where they need them. This gives the employer all the flexibility they need to get their staff up off their chairs and working up a sweat.

How the Solution Works in Practice

Implementation is really straightforward. As an organisation, you can hire a *Get Fit at Work* freelance trainer. Their standard offer is a 60-minute session at your office. If this is not feasible for you and your staff, they will adapt to your needs. All the trainers involved have sport-related diplomas and licences. They all have bachelor's degrees in physical education and are accredited as personal trainers. They can bring their own professional sport and fitness equipment to your place of work if necessary and they can provide a programme tailor-made to you and your staff.

All the staff who have taken part in *Get Fit at Work* programmes say that they really value the opportunity to exercise at work and report physical and mental health benefits.

Challenges to Implementing the Solution

Challenges to implementing this kind of portfolio work are few. The main obstacles are that portfolio workers:

- > Need an enterprising attitude to their lives and careers and must be prepared to take the risk to work in this way – will they get enough business from enough clients to make it economically worthwhile?
- > Have very good marketing and customer service skills.
- > Be able to manage their own finances, taxes and social security.
- > Have excellent self-organisational skills.
- > Be ready to invest in their own equipment.
- > Pay for their continuing professional development to keep up with the fast-moving pace of the fitness industry.

Impact for Employers

Advantages for Employers

- The employer does not directly employ the personal trainer and has no employment responsibilities or functions. Everything is organised through a service contract.
- The employer benefits from a fitter, happier and more motivated workforce.
- They are able to hire the freelancer on a flexible and cost-effective basis.
- The business looks more attractive to job seekers which can help recruitment in a highly competitive labour market.

Disadvantages for Employers

- Employers have much less control over what the freelancer does and how they carry out their work. The independent worker can have an agenda of their own which can cause difficulties.

Testimonial from Employer Who Hires Get Fit at Work

«Because of our collaboration with Get Fit at Work, our employees get the opportunity to improve their health at work. Because of that their wellbeing and productivity is improved. Everybody benefits from that. It's also very easy and flexible to hire freelancers. So, it's really a win-win for everybody.»

And from one of their employees

‘«Working out at work helps me a lot in my work-life balance and it makes me feel a lot more vital. So, I'm really happy with this initiative.»

Impact for freelancers

Advantages for the freelancers

- The freelancers enjoy a much greater degree of flexibility and autonomy. They can organise their own work to fit into their lifestyles.
- They feel more self-empowered.
- Without a boss looking over their shoulder, they have greater opportunities to be creative and innovative.
- Working for a range of companies and different participants, they enjoy a lot of variety in their work, an experience which also delivers a stronger and more varied set of skills and knowledge.

Disadvantages for the freelancers

- Compared to employees on a regular employment contract, the freelancer has far fewer protections such as sick pay and unemployment benefits.
- Work is more precarious. They constantly need to find and retain new clients.
- They do not have the same guaranteed income as an employed worker which may make it more difficult for them to rent or take out loans to buy homes.
- They are rarely part of a team and lack the confidence that may give them, and they may suffer from loneliness as a result.
- For all the above reasons, this type of freelance portfolio work may only be appropriate for some people, perhaps the younger age demographic who have fewer family responsibilities.
- They need to be entrepreneurial and develop a wider set of skills not traditionally delivered by education and training programmes, for example, business planning and administration, marketing, finance and negotiation.

Testimonial from Freelance Personal Trainer at Get Fit at Work:



«I like the flexibility and autonomy this type of work gives me. Every day is different and that gives me a lot of energy.»

Potential for Development

So far, *Get Fit at Work* is only working with organisations in the sport sector. It was a great idea to start there because sport employers are much more sensitive to the health needs of their workforce and the positive benefits which physical activity can bring. But there are signs of interest in other sectors now that the initiative has shown 'proof of concept'. In the coming years, Get Fit at Work will be branching out into other types of businesses and delivering even wider benefits to society as a whole.



Links for Further Information

Get Fit at Work –WordPress site. (z.d.). Geraadpleegd op 5 oktober 2022, van <https://getfitatwork.nl/>



INFORMS

Innovative Forms of Employment in Sport

www.informs-sport.eu



EOSE Secretariat
82A, rue d'Ypres
69004 Lyon – France

Tel.: +33 (0) 437 431 939
Mail: eosesec@eose.org
Web: www.eose.org

graphic design: Salomé Millet - www.studio-helloscope.com



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

ISBN: 978-2-490441-08-2

